



Finite Element Approximation of the FENE-P Model

John Barrett, Sébastien Boyaval

► **To cite this version:**

John Barrett, Sébastien Boyaval. Finite Element Approximation of the FENE-P Model. 2017. <hal-01501197>

HAL Id: hal-01501197

<https://hal-enpc.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01501197>

Submitted on 3 Apr 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATION OF THE FENE-P MODEL

JOHN W. BARRETT AND SÉBASTIEN BOYAVAL

ABSTRACT. We extend our analysis on the Oldroyd-B model in Barrett and Boyaval [1] to consider the finite element approximation of the FENE-P system of equations, which models a dilute polymeric fluid, in a bounded domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 2$ or 3 , subject to no flow boundary conditions. Our schemes are based on approximating the pressure and the symmetric conformation tensor by either (a) piecewise constants or (b) continuous piecewise linears. In case (a) the velocity field is approximated by continuous piecewise quadratics ($d = 2$) or a reduced version, where the tangential component on each simplicial edge ($d = 2$) or face ($d = 3$) is linear. In case (b) the velocity field is approximated by continuous piecewise quadratics or the mini-element. We show that both of these types of schemes, based on the backward Euler type time discretization, satisfy a *free energy* bound, which involves the logarithm of both the conformation tensor and a linear function of its trace, without any constraint on the time step. Furthermore, for our approximation (b) in the presence of an additional dissipative term in the stress equation, the so-called FENE-P model with stress diffusion, we show (subsequence) *convergence* in the case $d = 2$, as the spatial and temporal discretization parameters tend to zero, towards global-in-time weak solutions of this FENE-P system. Hence, we prove existence of global-in-time weak solutions to the FENE-P model with stress diffusion in two spatial dimensions.

Keywords: FENE-P model, entropy, finite element method, convergence analysis, stress diffusion, existence of weak solutions

AMS Subject Classification: 35Q30, 65M12, 65M60, 76A10, 76M10, 82D60

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The FENE-P model. We consider the standard FENE-P model for a dilute polymeric fluid. The fluid, confined to an open bounded domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d = 2$ or 3) with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$, is governed by the following non-dimensionalized system for a given $b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$:

(P) Find $\mathbf{u} : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $p : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{D}_T := (0, T) \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto p(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_{S, > 0, b}^{d \times d}$ such that

$$(1.1a) \quad \text{Re} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \right) = -\nabla p + (1 - \varepsilon) \Delta \mathbf{u} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Wi}} \text{div} (A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}) + \mathbf{f} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(1.1b) \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(1.1c) \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\nabla \mathbf{u}) \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T - \frac{A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\text{Wi}} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(1.1d) \quad \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$(1.1e) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$(1.1f) \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\mathcal{D};$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad A(\boldsymbol{\phi}) := \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\phi})}{b} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\phi}^{-1} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}_{S, > 0, b}^{d \times d} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbb{R}_{S, > 0}^{d \times d} : \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) < b \right\}.$$

Here $\mathbb{R}_{S, > 0}^{d \times d}$ denotes the set of symmetric $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ matrices, and $\mathbb{R}_{S, > 0}^{d \times d}$ the set of symmetric positive definite $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ matrices. In addition, $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{R}_{S, > 0}^{d \times d}$ is the identity, and $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ denotes trace. The unknowns in (P) are the velocity of the fluid, \mathbf{u} , the hydrostatic pressure, p , and the symmetric conformation tensor of the polymer molecules, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. The latter is linked to the symmetric polymeric extra-stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ through the relation $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Wi}} A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. In addition, $\mathbf{f} : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{D}_T \mapsto \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in$

\mathbb{R}^d is the given density of body forces acting on the fluid; and the following given parameters are dimensionless: the Reynolds number $\text{Re} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the Weissenberg number $\text{Wi} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the elastic-to-viscous viscosity fraction $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and the FENE-P parameter $b > 0$ (related to a maximal admissible extensibility of the polymer molecules within the fluid). For the sake of simplicity, we will limit ourselves to the no flow boundary conditions (1.1f). Finally, we denote $\nabla \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ the velocity gradient tensor field with $[\nabla \mathbf{u}]_{ij} = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}$, and $(\text{div } \boldsymbol{\sigma})(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the vector field with $[\text{div } \boldsymbol{\sigma}]_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial x_j}$.

For data $\mathbf{f} \equiv 0$, divergence free $\mathbf{u}^0 \in [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^d$, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0$, which is symmetric positive definite for a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}$, satisfying $\ln(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0)}{b}) \in L^1(\mathcal{D})$, then the existence of a global-in-time weak solution $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^d) \cap L^2(0, T, [H_0^1(\mathcal{D})]^d)$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in L^\infty(0, T; [L^\infty(\mathcal{D})]^{d \times d})$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^{d \times d})$ to (\mathbf{P}) , (1.1a–f), was proved in Masmoudi [20].

In this work, we consider finite element approximations of the FENE-P system (\mathbf{P}) and the corresponding model with stress diffusion, (\mathbf{P}_α) , which is obtained by adding the dissipative term $\alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ for a given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ to the right-hand side of (1.1c) with an additional no flux boundary condition for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ on $\partial \mathcal{D}$. This paper extends the results in Barrett and Boyaval [1], where finite element approximations of the corresponding Oldroyd-B models, where $A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1}$, were introduced and analysed. In fact, the convergence proof of the finite element approximation of the Oldroyd-B model with stress diffusion for $d = 2$ in [1] provided the first existence proof of global-in-time weak solutions for this system. Note that $A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1}$ is the formal limit of (1.2) for infinite extensibility; that is, $b \rightarrow \infty$.

The model (\mathbf{P}_α) has been considered computationally in Sureshkumar and Beris [24]. We recall also that El-Kareh and Leal [14] showed the existence of a weak solution to a modified stationary FENE-P system of equations, which included stress diffusion, but there an additional regularization was also present in their modified system and played an essential role in their proof. We stress that the dissipative term $\alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in (\mathbf{P}_α) is not a regularization, but can be physically motivated through the centre-of-mass diffusion in the related microscopic-macroscopic polymer model, though with a positive $\alpha \ll 1$, see Barrett and Süli [3], [5], Schieber [23] and Degond and Liu [13].

Barrett and Süli have introduced, and proved the existence of global-in-time weak solutions for $d = 2$ and 3 to, microscopic-macroscopic dumbbell models of dilute polymers with center-of-mass diffusion in the corresponding Fokker–Planck equation for a finitely extensible nonlinear elastic (FENE) spring law or a Hookean-type spring law, see [4] and [6]. Recently, Barrett and Süli [8] have proved rigorously that the macroscopic Oldroyd-B model with stress diffusion is the exact closure of the microscopic-macroscopic Hookean dumbbell model with center-of-mass diffusion for $d = 2$, when the existence of global-in-time weak solutions to both models can be proved. In addition, Barrett and Süli [7] have introduced and analysed a finite element approximation for the FENE microscopic-macroscopic dumbbell model with center-of-mass diffusion.

