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Abstract: 2D $^1$H NMR relaxometry is increasingly used in the field of wood sciences due to its great potential in detecting and quantifying water states at the level of wood constituents. More precisely, in this study, this technique is used to investigate the changes induced by “natural” and “artificial” aging methods on modern and historical oak woods. Two bound water components are detected and present differences in terms of association to the different wood polymers in cell walls: one is more strongly associated to wood polymers than the other. The evolution of the two bound water types is discussed in regard to aging methods and is related to the structure of the cell wall, especially with the S2 layer and the evolution of wood chemical composition (cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin).

The evolution of hydric strains is discussed taking into account the effect of aging methods on the two bound water components too. The obtained results confirm the ability of 2D NMR relaxometry to evaluate the effect of aging at the molecular level and on hydric deformation. Furthermore, this method shows that it is possible to determine the moisture content of wood without the necessity to oven-dry the wood material.
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Introduction

The built heritage shows that wood makes it possible to design sustainable and healthy buildings (Epaud 2007, Obataya 2007, Froidevaux 2012). Wood, as an envelope or structural material, is a material of interest in the field of construction both for new buildings and for the question of renovation of old buildings (Froidevaux 2012). However, to estimate lifetimes or to propose methods of maintenance, it is necessary to continue the research efforts to understand the mechanisms of physical aging of wood and its hygro-mechanical properties at the scale of its constituents.

Aging is a complex phenomenon that modifies physical, chemical and mechanical properties of a polymeric based material, under the effect of its proper instability, environmental parameters or mechanical strains (Fayolle and Verdu 2005). As a natural and biodegradable resource, wood is mainly constituted of three biopolymers: cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. These components can be vulnerable to environmental factors such as temperature, solar radiation, humidity, which can degrade the wood’s polymeric structure. Studies have shown that the degradation due to aging mostly affect the hemicelluloses (which are the most vulnerable polymers), resulting in a better dimensional stability of the wood material (Kranitz 2014, Gauvin 2014). A decrease of cellulosic material as well as a slight decrease in lignin content are also observed in some historical woods (Kranitz et al. 2016).

Heat treatments at high temperature show a common effect with natural aging on decreasing the Equilibrium Moisture Content (EMC), leading to an improved dimensional stability of wood and are usually considered as artificial aging. Numerous studies have been conducted to develop industrial processes varying in terms of temperature (generally at high temperature between 160°C and 280°C), duration and vector (gas, water vapour, oil…) (Tjeerdsma et al. 1998, Esteves and Pereira 2008, Wentzel et al. 2018) to improve the durability of timbers. The higher the temperature of treatment - and the longer the treatment time - the greater the dimensional stability of wood (Inari et al. 2009; Chaouch 2011; Endo et al. 2016). However, these treatments’ intensity considerably weakens the mechanical properties of timbers (Hill 2006, Froidevaux 2012, Candelier 2016). Thus, thermal
treatments at lower temperature are proposed as a better alternative as they have a lower effect on the mechanical properties of timber. However, it remains unclear if the thermal treatments in presence or absence of humidity is the closest to a ‘natural aging’ effect. Some authors show that hydrothermal treatments in precise conditions of Relative humidity, Temperature and Pressure can mimic an aged wood in terms of its mechanical characteristics, colour change and decreased swelling rate (Froidevaux 2012, Gauvin 2015, Endo et al. 2016). Whereas in terms of dry heat treatments, it seems to be a better option than steam to reduce the hygroscopic capacity of wood, and improve its dimensional stability, with a minimum of thermal degradation (Obataya 2007, Matsuo et al. 2009, Sandberg and Navi 2013).

The wood hygroscopicity plays a major role on the dimensional stability and mechanical properties of wood. This improved dimensional stability may be explained by a decrease in adsorption sites in the polymers chains of the wood cell walls (Hill 2006, Froidevaux 2012, Murata et al. 2013). However, in Rautkari et al. 2013, a poor correlation between EMC, sorption sites accessibility and theoretical hydroxyl group content was found following a thermal treatment and therefore additional mechanisms should exercise control over the EMC. This shows that water interactions with wood (hygroscopicity and dimensional stability) are still not completely understood, which can be explained by the difficulty to characterize adsorbed water in wood. The use of proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance ($^1$H NMR) relaxometry to detect bound water in the wood’s cell walls is gaining popularity in the research field as it is a non-invasive method allowing to study the same material subjected to different loadings. Here, we investigate the state of water adsorbed on the wood’s cell walls through $^1$H low-field (LF) NMR relaxometry (Menon et al. 1987, Araujo et al. 1994, Labbé et al. 2002, Fredriksson and Garbrecht Thygesen 2017, Beck et al. 2018). Recent studies (Cox et al. 2010, Bonnet et al. 2017) have used two-dimensional (2D) T$_1$-T$_2$ correlation spectra, allowing to differentiate two different types of bound water, which have been assigned to water adsorbed to different wood polymers in the hygroscopic range (Bonnet et al. 2017). So far, this technique is the
sole tool capable to observe two different types of bound water in wood. Thus, 2D $^1$H NMR
correlation spectra is a great method that can provide an insight on the water environment inside the
lignocellulosic matter and hints the influence of the microstructure and chemical composition on the
hydrogen signal observed. Moreover, the influence of the two bound water components on
dimensional properties has to be further investigated. According to Cox et al. (2010), only one
component may contribute to wood swelling, whereas for Bonnet et al. (2017), the two components
have an effect on the hydric strains.

The main purpose of this study is to analyse the effect of two aging methods on the hygroscopicity of
wood material by using the 2D $^1$H NMR relaxometry to evaluate the interactions between water and
the cell wall’s physical and chemical changes that may have occurred. A method to evaluate the ‘true
dry mass” of wood material is also proposed, enabling the calculation of the “true equilibrium
moisture content” without the need of drying the samples at 103°C in an oven (which can lead to
irreversible damages or misinterpretations). The evolutions/modifications are also discussed against
hydric strains, as well as the possible contribution of the two water components on the volume
deformation. A parallel objective of this work is to compare these changes between historical and
modern oak woods.

Materials and Methods

Materials: The experiments were carried out on modern and historical oak wood samples to compare
the effect of aging on two types of wood. The historical wood (350-year-old oak) was provided by
Atelier Perrault, and comes from a wooden door’s frame of an old building of the 17th century in Saint
Georges street in Rennes, France. The historical oak wood has been subjected to natural aging. The
modern oak wood was recently provided from a sawmill located in the North of France and may be
considered without aging. Figure 1 provides an optical microscopy image of the transversal cross-
section (plane RT) of both wood materials. The chemical composition and the proportion of the
different kinds of cells (vessel, fiber, parenchyma...) were not quantified in this study, but these images allowed confirming differences between these two oak materials.

Small specimens of approximately 1 cm$^3$ were prepared as 1 cm is the maximum height for optimal NMR measurements. This was done using a band saw and they were all clear from visible defects. They were sawn along the anisotropic directions (Longitudinal L, Radial R and Tangential T). All the samples were taken side by side, to minimize the variability between samples of the same type (modern wood or historical wood). The average density of all specimens was measured at 2% relative humidity (RH) and is 0.64 (±0.02) g cm$^{-3}$ for modern oak wood and 0.47 (±0.02) g cm$^{-3}$ for historical oak wood. The lower density of the historical wood is in accordance with the fact that there are more vessels.

All samples were subjected to a common cycle of adsorption-desorption to equalize their hydric history, using saturated salt solutions at 2% RH (silica gel) and 97% RH (potassium sulphate: K$_2$SO$_4$). They were kept in desiccators until constant mass (about one week). All the experiments were carried out at 20°C and on an adsorption cycle (starting from 2% RH) to avoid the influence of the hysteresis in the observed phenomena.

**Aging protocols:** Two aging methods were conducted, on the one hand repeated hydric cycles (considered as a “natural aging” method with gentle impact) and on the other hand mild thermal treatment (considered as an “accelerated aging” method). To compare the effect of these aging methods, the samples were all characterized through 2D $^1$H NMR at equilibrium moisture content (Eq. 1):

$$\text{EMC}_{x\%\text{RH}} = \frac{M_{x\%\text{RH}} - M_{\text{dry}}}{M_{\text{dry}}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where EMC$_{x\%\text{RH}}$ is the equilibrium moisture content at $x\%$ RH [\%], $M_{x\%\text{RH}}$ the mass of the equilibrated sample at $x\%$ RH [g] and $M_{\text{dry}}$ the mass of dry sample [g].
Repeated hydric cycles consist in applying repeated cycles of adsorption and desorption to wood specimens. In this study, three samples of modern wood and three samples of historical wood were subjected successively to 2% RH and 97% RH using the method of saturated salt solutions to attain EMC at room temperature (20°C). These hydric cycles were repeated during 6 months (corresponding to a total of 12 cycles of adsorption-desorption) and samples were studied at 1, 3 and 6 months. In order to study the evolution of the samples at each period, they were conditioned at 65% RH, 20°C (using sodium nitrite (NaNO$_2$)) and analysed through $^1$H NMR at EMC. In parallel, control samples of modern and historical wood (three of each) were conditioned at 65% RH, 20°C, over the entire period of the experiments. Deformation measurements and mass measurements were carried out regularly on all samples to assess the EMC by weighing and the evolution of the hydric strains.

Thermal treatments (TT) were conducted in an oven at a temperature of 120°C (where RH is close to 0%) for three periods of time (24 hours, 3 days and 7 days). Prior to the TT, the initial state of each specimen conditioned at 65% RH was characterized through 2D $^1$H NMR at EMC at 20°C. After TT, the specimens were again conditioned at 65% RH, 20°C for one week before a second $^1$H NMR analysis. This protocol allows to compare the EMC evolution for the same sample and to determine the mass loss induced by the TT. Dimensions were also measured before and after treatment to assess the change in volume deformation as this provides information on the stability of the wood. Three specimens of each wood were considered for the 24 hours TT to take into account the variability of wood material and to test the reproducibility and the repeatability of the used method. As the variability was statistically tested with a Student T-test and showing significant changes after 24h TT, only one specimen of each wood type was analysed for the 3 and 7 days TTs.