From a physical viewpoint, the FENE-P model is more realistic than the Oldroyd-B model because it accounts for the finite-extensibility of the polymer molecules in the fluid through the non-dimensional parameter $b > 0$. From a mathematical viewpoint, compared to the Oldroyd-B model where the nonlinear terms are only the material derivative terms (like $(\nabla \mathbf{u})\boldsymbol{\sigma}$), the FENE-P model has an additional singular nonlinearity due to the factor $(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\cdot)}{b})^{-1}$ in the definition of $A(\cdot)$, which necessitates a careful mathematical treatment. Hence, this paper is not a trivial extension of [1]. In fact, the latter additional nonlinearity is exactly what makes the FENE-P model closer to the physics of polymers than the Oldroyd-B model, and thus also to many other macroscopic models based on different constitutive relations that have been developed by physicists for polymers. We note the FENE-P system is the approximate macroscopic closure of the FENE microscopic-macroscopic dumbbell model, whereas the Oldroyd-B system is the exact macroscopic closure of the Hookean microscopic-macroscopic dumbbell model. Hence, the microscopic-macroscopic dumbbell models corresponding to Oldroyd-B and FENE-P, only the spring laws differ; see e.g. Bird et al. [9] and Renardy [22] for a more complete review of the differences between the Oldroyd-B and the FENE-P models from the physical viewpoint, and for

other macroscopic models with more nonlinear effects than the Oldroyd-B model, e.g. the Giesekus model and the Phan–Thien Tanner model.

Similarly to [1], our analysis in the present paper exploits the underlying free energy of the system, see Wapperom and Hulsen [26] and Hu and Lelièvre [19]. In particular, the finite element approximation of (\mathbf{P}_α) has to be constructed extremely carefully to inherit this free energy structure, and requires the approximation of $\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ as a new unknown. It is definitely not our goal to review all the macroscopic models used in rheology, although similar studies could probably be pursued for other macroscopic models endowed with a free energy. We will point out the main differences with Barrett and Boyaval [1], and we thus hope to sufficiently suggest how our technique could be adapted to any nonlinear model with a free energy. We believe that our approach contributes to a better understanding of the numerical stability of the models used in computational rheology, where numerical instabilities sometimes termed “High-Weissenberg Number Problems”, see HWNP in Owens and Phillips [21], still persist. Indeed, as exposed in Boyaval et al. [11], our point is that to make progress in this area one should identify sufficiently general rules for the derivation of good discretizations of macroscopic models such that they retain the dissipative structure of weak solutions to the system, at least in some benchmark flows.

The outline of this paper is as follows. First, we end this section by introducing our notation and some auxiliary results. In Section 2 we review the formal free energy bound for the FENE-P system (\mathbf{P}) . In Section 3 we introduce our regularization G_δ of $G \equiv \ln$, which appears in the definition of the free energy of the FENE-P system (\mathbf{P}) . We then introduce a regularized problem (\mathbf{P}_δ) , and show a formal free energy bound for it. In Section 4, on assuming that \mathcal{D} is a polytope for ease of exposition, we introduce our finite element approximation of (\mathbf{P}_δ) , namely $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, based on approximating the pressure and the symmetric conformation tensor by piecewise constants; and the velocity field with continuous piecewise quadratics or a reduced version, where the tangential component on each simplicial edge ($d = 2$) or face ($d = 3$) is linear. Using the Brouwer fixed point theorem, we prove existence of a solution to $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ and show that it satisfies a discrete regularized free energy bound for any choice of time step; see Theorem 4.1. We conclude by showing that, in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$, these solutions of $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ converge to a solution of $(\mathbf{P}_h^{\Delta t})$ with the approximation of the conformation tensor being positive definite and having a trace strictly less than b . Moreover, this solution of $(\mathbf{P}_h^{\Delta t})$ satisfies a discrete free energy bound; see Theorem 4.2. Next, in Section 5 we introduce the FENE-P system with stress diffusion, (\mathbf{P}_α) , where the dissipative term $\alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ has been added to the right-hand side of (1.1c). We then introduce the corresponding regularized version $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$, and show a formal free energy bound for it. In Section 6 we introduce our finite element approximation of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$, namely $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, based on approximating the velocity field with continuous piecewise quadratics or the mini element, and the pressure, the symmetric conformation tensor and its trace by continuous piecewise linears. Here we assume that \mathcal{D} is a convex polytope and that the finite element mesh consists of quasi-uniform non-obtuse simplices. Using the Brouwer fixed point theorem, we prove existence of a solution to $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ and show that it satisfies a discrete regularized free energy bound for any choice of time step; see Theorem 6.1. In Section 7 we prove, in the case $d = 2$, (subsequence) convergence of the solutions of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, as the regularization parameter, δ , and the spatial, h , and temporal, Δt , discretization parameters tend to zero, to global-in-time weak solutions of (\mathbf{P}_α) ; see Theorem 7.3. This existence result for (\mathbf{P}_α) is new to the literature.

1.2. Notation and auxiliary results. The absolute value and the negative part of a real number $s \in \mathbb{R}$ are denoted by $|s| := \max\{s, -s\}$ and $[s]_- = \min\{s, 0\}$, respectively. We adopt the following notation for inner products

$$(1.3a) \quad \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} := \sum_{i=1}^d v_i w_i \equiv \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$(1.3b) \quad \boldsymbol{\phi} : \boldsymbol{\psi} := \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \phi_{ij} \psi_{ij} \equiv \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\phi}^T \boldsymbol{\psi}) = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d},$$

$$(1.3c) \quad \nabla \phi :: \nabla \psi := \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \nabla \phi_{ij} \cdot \nabla \psi_{ij} \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d};$$

where \cdot^T and $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ denote transposition and trace, respectively. The corresponding norms are

$$(1.4a) \quad \|\mathbf{v}\| := (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\| := (\nabla \mathbf{v} : \nabla \mathbf{v})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d;$$

$$(1.4b) \quad \|\phi\| := (\phi : \phi)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla \phi\| := (\nabla \phi :: \nabla \phi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

We will use on several occasions that $\text{tr}(\phi) = \text{tr}(\phi^T)$ and $\text{tr}(\phi\psi) = \text{tr}(\psi\phi)$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, and

$$(1.5a) \quad \phi \chi^T : \psi = \chi \phi : \psi = \chi : \psi \phi \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}, \chi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d},$$

$$(1.5b) \quad \|\psi \phi\| \leq \|\psi\| \|\phi\| \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d},$$

$$(1.5c) \quad \|\phi \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\phi\| \|\mathbf{v}\| \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For any $\phi \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}$, there exists a decomposition

$$(1.6) \quad \phi = \mathbf{O}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{O} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{tr}(\phi) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}),$$

where $\mathbf{O} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is an orthogonal matrix and $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ a diagonal matrix. Hence, for any $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one can define $g(\phi) \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}$ as

$$(1.7) \quad g(\phi) := \mathbf{O}^T g(\mathbf{D}) \mathbf{O} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{tr}(g(\phi)) = \text{tr}(g(\mathbf{D})),$$

where $g(\mathbf{D}) \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}$ is the diagonal matrix with entries $[g(\mathbf{D})]_{ii} = g(\mathbf{D}_{ii})$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Although the diagonal decomposition (1.6) is not unique, (1.7) uniquely defines $g(\phi)$. We note for later purposes that

$$(1.8) \quad d^{-1}(\text{tr}(|\phi|))^2 \leq \|\phi\|^2 \leq (\text{tr}(|\phi|))^2 \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}.$$