NMR methods: The samples were measured by 2D $^1$H NMR and to do so they were inserted in 18mm NMR tubes. The RH was controlled with a saturated salt solution at 65% RH during the NMR experiments (Bonnet et al. 2017, Fourmentin 2015) placed in a small container on the top of the NMR
tubes. As the temperature of the magnetic unit is 40°C, a cooling system is used to maintain the samples at 20°C (at the bottom of the NMR tubes). The temperature in the area of the saturated salt container (outside the instrument) corresponds to a temperature of 25±2°C (measured during NMR analysis). The theoretical variation of the saturated salt solution used is up to 2% decrease of RH at 30°C. To take into account possible variations on EMC, all samples were weighed before and after NMR measurements and the mean value of these two measurements was used to calculate the EMC. The difference in EMC calculated with the mass before NMR and the mass after NMR measurement was evaluated and resulted to be lower than 0.1%. Therefore, it is considered of a minor effect and does not affect the obtained results and interpretations. The device used was a BRUKER MINISPEC MQ20 spectrometer that operates at 0.5 T, corresponding to a resonance frequency of 20 MHz for $^1$H.

The principle of NMR is based on the intrinsic nuclear spin that some atomic nuclei have (with an odd number of protons, neutrons, or both). When an atomic nucleus with a nonzero spin is placed in a magnetic field $B_0$, the nuclear spin can be aligned in the same direction or in the opposite direction to the field. These two types of nuclear spin alignment are characterized by different energies, and their sum is called the magnetization ($\vec{M}$). When a second magnetic field (with a characteristic energy) $B_1$, perpendicular to $B_0$ is applied as a pulse, this magnetization is disturbed and the relaxation (=time needed for the magnetization to come back to its initial state) is characteristic of the atom and of the local environment (Kekkonen 2014). The two main relaxation times measured are: $T_2$, the spin–spin or transversal relaxation time and $T_1$, the spin–lattice or longitudinal relaxation time.

The Inversion Recovery (IR) sequence coupled with the Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) sequence (Carr and Purcell! 1954, Meiboom and Gill 1985) was used to obtain 2D NMR correlation spectra of the $T_1$ and the $T_2$ relaxation values. The calculation of $T_1$-$T_2$ correlation spectra from NMR data was performed with an in-house software, which essentially reproduces the 2D-Inverse Laplace Transform (ILT) algorithm of Song et al. (2002). For more details about this and about the acquisition
method and parameters, see Bonnet et al. 2017. The $T_1$-$T_2$ correlation spectra allow to show the NMR signal as a function of the two relaxation times, $T_1$ and $T_2$, obtaining two different peaks for the adsorbed water (which is not possible with 1D $T_1$ or $T_2$ spectra due to peak overlapping). The volume under each peak of the 2D spectra is proportional to the amount of hydrogen (H) atoms. The $T_1$ and $T_2$ values of each peak are determined by means of the coordinates of their respective maxima. 2D spectra also give unambiguous access to $T_1/T_2$ ratios. The latter is characteristic of H atoms mobility and confinement and greatly helps spectrum interpretation.

**Determination of EMC:** The moisture content can be calculated from the $T_1$-$T_2$ spectra, as the area under the peaks is proportional to the amount of H atoms. Thus, the total moisture content can be evaluated through NMR as the sum of the area under the two peaks corresponding to bound water. In order to convert the NMR signal into a mass of adsorbed water, a standard curve is performed with different quantities of water. The bound water mass ($M_{NMR,x\%RH}$) determined by $^1$H NMR at x\% RH is defined by (Eq. 2):

$$q_{NMR,x\%RH} = \alpha \cdot M_{NMR,x\%RH}$$  

Where $q_{NMR,x\%RH}$ is the NMR signal intensity of peaks of interest and $\alpha$ the proportional coefficient determined through the standard curve (in this study, $\alpha = 164.77$ NMR signal/g of water).

To calculate $EMC_{NMR,x\%RH}$, it is necessary to determine the mass of the dry sample, $M_{dry}$. To avoid irreversible structural damages or misinterpretations (in particular for thermal treatment), the studied materials are never oven-dried at 103°C as usually done before heat treatments (Rajohnson 1996, Obataya 2007, Candelier 2013). Therefore, $^1$H NMR can be of good use to determine the “true dry weight” of wood. Total mass of wood is weighed at EMC with a scale of precision ±0.0002g and the amount of bound water at EMC is calculated through $^1$H NMR as depicted previously. Thus, the mass of dry wood $M_{dry}$ [g] can be calculated as follows (Eq. 3):
\[ M_{\text{dry}} = M_{w,x\%RH} - M_{\text{NMR},x\%RH} \]  

(3)

Where \( M_{w,x\%RH} \) [g] is the total mass (dry wood and bound water) determined by weighing at \( x\% \) RH (which corresponds to the average value of weighing before and after NMR experiments) and \( M_{\text{NMR},x\%RH} \) [g] is the bound water mass determined by NMR at \( x\% \) RH. The moisture content determined through \(^1\)H NMR measurements (EMC\(_{\text{NMR},x\%RH}\)) is defined as \( M_{\text{NMR},x\%RH} \) divided by \( M_{\text{dry}} \). The moisture content determined by weighing at \( x\% \) RH (EMC\(_{w,x\%RH}\)) is defined as \( M_{w,x\%RH} - M_{w,2\%RH} \) divided by \( M_{w,2\%RH} \). These two moisture contents verify the following relationship (Eq. 4):

\[
\text{EMC}_{\text{NMR},x\%RH} = \text{EMC}_{w,x\%RH} \frac{M_{w,2\%RH}}{M_{\text{dry}}} + \frac{M_{w,2\%RH} - M_{\text{dry}}}{M_{\text{dry}}} 
\]

(4)

The obtained data for EMC\(_{\text{NMR},x\%RH}\), EMC\(_{w,x\%RH}\), \( M_{\text{dry}} \) and \( M_{w,2\%RH} \) are given in SI. Uncertainties on measurements for EMC are determined through the standard deviation values; they take into account the possible variability between samples’ results and the precision of the balance (0.36% for the modern wood and 0.28% for the historical wood).

**Volume measurements:** In order to assess the changes in regard to the two aging methods, the samples’ dimensions were measured with a Mitutoyo electronic calliper with a precision of ± 0.01mm. These measurements were performed at each step of the experiments for the three directions R, T and L allowing the calculation of the samples’ volume: during the conditioning, at EMC, right before and after NMR measurements.

Measurements of the samples’ dimensions allow to determine the deformation between two states, 2% RH and \( x\% \) RH (with \( x=65\% \) or \( 97\% \) RH). Hydric strains at \( x\% \) RH (\( \epsilon_{x\%} \)) are related to the state
at 2% RH, as the volume of the dry wood was not experimentally measured as previously explained, according to (Eq. 5):

$$\varepsilon_{x\%} = \frac{V_{x\%RH} - V_{2\%RH}}{V_{2\%RH}} \times 100 \quad (5)$$

Where $V_{x\%RH}$ and $V_{2\%RH}$ are the volumes of a sample at $x\%$ RH and 2% RH respectively.

Note that the determination of the volume deformations was done using the state at 2% RH right before the conditioning at 65% RH for samples subjected to hydric cycles.

Uncertainties on deformation measurements are determined through standard deviation values; they take into account the possible variability between samples’ results and the precision of the electronic calliper. For these experiments, the variability is about 0.39% for the modern wood and 0.30% for the historical wood. Also note that the EMC values for hydric strains may slightly differ from those during the NMR experiments due to possible variations of RH and temperature and because the dimension measurements are performed outside the desiccators.

**Results and discussion**

**T$_1$-T$_2$ spectra and peak assignment:** Figure 2 shows T$_1$-T$_2$ correlation spectra recorded from historical and modern oak wood samples (only one sample of each wood is represented here), before aging processes. Regardless of small differences in the shapes of the peaks observed in the cartographies, the global scheme is similar for both wood materials.

To interpret these spectra, it is necessary to understand the influence of water mobility and environment on the relaxation times T$_1$ and T$_2$. T$_1$ and T$_2$ depend on the local environment of the measured hydrogen atoms (H) (i.e. from water molecules and wood polymers in this work), that is to say: size of the molecules they are bound to, affinity with molecules interacting and pore size. T$_2$ relaxation time decreases as pore size is reduced. Moreover, T$_2$ will also be shortened when molecular tumbling (mobility) decreases. If H atoms are in molecules with restricted mobility due to viscosity,
or the interactions being in macromolecules or solid phase, $T_2$ values will decrease gradually depending on the degree of this restriction. The case of $T_1$ is a bit more complicated than $T_2$ as it first decreases when molecular tumbling decreases (from liquid phase) but it increases when molecular tumbling is low (in gel and solid like molecules). Fortunately, $T_1/T_2$ ratios are characteristic of the mobility of H atoms and greatly help spectrum interpretation. Depending on the value of these ratios, water can be assigned to unconfined water, mobile water in a free or adsorbed state and bonded immobile water molecules and solid macromolecules. It can be noticed that $T_1/T_2$ ratios lower than one are physically not permitted (Bonnet et al. 2017).