One can show via diagonalization, see e.g. [1] for details, that for all concave function $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, it holds

$$(1.9) \quad (\phi - \psi) : g'(\psi) \geq \text{tr}(g(\phi) - g(\psi)) \geq (\phi - \psi) : g'(\phi) \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d},$$

where g' denotes the first derivative of g . If $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ is convex, the inequalities in (1.9) are reversed. It follows from (1.9) and (1.3b) that for any $\phi \in C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d})$ and any concave or convex $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{tr}(g(\phi)) = \text{tr} \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt} g'(\phi) \right) = \frac{d\phi}{dt} : g'(\phi) \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Of course, a similar result holds for spatial derivatives. Furthermore, the results (1.9) and (1.10) hold true when $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}$ are replaced by $C^1(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ and $\mathbb{R}_{S, >0}^{d \times d}$ or $C^1(0, b)$ and $\mathbb{R}_{S, >0, b}^{d \times d}$. Finally, one can show that if $g \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$ with Lipschitz constant g_{Lip} , then

$$(1.11) \quad \|g(\phi) - g(\psi)\| \leq g_{\text{Lip}} \|\phi - \psi\| \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}.$$

We adopt the standard notation for Sobolev spaces, e.g. $H^1(\mathcal{D}) := \{\eta : \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathcal{D}} [|\eta|^2 + \|\nabla \eta\|^2] d\mathbf{x} < \infty\}$ with $H_0^1(\mathcal{D})$ being the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{D})$ for the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}$. We denote the associated semi-norm as $|\cdot|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}$. The topological dual of the Hilbert space $H_0^1(\mathcal{D})$, with pivot space $L^2(\mathcal{D})$, will be denoted by $H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$. Such function spaces are naturally extended when the range \mathbb{R} is replaced by \mathbb{R}^d , $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $\mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}$; e.g. $H^1(\mathcal{D})$ becomes $[H^1(\mathcal{D})]^d$, $[H^1(\mathcal{D})]^{d \times d}$ and $[H^1(\mathcal{D})]_S^{d \times d}$, respectively. For ease of notation, we write the corresponding norms and semi-norms as $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}$ and $|\cdot|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}$, respectively, as opposed to e.g. $\|\cdot\|_{[H^1(\mathcal{D})]^d}$ and $|\cdot|_{[H^1(\mathcal{D})]^d}$, respectively. We denote the duality pairing between $H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ and $H_0^1(\mathcal{D})$ as $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})}$, and we similarly write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})}$ for the duality pairing between e.g. $[H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})]^d$ and $[H_0^1(\mathcal{D})]^d$. For notational convenience, we introduce also convex sets such as $[H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{S, >0}^{d \times d} := \{\phi \in [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_S^{d \times d} : \phi \in \mathbb{R}_{S, >0}^{d \times d} \text{ a.e. in } \mathcal{D}\}$, and $[H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{S, >0, b}^{d \times d} := \{\phi \in [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_S^{d \times d} : \phi \in \mathbb{R}_{S, >0, b}^{d \times d} \text{ a.e. in } \mathcal{D}\}$.

In order to analyse **(P)**, we adopt the notation

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} W &:= [H_0^1(\mathcal{D})]^d, \quad Q := L^2(\mathcal{D}), \quad V := \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in W : \int_{\mathcal{D}} q \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \forall q \in Q \right\}, \\ S &:= [L^\infty(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d}, \quad S_{>0} := [L^\infty(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}, >0}^{d \times d} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{>0,b} := \{ \boldsymbol{\phi} \in S_{>0} : \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) < b \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{D} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout the paper C will denote a generic positive constant independent of the regularization parameter δ and the mesh parameters h and Δt . Finally, we recall the Poincaré inequality

$$(1.13) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \leq C_P \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in W,$$

where $C_P \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ depends only on \mathcal{D} .

2. FORMAL FREE ENERGY BOUND FOR THE PROBLEM **(P)**

In this section we recall from Hu and Lelièvre [19] the free energy structure of problem **(P)**. Let $F(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ denote the free energy associated with a solution $(\mathbf{u}, p, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ to problem **(P)**, where we define

$$(2.1) \quad F(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) := \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[b \ln \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\phi})}{b} \right) + \operatorname{tr}(\ln(\boldsymbol{\phi}) + \mathbf{I}) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ \forall (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \in [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^d \times S^*$$

with $S^* \subset S_{>0,b}$ such that $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ is well-defined. Here the first term $\frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2$ corresponds to the usual kinetic energy term, and the second term, which is nonnegative, is a relative entropy term. Moreover, on noting that \ln is a concave function on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we observe

$$(2.2) \quad F(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \geq \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\phi} - \ln(\boldsymbol{\phi}) - \mathbf{I}) \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \in [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^d \times S^*,$$

where the right-hand side is the free energy of the Oldroyd-B model under the same no flow boundary conditions, see e.g. [19] and [1]. Clearly, diagonalization yields that the relative entropy term of this Oldroyd-B model is nonnegative. Of course, the \mathbf{I} term in the relative entropy for FENE-P and Oldroyd-B plays no real role, and just means that the minimum relative entropy for Oldroyd-B is zero and is obtained by $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \mathbf{I}$. Finally, we note that $\operatorname{tr}(\ln(\boldsymbol{\phi}))$ is rewritten as $\ln(\det(\boldsymbol{\phi}))$ in [19], which once again is easily deduced from diagonalization.

Proposition 2.1. *With $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(0, T; [H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})]^d)$ let $(\mathbf{u}, p, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ be a sufficiently smooth solution to problem **(P)**, (1.1a-f), such that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t, \cdot) \in S^*$ for $t \in (0, T)$. Then the free energy $F(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ satisfies for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$*

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{tr}((A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}))^2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})},$$

where the third term on the left-hand side is positive, via diagonalization, on recalling (1.2).

Proof. Multiplying the Navier-Stokes equation (1.1a) with \mathbf{u} and the stress equation (1.1c) with $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} A(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$, summing and integrating over \mathcal{D} yields, after using integrations by parts, the boundary condition (1.1f) and the incompressibility property (1.1b) in the standard way, that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \frac{\partial \|\mathbf{u}\|^2}{\partial t} + (1 - \varepsilon) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Wi}} \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{b} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \nabla \mathbf{u} \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) + \frac{A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\operatorname{Wi}} \right] : A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ & - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left((\nabla \mathbf{u}) \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right) : A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the chain rule and (1.10) that

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) : A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \right) \left(-b \ln \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{b} \right) - \text{tr}(\ln(\boldsymbol{\sigma})) \right).$$

On integrating (2.5) over \mathcal{D} , the $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)$ term on the right-hand side vanishes as $\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot) \in \mathbb{V}$. On noting (1.2), (1.5a), (1.3b) and (1.1b), we obtain that

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T + (\nabla \mathbf{u}) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) : A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 2 \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{b} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \nabla \mathbf{u}.$$

Hence, on combining (2.4)–(2.6) and noting a trace property, we obtain the desired free energy equality (2.3). \square

For later purposes, we note the following.

Remark 2.1. *The step in the above proof of testing (1.1c) with $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} A(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ is equivalent to testing (1.1c) with $-\frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1}$ and testing the corresponding trace equation*

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\partial \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 2 \nabla \mathbf{u} : \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{\text{tr}(A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \boldsymbol{\sigma})}{\text{Wi}} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T$$

with $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{b} \right)^{-1}$, and adding.

Recall that in the limit $b \rightarrow \infty$ the FENE-P model formally converges to the Oldroyd-B model. It is thus interesting to note that when $b \rightarrow \infty$, the free energy equality (2.3) formally converges to the corresponding free energy equality for the Oldroyd-B model, on recalling (2.2). Finally, we note the following result.

Corollary 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.1 it follows that*

$$(2.8) \quad \sup_{t \in (0, T)} F(\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot), \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t, \cdot)) + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \text{tr}((A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}))^2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \, dx \, dt \\ \leq 2 \left(F(\mathbf{u}^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0) + \frac{1 + C_P}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\mathcal{D}))}^2 \right).$$

Proof. One can bound the term $\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})}$ in (2.3), using the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities for $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and the Poincaré inequality (1.13), by

$$(2.9) \quad \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} \leq \|\mathbf{f}\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} \leq \frac{1}{2\nu^2} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \frac{\nu^2}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\ \leq \frac{1}{2\nu^2} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \frac{\nu^2}{2} (1 + C_P) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2.$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.3) with $\nu^2 = (1 - \varepsilon)/(1 + C_P)$, and integrating in time yields the result (2.8). \square

3. FORMAL FREE ENERGY BOUND FOR A REGULARIZED PROBLEM (\mathbf{P}_δ)

3.1. A regularization. Let $G : s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mapsto \ln s \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the logarithm function, whose domain of definition can be straightforwardly extended to the set of symmetric positive definite matrices using (1.6) and (1.7). We define the following concave $C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ regularization of G based on a given parameter $\delta \in (0, 1)$:

$$(3.1) \quad G_\delta : s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \begin{cases} G(s) & \forall s \geq \delta, \\ \frac{s}{\delta} + G(\delta) - 1 & \forall s \leq \delta \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad G_\delta(s) \geq G(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

We define also the following scalar functions

$$(3.2) \quad \beta_\delta(s) := (G'_\delta(s))^{-1} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(s) := (G'(s))^{-1} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

Hence, we have that

$$(3.3) \quad \beta_\delta : s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \max\{s, \delta\} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta : s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mapsto s.$$

For later purposes, we note the following results concerning these functions.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ we have that*

$$(3.4a) \quad \beta_\delta(\phi) G'_\delta(\phi) = G'_\delta(\phi) \beta_\delta(\phi) = \mathbf{I},$$

$$(3.4b) \quad \text{tr} \left((\eta \mathbf{I} - G'_\delta(\phi))^2 \beta_\delta(\phi) \right) \geq 0,$$

$$(3.4c) \quad \text{tr}(\phi - G_\delta(\phi) - \mathbf{I}) \geq 0,$$

$$(3.4d) \quad (\phi - \psi) : [G'_\delta(\psi)] \geq \text{tr}(G_\delta(\phi) - G_\delta(\psi)),$$

$$(3.4e) \quad -(\phi - \psi) : [G'_\delta(\phi) - G'_\delta(\psi)] \geq \delta^2 \|G'_\delta(\phi) - G'_\delta(\psi)\|^2.$$

In addition, if $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ we have that

$$(3.5) \quad \text{tr}(\phi - G_\delta(\phi)) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \|\phi\| \\ \frac{1}{2\delta} \|[\phi]_-\| \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi : (\mathbf{I} - G'_\delta(\phi)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi\| - d.$$

Proof. All the results are proved in Lemma 2.1 in [1], except (3.4b) and this can be easily proved via diagonalization. \square

We introduce the following regularization of A , (1.2), for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$:

$$(3.6) \quad A_\delta(\phi, \eta) := G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{b} \right) \mathbf{I} - G'_\delta(\phi) \quad \forall (\phi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

In addition to Lemma 3.1 we will also make use of the following result, which is similar to (3.5).

Lemma 3.2. *For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have that*

$$(3.7a) \quad -b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{s}{b} \right) - s \geq \frac{1}{2} [|s| - 3b]_+,$$

$$(3.7b) \quad \left(G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{s}{b} \right) - 1 \right) s \geq [|s| - b]_+.$$

Proof. On recalling (3.1), we first note from the concavity of G_δ that

$$(3.8) \quad -b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{s}{b} \right) \geq -b G_\delta(1) + s G'_\delta(1) = s \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From the scalar version of (3.5), we have that

$$(3.9) \quad \left(1 - \frac{s}{b} \right) - G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{s}{b} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| 1 - \frac{s}{b} \right| \quad \Rightarrow \quad -b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{s}{b} \right) - s \geq \frac{b}{2} \left| 1 - \frac{s}{b} \right| - b.$$

We note that

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{b}{2} \left| 1 - \frac{s}{b} \right| - b = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (|s| - 3b) & \text{if } s \geq b, \\ -\frac{1}{2} (s + b) \geq \frac{1}{2} (|s| - 3b) & \text{if } s \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Combining (3.8)–(3.10) yields the desired result (3.7a).

We now consider (3.7b). If $1 - \frac{s}{b} \leq \delta$, i.e. $s \geq b(1 - \delta)$, then

$$(3.11) \quad \left(G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{s}{b} \right) - 1 \right) s = \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1 \right) s \geq |s|.$$

If $1 - \frac{s}{b} \geq \delta$, i.e. $s \leq b(1 - \delta)$, then

$$(3.12) \quad \left(G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{s}{b} \right) - 1 \right) s = \frac{s^2}{b - s} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{s^2}{b - s} \geq |s| - b.$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.12) yields the desired result (3.7b). \square

3.2. The regularized problem (\mathbf{P}_δ) . Using the regularizations G_δ , β_δ and A_δ introduced above, we consider the following regularization of (\mathbf{P}) for a given $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$:

(\mathbf{P}_δ) Find $\mathbf{u}_\delta : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathbf{u}_\delta(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $p_\delta : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{D}_T \mapsto p_\delta(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}$ such that

(3.13a)

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\delta}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_\delta \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_\delta \right) = -\nabla p_\delta + (1 - \varepsilon) \Delta \mathbf{u}_\delta + \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Wi}} \operatorname{div} (A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)) + \mathbf{f} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(3.13b) \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_\delta = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

(3.13c)

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_\delta \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta = (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta) + \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta) (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta)^T - \frac{A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)}{\operatorname{Wi}} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(3.13d) \quad \mathbf{u}_\delta(0, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$(3.13e) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta(0, \mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$(3.13f) \quad \mathbf{u}_\delta = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{D}.$$

3.3. Formal free energy bound for (\mathbf{P}_δ) . In this section, we extend the *formal* energy results (2.3) and (2.8) for (\mathbf{P}) to problem (\mathbf{P}_δ) . We will assume throughout that

(3.14)

$$\mathbf{f} \in L^2(0, T; [H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})]^d), \quad \mathbf{u}^0 \in \mathbf{H} := \{\mathbf{w} \in [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^d : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathcal{D}, \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{D}\},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 \in \mathbf{S}_{>0} \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma_{\min}^0 \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|^2 \leq \boldsymbol{\xi}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\xi} \leq \sigma_{\max}^0 \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|^2 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{for a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}$$

and $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0(\mathbf{x})) \leq b^*$ for a.e. \mathbf{x} in \mathcal{D} ;

where $\mathbf{n}_{\partial \mathcal{D}}$ is normal to $\partial \mathcal{D}$, b^* , σ_{\min}^0 , $\sigma_{\max}^0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $b^* < b$. Let $F_\delta(\mathbf{u}_\delta, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta))$ denote the free energy associated with a solution $(\mathbf{u}_\delta, p_\delta, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)$ to problem (\mathbf{P}_δ) , where we define

$$(3.15) \quad F_\delta(\mathbf{v}, \phi, \eta) := \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{b} \right) + \operatorname{tr} (G_\delta(\phi) + \mathbf{I}) \right] \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$\forall (\mathbf{v}, \phi, \eta) \in [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^d \times \mathbf{S} \times L^1(\mathcal{D}).$$