Based on this knowledge, the peaks observed in the $T_1$-$T_2$ spectra can be identified based on analysis previously done in Cox et al. (2010) and Bonnet et al. (2017). Peak A is labelled as liquid water in the lumen as it has the highest $T_1$ and $T_2$ values and it is close to the $T_1=T_2$ line. In some spectra, this peak is located slightly above the $T_1=T_2$ line, which certainly owes to the way the signal acquisition was made. Indeed, the CPMG period of the NMR sequence was deliberately truncated after 12ms to prevent excessive radio frequency (RF) power deposition in the sample and thus avoid heating the sample. As a result, the measurement of $T_2$ value for peak A is not complete and lacks accuracy, leading to a widening and ‘mislocation’ in $T_2$ direction. In our case, the amplitude of the peak is also very low and it is therefore not of interest in this study. Peaks B and C correspond to bound water in two specific and distinct water reservoirs in the wood cell walls. The $T_1/T_2$ ratio is higher for peak B than for peak C, indicating a more restricted molecular motion in compartment B and thus more strongly bonded water molecules in that compartment. In contrary, the low $T_1/T_2$ ratio for peak C indicates less restricted molecular motion and less strongly bonded water molecules. In some spectra, peak C seems to split into two peaks, one with lower $T_1$ and $T_2$ values than the other peak but having almost the same $T_1/T_2$ ratio. This could be interpreted as two types of C-water with small differences on their environment (e.g. surface-to-volume ratio). In general, the peak with higher $T_2$ and $T_1$ values is most intense, but in few cases, it is the other one showing higher amplitude. Thus, for easier
interpretation, the peak taken into account for the calculation of $T_1$ and $T_2$ values of peak C is always the one with higher $T_1$ and $T_2$ values. It would be interesting to understand the nature of this splitting but it is out of scope of this work. Finally, peak D represents H atoms from the wood polymers since the $T_2$ value relaxes very fast (in the order of µs).

Among the recent results, Bonnet et al (2017) have proposed to relate the two components B and C to the structure of the S2 layer of the cell wall, because this layer may explain the mechanical properties and especially the hydric strains. Based on a schematic structure of the S2 layer inspired by Boyd (1982) and Salmén and Burgert (2009), it has been explained that the population of water from component B (strongly bonded) may be located in the macrofibrils composed mainly of microfibrils of crystalline and amorphous cellulose embedded in a matrix of hemicelluloses (primarily glucomannan for softwoods). While the population of water from component C (weakly bonded) may be in a lignin and hemicelluloses (xylan) matrix corresponding to the intra-macrofibril region. The attribution of the two bound water components B and C to these two regions is related to the fact that water affinity is higher in the region composed of cellulose and hemicelluloses than in the region composed of lignin and hemicelluloses. However, the proportion of polymers differ between hardwoods and softwoods (Chaouch et al. 2010). Hardwoods contain mainly glucuronoxylan and low amount of glucomannan. Thus, for our studied materials, it can be suggested that xylan (glucuronoxylan) may be present in the two regions.

NMR results for samples at the initial state: Table 1 presents the average results obtained from NMR measurements on the control samples of hydric cycles and on the samples at the initial state before TT. EMC values (deduced from the sum of peaks B and C) are the same order of magnitude for both woods. Furthermore, peak B reveals a higher moisture content than peak C for both woods, meaning that this compartment contains more adsorbed water than the other one. Compartment C
shows higher water content for the historical wood than for the modern wood, while there is less water in compartment B for historical wood. These differences in terms of relative moisture content in B and C could provide new insights in terms of evolution in the structure and composition of the wood cell wall. The higher moisture content in compartment C of the historical wood appears to indicate a higher amount of available adsorption sites in that region compared to the modern wood. The higher content of adsorption sites could be due to an evolution of the polymeric structure such as a change in the polymers conformation. Indeed, the lignin undergoes structural changes due to oxidation during aging but, as shown in Kranitz 2014, the absolute amount remains the same. Therefore, these structural changes in the lignin could free some previously inaccessible hydroxyl sites for water adsorption.

NMR results give an additional indication in favor of the hypothesis made on the local environment of water in compartment B, which is mainly composed of cellulose and hemicelluloses. As mentioned earlier, the hemicelluloses are the first polymers to degrade during wood aging and a decrease in amorphous cellulose is observed in naturally aged woods (Kranitz 2014), thus leading to a decreased number of adsorption sites and therefore lower moisture content in that region for historical wood compared to the modern wood. However, it may be difficult to quantitatively compare these two oak wood types without chemical characterization. This is a common issue found when historical and modern wood are to be compared, as the composition and proportion of polymers in the cell wall before aging are not known. The environmental conditions and geographical location are also a source of variability in the wood development and evolution. Thus, to improve our understanding of the relative differences on the amounts of B and C ‘water’ between historical and modern oak wood, a detailed chemical analysis could be useful (e.g. characterization and quantification of wood polymers) in future works.
NMR results for repeated hydric cycles: Table 2 presents the moisture content measured during the repeated hydric cycles as well as the characteristic relaxation times $T_1$ and $T_2$ for all samples. A graphical representation of the evolution in total moisture content is also presented in Figure 3.

First observation shows that hydric cycles did not really affect the adsorption behaviour of the samples, meaning that no significant increase or decrease in EMC is observed in regards to the standard deviation values. A paired Student T-test was performed on all the moisture content measures ($EMC_{NMR,65\%RH(B+C)}$, $EMC_{NMR,65\%RH(B)}$ and $EMC_{NMR,65\%RH(C)}$) showing no significant evolution of the EMC ($p$-values $> 0.05$) along the 6 months of repeated hydric cycles. Moreover, the evolution of the $T_1/T_2$ ratio is globally constant. This indicates no change in the mobility of water pools, thus no change in the polymeric structure of the cell wall, i.e. no change in the hygroscopicity of the wood material. Such results were expected since the room temperature and humidity are fixed and controlled along the experiment, which is not the case in natural conditions of aging. Furthermore, mass of samples was recorded during the cycles and permits to estimate the mass loss through the 6 months cycles, showing no significant mass loss through the whole experiment period.

In conclusion, although these repeated hydric loads may have an influence on the hydric properties over the long term, we suppose that the duration of tests is not sufficient to induce a significant effect on the hygroscopic properties. This result will be discussed with the hydric deformation measurements below.

NMR results for moderate heat treatment: NMR data are presented in Table 3 and a graphical representation of the evolution in total moisture content before and after the heat treatments is presented in Figure 3.

A noticeable loss in total $EMC_{NMR,65\%RH(B+C)}$ is observed for both modern and historical wood samples and the longer the treatment, the higher the loss in hygroscopicity, as expected. Thermal treatments also show a total higher effect on the historical wood than on modern wood. There is a
higher EMC loss for historical wood the longer the treatment time (13% for modern wood against
19% for historical wood, in average of the 72 h and 168 h TT). The loss of water in compartments B
and C can be calculated separately, showing for the 24 h TT a higher percentage of water loss in peak
C (18.0%) than peak B (6.9%) for historical wood. While it seems to be the contrary for modern oak
wood, with higher loss in compartment B (11.5%) than C (9.9%). The same conclusions were
obtained for the 72 h and 168 h TTs.

A Student T-test was performed on these percentages of loss in EMC for the 24 h TT, showing no
significant difference between the loss in \( \text{EMC}_{\text{NMR,65}\%\text{RH}}(B) \) and \( \text{EMC}_{\text{NMR,65}\%\text{RH}}(C) \) for modern wood.
For historical wood, the statistical tests confirmed a significant difference between peaks B and C,
with a higher loss for \( \text{EMC}_{\text{NMR,65}\%\text{RH}}(C) \). As mentioned previously, the strongly bound water
(component B) may be located in the macrofibrils (cellulose-glucuronoxylan-glucomannan matrix) -
and the weakly bound water in the lignin-glucuronoxylan matrix (component C). It is suggested in
Salmén and Burguert (2009) that there might be a highly acetylated xylan which is closely associated
to a less condensed type of lignin, whereas low substituted xylans are associated with cellulose and a
condensed type of lignin. It can be noticed that xylan units of hemicelluloses in hardwoods are
generally strongly acetylated, generating a higher sensitivity of these materials to thermal treatments,
as the xylan units could lead to higher kinetic of thermo-degradation in hardwoods (Chaouch et al.
2010). Therefore, one could assume that the highly acetylated xylan are linked with the component
C, while the low-substituted xylan is linked to the component B. These associations could be of major
importance in regard of the selective evolution of wood adsorption.

For the historical wood, it seems that the dry mass loss (Table 4) is greater with treatment time.
Indeed, the dry mass loss for 72 and 168 h TTs was higher than for the 24 h treatment, but as only
one sample was used for these two durations, observations are mostly qualitative. For the modern
wood, the same observation was made except for the 168 h TT that seems to be an outlier due to its
low value, but which is due to the fact that it is the dry mass loss of a single sample. Recent tests
confirmed an increased dry mass loss with treatment time for modern wood, up to 2.3%. Nevertheless, these percentages of dry mass loss are quite low and according to Kollmann and Fengel (1965) and Esteves and Pereira (2008) wood degradation begins only at 130-150°C for oak wood. Thus, by heating at 120°C, there should be no degradation of polymers and the dry mass loss observed may be due to a loss of extractives during heat treatment as discussed in Esteves and Pereira 2008.

In our case, the extractives degradation cannot fully explain the loss in EMC observed after TT, knowing that not all the extractives are volatile (Hillis 1971, Esteves and Pereira 2008, Jankowska et al. 2017). Indeed, the T₁-T₂ correlation spectra reveal a global increase of the T₁/T₂ ratio after thermal treatments (Table 3), indicating that there are stronger bonds between adsorption sites and water molecules. The ratio increase also indicates the confinement of the H atoms detected. The evolution of H atoms mobility could be due to a restricted space between polymers therefore changing the local environment of H atoms. When wood is oven-dried in a dry atmosphere, various chemical changes take place producing a "shrunken" state for which the intermolecular space is minimized (Obataya 2007). Thus, when wood is reconditioned at 65% RH, the restricted intermolecular space will not allow the adsorption of the water molecules in several layers as it used to before TT. This hypothesis can also be interpreted by looking at the evolution of peak C for instance (Figure 4), which can be divided into two parts to highlight two populations of water. The lower part corresponds to the least mobile H atoms and therefore the most strongly linked water molecules (directly linked to the adsorption sites). The upper part corresponds to the most mobile H atoms and therefore the least strongly linked water molecules (upper additional layers of adsorbed water) (see Figure 4b). Following the TT, peak C is shifted downward (T₂ decreases and T₁ increases) showing a larger population of H atoms more strongly bound to the polymeric matrix, but there has been a loss of the less strongly bound H population. Consequently, there is a loss of additional layers of adsorbed water molecules due to the smaller intermolecular space following TT. Note that this observation is similar
for peak B. The shrinking of the polymeric matrix could be a plausible explanation for the increase in the confinement of bound water molecules and therefore for the decrease in water adsorption.