Note that the second term in F_δ has been regularized in comparison with F in (2.1). Similarly to (2.2), we have, on noting (3.8), the inequality

(3.16)

$$F_\delta(\mathbf{v}, \phi, \operatorname{tr}(\phi)) \geq \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{tr} (\phi - G_\delta(\phi) - \mathbf{I}) \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall (\mathbf{v}, \phi) \in [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^d \times \mathbf{S},$$

where the right-hand side in (3.16) is the free energy of the corresponding regularized Oldroyd-B model, see [1] and note (3.4c). It also follows from (3.1) and (3.14) that

$$(3.17) \quad F_\delta(\mathbf{u}^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0)) \leq F(\mathbf{u}^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0).$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $(\mathbf{u}_\delta, p_\delta, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)$ be a sufficiently smooth solution to problem (\mathbf{P}_δ) , (3.13a–f). Then the free energy $F_\delta(\mathbf{u}_\delta, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta))$ satisfies for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$*

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F_\delta(\mathbf{u}_\delta, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)) + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta) \right) \, d\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u}_\delta \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})},$$

where the third term on the left-hand side is nonnegative from (3.6) and (3.4b).

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.1, we multiply the regularized Navier-Stokes equation (3.13a) by \mathbf{u}_δ and the regularized stress equation (3.13c) with $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta))$, sum and integrate over \mathcal{D} , use integrations by parts, the boundary condition (3.13f) and the incompressibility property (3.13b). This yields the desired result (3.18) on noting the following analogues of

(2.5) and (2.6)

$$(3.19) \quad \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_\delta \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta \right) : A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)) \\ = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_\delta \cdot \nabla) \right) \left(-b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)}{b} \right) - \text{tr}(G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)) \right)$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad \left(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta) (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta)^T + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta) \right) : A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)) = 2 G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)}{b} \right) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta) : \nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta.$$

Here we have recalled (3.6) and (1.10) for (3.19), and (1.5a), (1.3b), (3.4a) and (3.13b) for (3.20). \square

Similarly to Remark 2.1, we note the following.

Remark 3.1. *The step in the above proof of testing the regularized stress equation (3.13c) with $-\frac{\varepsilon}{2\mathbb{W}_1} A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta))$ is equivalent to testing (3.13c) with $-\frac{\varepsilon}{2\mathbb{W}_1} G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)$ and testing the corresponding regularized trace equation*

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{\partial \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_\delta \cdot \nabla) \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta) = 2 \nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta : \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta) - \frac{\text{tr}(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta))}{\mathbb{W}_1} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T$$

with $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\mathbb{W}_1} G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)}{b} \right)$, and adding.

Corollary 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 it follows that*

$$(3.22) \quad \sup_{t \in (0, T)} F_\delta(\mathbf{u}_\delta(t, \cdot), \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta(t, \cdot), \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta(t, \cdot))) + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\delta\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\mathbb{W}_1^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta)))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta) \right) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ \leq 2 \left(F(\mathbf{u}^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0) + \frac{1+C_P}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\mathcal{D}))}^2 \right).$$

Proof. The proof of (3.22) follows from (3.18) in the same way as (2.8) follows from (2.3), and in addition noting (3.17). \square

4. FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATION OF (\mathbf{P}_δ) AND (\mathbf{P})

4.1. Finite element discretization. We now introduce a finite element discretization of the problem (\mathbf{P}_δ) , which satisfies a discrete analogue of (3.18).

The time interval $[0, T]$ is split into intervals $[t^{n-1}, t^n]$ with $\Delta t_n = t^n - t^{n-1}$, $n = 1, \dots, N_T$. We set $\Delta t := \max_{n=1, \dots, N_T} \Delta t_n$. We will assume throughout that the domain \mathcal{D} is a polytope. We define a regular family of meshes $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$ with discretization parameter $h > 0$, which is built from partitionings of the domain \mathcal{D} into regular open simplices so that

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{T}_h := \bigcup_{k=1}^{N_K} \overline{K}_k \quad \text{with} \quad \max_{k=1, \dots, N_K} \frac{h_k}{\rho_k} \leq C.$$

Here ρ_k is the diameter of the largest inscribed ball contained in the simplex K_k and h_k is the diameter of K_k , so that $h = \max_{k=1, \dots, N_K} h_k$. For each element K_k , $k = 1, \dots, N_K$, of the mesh \mathcal{T}_h let $\{P_i^k\}_{i=0}^d$ denotes its vertices, and $\{\mathbf{n}_i^k\}_{i=0}^d$ the outward unit normals of the edges ($d = 2$) or faces ($d = 3$) with \mathbf{n}_i^k being that of the edge/face opposite vertex P_i^k , $i = 0, \dots, d$. In addition, let $\{\eta_i^k(\mathbf{x})\}_{i=0}^d$ denote the barycentric coordinates of $\mathbf{x} \in K_k$ with respect to the vertices $\{P_i^k\}_{i=0}^d$; that is, $\eta_i^k \in \mathbb{P}_1$ and $\eta_i^k(P_j^k) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 0, \dots, d$. Here \mathbb{P}_m denote polynomials of maximal degree m in \mathbf{x} , and δ_{ij} the Kronecker delta notation. Finally, we introduce $\partial \mathcal{T}_h := \{E_j\}_{j=1}^{N_E}$ as the set of internal edges E_j of triangles in the mesh \mathcal{T}_h when $d = 2$, or the set of internal faces E_j of tetrahedra when $d = 3$.

We approximate the problem (\mathbf{P}_δ) by the problem $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ based on the finite element spaces $W_h^0 \times Q_h^0 \times S_h^0$. As is standard, we require the discrete velocity-pressure spaces $W_h^0 \times Q_h^0 \subset W \times Q$ satisfy the discrete Ladyshenskaya-Babuška-Brezzi (LBB) inf-sup condition

$$(4.1) \quad \inf_{q \in Q_h^0} \sup_{\mathbf{v} \in W_h^0} \frac{\int_{\mathcal{D}} q \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x}}{\|q\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}} \geq \mu_\star > 0,$$

see e.g. [16, p114]. In the following, we set

$$(4.2a) \quad W_h^0 := W_h^2 \subset W \text{ if } d = 2 \quad \text{or} \quad W_h^{2,-} \subset W \text{ if } d = 2 \text{ or } 3,$$

$$(4.2b) \quad Q_h^0 := \{q \in Q : q|_{K_k} \in \mathbb{P}_0 \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K\} \subset Q$$

$$(4.2c) \quad \text{and} \quad S_h^0 := \{\phi \in S : \phi|_{K_k} \in [\mathbb{P}_0]_S^{d \times d} \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K\} \subset S;$$

where

$$(4.3a) \quad W_h^2 := \{\mathbf{v} \in [C(\overline{\mathcal{D}})]^d \cap W : \mathbf{v}|_{K_k} \in [\mathbb{P}_2]^d \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K\},$$

$$(4.3b) \quad W_h^{2,-} := \{\mathbf{v} \in [C(\overline{\mathcal{D}})]^d \cap W : \mathbf{v}|_{K_k} \in [\mathbb{P}_1]^d \oplus \operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_i^k\}_{i=0}^d \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K\}.$$