Discussion in relation with deformation: The hydric strains of studied materials either subjected to repeated hydric cycles or to thermal treatments are given in Figure 5 and are compared to those without aging (control samples and samples at the initial state). They are expressed as a function of total EMC (EMC\textsubscript{NMR,65%,HR}(B + C)) determined by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR from the components B and C. Note that, if the hydric strains (related to the state at 2\% RH) are plotted against EMC (related to dry mass) it is observed that the hydric strains for modern and historical wood materials vary linearly with the moisture content, as expected for wood materials (see SI for more details). The slope $\beta$ of the obtained linear law expressed as a function of EMC is 0.50 for modern wood 0.45 for historical wood. It can be noticed that the value of the slope is related to the density of the studied materials (Bonnet 2017) and the slope $\beta$ decreases with decreasing density. According to the above results (see Table 2 and Table 3), the densities of the samples after “natural” or “artificial” aging do not significantly vary, so the slope remains the same after aging. Thanks to this linear law between hydric strains and EMC, it is possible to discuss the influence of each bound water compartments (B or C) on the decrease of volume deformation. This evolution is related to the decrease of EMC(B) and EMC(C) which is related to the decrease of EMC(B+C) for samples subjected to aging, see SI for more details.

The hydric strains for samples subjected to repeated hydric cycles seem to be “globally” stable through the 6 months cycles (Figure 5) for modern and historical wood materials. This is in coherence with a “globally” stable EMC. Note that the hydric strains of the samples at one month are higher than those of the control samples, in coherence with higher EMC (Table 2). Between 1 month and 6 months cycles there is surprisingly a slight decrease of the volume deformations with no decrease of the EMC. Further studies are necessary to confirm these unexpected results (at other durations).

Moreover, it seems to be more important for modern wood than for historical wood (Figure 5) in
coherence with the fact that the hemicelluloses are supposed to be mostly degraded in historical wood 
(Kranitz 2014, Obataya 2007), thus leaving a material more stable in terms of volume deformation. 
Concerning the samples subjected to thermal treatments, the hydric strains significantly decreased 
after TT and with treatment duration. However, the effect seems to be weaker for the modern wood 
than for historical wood. The contribution of each component (B or C) on the hydric strains is not the 
same for both studied materials. For historical wood material, the contribution of compartment C on 
volume deformation decreases with treatment time while the contribution of component B increases 
(see Table 5). Furthermore, the component C contributes the most in the loss of volume deformation 
for historical wood, which means that for higher treatment times, the lignin-xylan matrix might 
undergoes some chemical changes that reduces the hygroscopicity of the wood cell wall. As for the 
modern wood, inverse phenomena was observed with a decrease in contribution of component B in 
the loss of volume deformation, while component C seems to increase. Nevertheless, the contribution 
of compartment C in modern wood presents higher percentage meaning that it has a higher influence 
on the decrease in volume deformation.

To conclude, the use of NMR by quantifying the two components B and C and relating them to the 
possible modification of hygroscopicity due to aging, appears to be an available and accurate method 
to evaluate the decrease of hydric strains. Furthermore, these results confirm that the two components 
B and C have an effect on hydric deformation, especially for thermal treatments.

**Conclusions**

This study shows the potential of the 2D $^1$H NMR correlation spectra to study modifications in wood 
hygroscopicity by being the sole technique able to quantify two types of adsorbed water in two
different chemical environments in the wood cell walls. This method also permits to determine the moisture content of wood without the necessity to oven-dry the wood material.

Two aging methods have been conducted on two oak wood materials (modern and historical wood), on the one hand “natural” aging with repeated hydric cycles and on the other hand “artificial” aging with mild thermal treatment. Results show that the duration of the “natural” aging seems to be not sufficient to induce evolution on the hygroscopic properties, but unexpected results over time were observed for the hydric strains. More investigations are necessary, especially with other durations.