Here, for $k = 1, \dots, N_K$ and $i = 0, \dots, d$

$$(4.4) \quad \boldsymbol{\varsigma}_i^k(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{n}_i^k \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^d \eta_j^k(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in K_k.$$

We introduce also

$$(4.5) \quad V_h^0 := \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in W_h^0 : \int_{\mathcal{D}} q \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \forall q \in Q_h^0 \right\},$$

which approximates V . It is well-known that the choices (4.2a,b) satisfy (4.1), see e.g. [12, p221] for $W_h^0 = W_h^2$ and $d = 2$, and Chapter II, Sections 2.1 ($d = 2$) and 2.3 ($d = 3$) in [16] for $W_h^0 = W_h^{2,-}$. Moreover, these particular choices of S_h^0 and Q_h^0 have the desirable property that

$$(4.6) \quad \phi \in S_h^0 \Rightarrow A_\delta(\phi, \operatorname{tr}(\phi)) \in S_h^0 \quad \text{and} \quad b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\phi)}{b} \right) + \operatorname{tr}(G_\delta(\phi)) \in Q_h^0,$$

which makes it a straightforward matter to mimic the free energy inequality (3.18) at a discrete level. Since S_h^0 is discontinuous, we will use the discontinuous Galerkin method to approximate the advection term $(\mathbf{u}_\delta \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\delta$ in the following. Then, for the boundary integrals, we will make use of the following definitions (see e.g. [15, p267]). Given $\mathbf{v} \in W_h^0$, then for any $\phi \in S_h^0$ (or Q_h^0) and for any point \mathbf{x} that is in the interior of some $E_j \in \partial \mathcal{T}_h$, we define the downstream and upstream values of ϕ at \mathbf{x} by

$$(4.7) \quad \phi^{+v}(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(\mathbf{x} + \rho \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{-v}(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^-} \phi(\mathbf{x} + \rho \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}));$$

respectively. In addition, we denote by

$$(4.8) \quad \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\rightarrow v}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi^{+v}(\mathbf{x}) - \phi^{-v}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \{\phi\}^v(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\phi^{+v}(\mathbf{x}) + \phi^{-v}(\mathbf{x})}{2},$$

the jump and mean value, respectively, of ϕ at the point \mathbf{x} of boundary E_j . From (4.7), it is clear that the values of $\phi^{+v}|_{E_j}$ and $\phi^{-v}|_{E_j}$ can change along $E_j \in \partial \mathcal{T}_h$. Finally, it is easily deduced that

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N_E} \int_{E_j} |\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}| \llbracket q_1 \rrbracket_{\rightarrow v} q_2^{+v} \, ds = - \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \int_{\partial K_k} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K_k}) q_1 q_2^{+v} \, ds \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in W_h^0, \quad q_1, q_2 \in Q_h^0;$$

where $\mathbf{n} \equiv \mathbf{n}(E_j)$ is a unit normal to E_j , whose sign is of no importance, and \mathbf{n}_{K_k} is the outward unit normal vector of boundary ∂K_k of K_k . We note that similar ideas appear in upwind schemes; e.g. see Chapter IV, Section 5 in [16] for the Navier-Stokes equations.

4.2. A free energy preserving approximation ($\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$) of (\mathbf{P}_δ). For any source term $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(0, T; [H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})]^d)$, we define the following piecewise constant function with respect to the time variable

$$(4.10) \quad \mathbf{f}^{\Delta t,+}(t, \cdot) = \mathbf{f}^n(\cdot) := \frac{1}{\Delta t_n} \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \mathbf{f}(t, \cdot) dt, \quad t \in [t^{n-1}, t^n), \quad n = 1, \dots, N_T.$$

It is easily deduced that for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$

$$(4.11a) \quad \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta t_m \|\mathbf{f}^m\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^r \leq \int_0^{t^n} \|\mathbf{f}(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^r dt \quad \text{for any } r \in [1, 2],$$

$$(4.11b) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}^{\Delta t,+} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; [H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})]^d) \text{ as } \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+.$$

Throughout this section we choose $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbf{V}_h^0$ to be the L^2 projection of \mathbf{u}^0 onto \mathbf{V}_h^0 and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0 \in \mathbf{S}_h^0$ to be the L^2 projection of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0$ onto \mathbf{S}_h^0 . Hence, we have that

$$(4.12a) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_h^0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \leq \|\mathbf{u}^0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0|_{K_k} = \frac{1}{|K_k|} \int_{K_k} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 d\mathbf{x}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K,$$

where $|K_k|$ is the measure of K_k ; and it immediately follows from (3.14) that

$$(4.12b) \quad \sigma_{\min}^0 \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|^2 \leq \boldsymbol{\xi}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0|_{K_k} \boldsymbol{\xi} \leq \sigma_{\max}^0 \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|^2 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$(4.12c) \quad \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0)|_{K_k} = \frac{1}{|K_k|} \int_{K_k} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0) d\mathbf{x} \leq \|\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0)\|_{L^\infty(K_k)} \leq b^* < b.$$

We are now ready to introduce our approximation ($\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$) of (\mathbf{P}_δ) for $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$:

($\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$) Setting $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^0) = (\mathbf{u}_h^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times (\mathbf{S}_h^0 \cap \mathbf{S}_{>0,b})$ as defined in (4.12a), then for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$ find $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$ such that for any test functions $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$

$$(4.13a) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\text{Re} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \left[\left((\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n \cdot \left((\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \varepsilon) \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Wi}} A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \right] d\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})},$$

$$(4.13b) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) : \boldsymbol{\phi} - 2 \left((\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \right) : \boldsymbol{\phi} + \frac{A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) : \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\text{Wi}} \right] d\mathbf{x} \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{N_E} \int_{E_j} \left| \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right| \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n \rrbracket_{\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} : \boldsymbol{\phi}^{+\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} d\mathbf{s} = 0.$$

In deriving ($\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$), we have noted (1.5a) and that

$$(4.14) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{v} \cdot [(\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}] d\mathbf{x} = - \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{w} \cdot [(\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}] d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{V}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in [H^1(\mathcal{D})]^d,$$

and we refer to [15, p267] and [11] for the consistency of our approximation of the stress advection term. We note that on replacing $A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n))$ with $\mathbf{I} - G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)$ then ($\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$), (4.13a,b), collapses to the corresponding finite element approximation of Oldroyd-B studied in [1], see (3.12a,b) there.

Before proving existence of a solution to ($\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$), we first derive a discrete analogue of the energy bound (3.18) for ($\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$), which uses the elementary equality

$$(4.15) \quad 2s_1(s_1 - s_2) = s_1^2 - s_2^2 + (s_1 - s_2)^2 \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

4.3. Energy bound for $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$.

Proposition 4.1. *For $n = 1, \dots, N_T$, a solution $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$ to $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (4.13a,b), if it exists, satisfies*

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{F_\delta(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) - F_\delta(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}))}{\Delta t_n} + \frac{\text{Re}}{2\Delta t_n} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\ + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \right) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ \leq \langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} \leq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1 + C_P}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \|\mathbf{f}^n\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2.$$

Proof. Similarly to (3.20), we have that

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [(\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) : A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n))] \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) : \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n \, d\mathbf{x},$$

where we have noted (3.6), (1.3b), (3.4a) and (4.5). Then, similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.1, we choose $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n \in \mathbf{V}_h^0$ in (4.13a) and $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \in \mathbf{S}_h^0$ in (4.13b) and obtain, on noting (4.15), (3.4a), (4.5) and (4.17), that

$$(4.18) \quad \langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} \geq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\frac{\text{Re}}{2} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n\|^2 - \|\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2}{\Delta t_n} + \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2}{\Delta t_n} \right) + (1 - \varepsilon) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n\|^2 \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) : A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \right) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_E} \int_{E_j} \left[\|\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}\| \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n \rrbracket_{\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} : (A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)))^{+\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} \right] \, d\mathbf{s}.$$

It follows from (3.6), (3.4d) and the concavity of G_δ that

$$(4.19) \quad (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}) : A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \\ \geq (\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1})) G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) + \text{tr}(G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}) - \text{tr}(G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n))) \\ \geq \left(b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1})}{b} \right) + \text{tr}(G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1})) \right) - \left(b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) + \text{tr}(G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \right).$$

Similarly to (4.19), we have, on recalling (3.6), (4.7) and (4.8), that

$$(4.20) \quad \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n \rrbracket_{\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} : (A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)))^{+\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} \geq -\llbracket b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) + \text{tr}(G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \rrbracket_{\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}}.$$

Finally, we note from (4.9) and as $\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \in \mathbf{V}_h^0$ that for all $q \in \mathbf{Q}_h^0$

$$(4.21) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N_E} \int_{E_j} \left| \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right| \llbracket q \rrbracket_{\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} \, d\mathbf{s} = - \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \int_{\partial K_k} \left(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K_k} \right) q \, d\mathbf{s} = - \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \int_{K_k} q \, \text{div} \, \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \, d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Combining (4.18)–(4.21) yields the first desired inequality in (4.16). The second inequality in (4.16) follows immediately from (2.9) with $\nu^2 = (1 - \varepsilon)/(1 + C_P)$. \square

4.4. Existence of a solution to $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then, given $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$ and for any time step $\Delta t_n > 0$, there exists at least one solution $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$ to $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (4.13a,b).*

Proof. We introduce the following inner product on the Hilbert space $\mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$

$$(4.22) \quad ((\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}))_{\mathcal{D}} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \boldsymbol{\psi} : \boldsymbol{\phi}] \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall (\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0.$$

Given $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$, let $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0 \mapsto \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$ be such that for any $(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}))_{\mathcal{D}} \\ & := \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \left[((\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \cdot ((\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}) \right] \right. \\ & \quad + (1 - \varepsilon) \nabla \mathbf{w} : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Wi}} A_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi})) \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) : \boldsymbol{\phi} - 2((\nabla \mathbf{w}) \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\psi})) : \boldsymbol{\phi} + \frac{A_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi})) \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) : \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\operatorname{Wi}} \right] d\mathbf{x} \\ & \quad - \langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_E} \int_{E_j} \left| \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right| [\boldsymbol{\psi}]_{\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} : \boldsymbol{\phi}^{+\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} \, d\mathbf{s} \quad \forall (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0. \end{aligned}$$

We note that a solution $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)$ to (4.13a,b), if it exists, corresponds to a zero of \mathcal{F} ; that is,

$$(4.24) \quad (\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}))_{\mathcal{D}} = 0 \quad \forall (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0.$$

In addition, it is easily deduced that the mapping \mathcal{F} is continuous. For any $(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$, on choosing $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) = (\mathbf{w}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} A_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi})))$, we obtain analogously to (4.16) that

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}), \left(\mathbf{w}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}} A_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi})) \right) \right)_{\mathcal{D}} \\ & \geq \frac{F_{\delta}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi})) - F_{\delta}(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}))}{\Delta t_n} + \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2\Delta t_n} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\ & \quad + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{tr} \left((A_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi})))^2 \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \right) \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1 + C_P}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \|\mathbf{f}^n\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now assume that for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the continuous mapping \mathcal{F} has no zero $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)$ satisfying (4.24), which lies in the ball

$$(4.26) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\gamma} := \{(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0 : \|(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi})\|_{\mathcal{D}} \leq \gamma\};$$

where

$$(4.27) \quad \|(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi})\|_{\mathcal{D}} := [((\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}))_{\mathcal{D}}]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then for such γ , we can define the continuous mapping $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma} : \mathcal{B}_{\gamma} \mapsto \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ such that for all $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$

$$(4.28) \quad \mathcal{G}_{\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) := -\gamma \frac{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi})}{\|\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi})\|_{\mathcal{D}}}.$$

By the Brouwer fixed point theorem, \mathcal{G}_γ has at least one fixed point $(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)$ in \mathcal{B}_γ . Hence it satisfies

$$(4.29) \quad \|(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)\|_{\mathcal{D}} = \|\mathcal{G}_\gamma(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)\|_{\mathcal{D}} = \gamma.$$

On noting (4.2c) and (4.29), we have that

$$(4.30) \quad \|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\min_{k \in N_K} |K_k|} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} = \mu_h^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \mu_h^2 \gamma^2,$$

where $\mu_h := [1/(\min_{k \in N_K} |K_k|)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then (3.15), (3.16), (3.5), (4.30) and (4.29) yield that

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} F_\delta(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)) &= \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[-b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)}{b} \right) - \text{tr}(G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma) + \mathbf{I}) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\geq \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma - G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma) - \mathbf{I}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\geq \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\text{Wi}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\| \, d\mathbf{x} - 2d|\mathcal{D}| \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\text{Wi} \mu_h \gamma} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\| \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{\varepsilon d|\mathcal{D}|}{2\text{Wi}} \\ &\geq \min \left(\frac{\text{Re}}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4\text{Wi} \mu_h \gamma} \right) \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon d|\mathcal{D}|}{2\text{Wi}} \\ &= \min \left(\frac{\text{Re}}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4\text{Wi} \mu_h \gamma} \right) \gamma^2 - \frac{\varepsilon d|\mathcal{D}|}{2\text{Wi}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for all γ sufficiently large, it follows from (4.25), (4.31) and (3.4b) that

$$(4.32) \quad \left(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma), \left(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)) \right) \right)_{\mathcal{D}} \geq 0.$$

On the other hand as $(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)$ is a fixed point of \mathcal{G}_γ , we have that

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma), \left(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)) \right) \right)_{\mathcal{D}} \\ = - \frac{\|\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)\|_{\mathcal{D}}}{\gamma} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma : A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)) \right] \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.6), (3.7b), (3.5) and similarly to (4.31), on noting (4.30) and (4.29), that

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma : A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \left(G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)}{b} \right) - 1 \right) \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma : (\mathbf{I} - G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ \geq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\text{Wi}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\| \, d\mathbf{x} - 2d|\mathcal{D}| \right] \\ \geq \min \left(1, \frac{\varepsilon}{4\text{Wi} \mu_h \gamma} \right) \gamma^2 - \frac{\varepsilon d|\mathcal{D}|}{2\text{Wi}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore on combining (4.33) and (4.34), we have for all γ sufficiently large that

$$(4.35) \quad \left(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma), \left(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)) \right) \right)_{\mathcal{D}} < 0,$$

which obviously contradicts (4.32). Hence the mapping \mathcal{F} has a zero in \mathcal{B}_γ for γ sufficiently large, and so there exists a solution $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)$ to (4.13a,b). \square

Theorem 4.1. *For any $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $N_T \geq 1$ and any partitioning of $[0, T]$ into N_T time steps, there exists a solution $\{(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)\}_{n=1}^{N_T} \in [V_h^0 \times S_h^0]^{N_T}$ to $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (4.13a,b). In addition, it follows for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$ that*