Concerning “artificial” aging, a clear reduction in total moisture content was observed after heat treatment and reconditioning at 65% RH for the two types of oak wood, accompanied with low dry mass loss. The observed decrease of water content is in accordance with the decrease of the swelling strains between 2% RH and 65% RH. Moreover, $T_1/T_2$ NMR experiments allow us to measure the effect of heat treatment for the two types of adsorbed water by showing a higher percentage of water loss in one compartment than in the other for the historical oak wood. The reason of this selective loss of water adsorption can be explained in relation with the chemical composition of the studied materials. The global decrease of EMC is the result of the loss of extractives but also of structural modifications such as restricted space between polymers leading to a decrease of adsorbed water layers. This is shown by the increase of the $T_1/T_2$ ratio which indicates a decrease on the averaged mobility of H atoms for each water pool after TT and stronger interactions (bonds) between wood and adsorbed water molecules. All these results could be completed with $^{13}$C NMR or IR investigation to determine the changes that occurred in wood polymers more precisely.
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Table 1: Density [g/cm$^3$] and 2D $^1$H NMR results ($\text{EMC}_{\text{NMR,65\%}}$ noted B+C [%] and the ratio $T_1/T_2$ [-]) for the specimens at 65% RH (samples without hydric or thermal loadings). Average values (mean) and standard deviation (SD) are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Modern wood Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Historical wood Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density g/cm$^3$</td>
<td>0.67 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+C [%]</td>
<td>12.10 (0.36)</td>
<td>11.51 (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B [%]</td>
<td>9.24 (0.34)</td>
<td>7.36 (0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1/T_2$</td>
<td>88.39 (3.46)</td>
<td>76.06 (7.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C [%]</td>
<td>2.86 (0.20)</td>
<td>4.15 (0.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1/T_2$</td>
<td>3.34 (0.12)</td>
<td>3.83 (0.67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Density [g/cm$^3$] and 2D $^1$H NMR results ($\text{EMC}_{\text{NMR,65\%}}$ noted B+C [%] and the ratio $T_1/T_2$ [-]) of the specimens subjected to repeated hydric cycles. Average values (mean) and standard deviation (SD) are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Modern wood Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Historical wood Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density g/cm$^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control samples</td>
<td>0.65 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>0.66 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>0.64 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>0.48 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+C [%]</td>
<td>12.08 (0.11)</td>
<td>12.46 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>12.45 (0.07)</td>
<td>12.47 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>11.59 (0.35)</td>
<td>11.85 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>11.90 (0.35)</td>
<td>12.23 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B [%]</td>
<td>9.16 (0.13)</td>
<td>9.20 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>9.28 (0.09)</td>
<td>9.36 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>7.46 (0.43)</td>
<td>7.83 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>7.89 (0.79)</td>
<td>7.12 (1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1/T_2$</td>
<td>91.16 (0.00)</td>
<td>82.90 (5.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>87.84 (5.75)</td>
<td>84.52 (5.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>84.61 (7.37)</td>
<td>81.20 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>81.29 (4.70)</td>
<td>75.20 (2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C [%]</td>
<td>2.92 (0.23)</td>
<td>3.27 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>3.17 (0.08)</td>
<td>3.11 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>4.13 (0.77)</td>
<td>4.02 (0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>4.01 (0.65)</td>
<td>5.11 (0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1/T_2$</td>
<td>3.37 (0.20)</td>
<td>3.80 (0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>4.17 (0.28)</td>
<td>3.94 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>4.24 (0.97)</td>
<td>4.10 (0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>4.19 (0.52)</td>
<td>4.03 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Density [g/cm³] and 2D ¹H NMR results (EMC₉⁵% noted B+C [%] and the ratio T₁/T₂ [-]) for the specimens subjected to moderate heat treatment. Average values (mean) and standard deviation (SD) are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of treatment</th>
<th>Modern wood Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Historical wood Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density [g/cm³]</td>
<td>B+C [%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>0.68 (0.01)</td>
<td>10.90 (0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72h</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>10.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168h</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>10.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50 (0.02)</td>
<td>10.34 (0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>9.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>8.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Mass of specimens [g] before and after heat treatments and the relative mass loss [%].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal Treatment time</th>
<th>Modern wood</th>
<th>Historical wood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>0.5731 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.5715 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72h</td>
<td>0.5781</td>
<td>0.5746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168h</td>
<td>0.4634</td>
<td>0.4623</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Contribution of components B and C [%] on the variation of hydric deformation at 65%HR before and after aging. This contribution is given by ∆EMC(B or C) divided by ∆EMC(B + C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal treatment time</th>
<th>Modern wood</th>
<th>Historical wood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B [%]</td>
<td>C [%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72h</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168h</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Optical microscopy image of transversal cross-section of the historical oak wood (a) and the modern oak wood (b). Fibers were possibly filled with wood powder during the polishing (in white in the fibers).

Figure 2: T₁-T₂ correlation spectra of the historical oak wood (a) and the modern oak wood (b) at the initial state before aging at 65% RH, 20°C. Diagonal lines correspond to T₁=T₂.
Figure 3: Evolution of the total moisture content $EMC_{NMR,65\%RH}^{(B+C)}$ noted MC(B+C) [%] for (a) modern and (b) historical wood samples subjected to repeated hydric cycles and moderate thermal treatment.

Figure 4: (a) Superposition of two $T_1$-$T_2$ correlation spectra of the same modern wood sample before (in transparency) and after (in bright colour) heat treatment. (b) Schematic representation of the evolution of “peak C” following a thermal treatment.

Figure 5: Volume deformations of samples (according to Eq. 5) without aging and through the 6 months repeated hydric cycles and for the thermal treatments.