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{aligned} F_\delta(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\text{Re} \|\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^m - \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{m-1}\|^2 + (1-\varepsilon) \Delta t_m \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^m\|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta t_m \int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^m, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^m)))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^m) \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq F_\delta(\mathbf{u}_h^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0)) + \frac{1+C_P}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta t_m \|\mathbf{f}^m\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\ &\leq F(\mathbf{u}_h^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0) + \frac{1+C_P}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0,t^n;H^{-1}(\mathcal{D}))}^2 \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$(4.37) \quad \max_{n=0, \dots, N_T} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n\| + \delta^{-1} \|[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n]_-\| + \delta^{-1} |b - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)|_-\right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \leq C.$$

Moreover, for some $C(h, \Delta t) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, but independent of δ , it follows that for $k = 1, \dots, N_K$ and $n = 1, \dots, N_T$

$$(4.38a) \quad G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \|\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)\| \leq C(h, \Delta t) \quad \text{on } K_k,$$

$$(4.38b) \quad \|[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)]^{-1}\| \leq C(h, \Delta t) \left[1 + G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \right] \quad \text{on } K_k.$$

Proof. Existence of a solution to $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ and the first inequality in (4.36) follow immediately from Propositions 4.2 and 4.1, respectively. Similarly to (3.17), the second inequality in (4.36) is a direct consequence of (3.15), (3.1), (4.12b,c) and (4.11a). Finally, the third inequality in (4.36) follows from (4.12a–c) and (3.14).

It follows from (4.36) and (3.16) that

$$(4.39) \quad \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n\|^2 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n - G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) - \mathbf{I}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \leq C, \quad n = 1, \dots, N_T.$$

The first three bounds in (4.37) then follow immediately from (4.39) and (3.5). Next we note that (3.4c), (3.15) and (4.36) yield that

$$(4.40) \quad \begin{aligned} b \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) - G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ \leq - \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) + \text{tr}(G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) + \mathbf{I}) - b \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

The last bound in (4.37) is then simply obtained by using a scalar version of (3.5).

Next, we deduce from (4.36), (3.6) and (3.4b) that for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$

$$(4.41) \quad 0 \leq \text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \right) \leq C(h, \Delta t) \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K.$$

For any $\delta > 0$, $\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \in \mathbb{R}_{S, > 0}^{d \times d}$ and so it follows from (1.3b), (1.4b), (3.6), (3.4a), (1.5b), (4.41), (1.8), (3.3) and (4.37) that

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) - \mathbf{I} \right\|^2 \\ = \|A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)\|^2 \leq \|A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \|[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \\ = \text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \right) \text{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \leq C(h, \Delta t) \|\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)\| \\ \leq C(h, \Delta t) (\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n\| + \delta) \leq C(h, \Delta t) \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K, \quad n = 1, \dots, N_T. \end{aligned}$$

The desired result (4.38a) follows immediately from (4.42). Similarly to (4.42), we have from (4.41), (1.8), (3.6) and (3.4a) that

$$(4.43) \quad C(h, \Delta t) \geq \left\| A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \right\| \\ \geq \left\| \left(G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \right)^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) - 2G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \mathbf{I} + [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)]^{-1} \right\| \\ \text{on } K_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K, \quad n = 1, \dots, N_T.$$

The desired result (4.38b) follows immediately from (4.43) and (4.38a). \square

4.5. Convergence of $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ to $(\mathbf{P}_h^{\Delta t})$. We now consider the corresponding direct finite element approximation of (\mathbf{P}) , i.e. $(\mathbf{P}_h^{\Delta t})$ without the regularization δ :

$(\mathbf{P}_h^{\Delta t})$ Given initial conditions $(\mathbf{u}_h^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times (\mathbf{S}_h^0 \cap \mathbf{S}_{>0,b})$ as defined in (4.12a), then for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$ find $(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$ such that for any test functions $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$

$$(4.44a) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\text{Re} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_h^n - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{\text{Re}}{2} [((\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_h^n) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_h^n \cdot ((\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v})] \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \varepsilon) \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Wi}} A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n : \nabla \mathbf{v} \right] \text{d}\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})},$$

$$(4.44b) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) : \boldsymbol{\phi} - 2((\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n) : \boldsymbol{\phi} + \frac{A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n : \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\text{Wi}} \right] \text{d}\mathbf{x} \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{N_E} \int_{E_j} |\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n}| [[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n]_{\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}} : \boldsymbol{\phi}^{+\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}}] \text{d}\mathbf{s} = 0.$$

We note that (4.44a,b) and $F(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)$ are only well-defined if $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n \in \mathbf{S}_h^0 \cap \mathbf{S}_{>0,b}$. We also note that on replacing $A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)$ with $\mathbf{I} - (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)^{-1}$ then $(\mathbf{P}_h^{\Delta t})$, (4.44a,b), collapses to the corresponding finite element approximation of Oldroyd-B studied in [1], see (3.35a,b) there.

Theorem 4.2. *For all regular partitionings \mathcal{T}_h of \mathcal{D} into simplices $\{K_k\}_{k=1}^{N_K}$ and all partitionings $\{\Delta t_n\}_{n=1}^{N_T}$ of $[0, T]$, there exists a subsequence $\{\{(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)\}_{n=1}^{N_T}\}_{\delta>0}$, where $\{(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)\}_{n=1}^{N_T} \in [\mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0]^{N_T}$ solves $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (4.13a,b), and $\{(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)\}_{n=1}^{N_T} \in [\mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0]^{N_T}$ such that for the subsequence*

$$(4.45) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_h^n, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0_+, \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N_T.$$

In addition, for all $t \in [0, T]$ $n = 1, \dots, N_T$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n|_{K_k} \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{S}, >0,b}^{d \times d}$, $k = 1, \dots, N_K$. Moreover, $\{(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)\}_{n=1}^{N_T} \in [\mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0]^{N_T}$ solves $(\mathbf{P}_h^{\Delta t})$, (4.44a,b), and for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$

$$(4.46) \quad \frac{F(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n) - F(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^{n-1})}{\Delta t_n} + \frac{\text{Re}}{2\Delta t_n} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{u}_h^n - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}\|^2 \text{d}\mathbf{x} + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n\|^2 \text{d}\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{tr} \left((A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n))^2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n \right) \text{d}\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n\|^2 \text{d}\mathbf{x} + \frac{1 + C_P}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \|\mathbf{f}^n\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2.$$

Proof. For any integer $n \in [1, N_T]$, the desired subsequence convergence results (4.45) follow immediately from (4.37), as $(\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)$ are finite dimensional for fixed $\mathbf{V}_h^0 \times \mathbf{S}_h^0$. It also follows from (4.37), (4.45) and (1.11) that $[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n]_-$ and $[b - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)]_-$ vanish on \mathcal{D} , so that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n$ must be non-negative definite and $\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n) \leq b$ a.e. on \mathcal{D} . Moreover, on noting this, (4.45), (3.3) and (1.11), we have the following subsequence convergence results

$$(4.47) \quad \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n, \quad \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0_+.$$

If $\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)|_{K_k} = b$ on some simplex K_k , then for the subsequence of (4.45) we have that

$$(4.48) \quad \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)|_{K_k} \rightarrow b \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0_+.$$

In addition, it follows from (1.8), (3.3) and (4.48) for δ sufficiently small that

$$(4.49) \quad \|\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)\| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \text{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \geq \frac{b}{2\sqrt{d}} \quad \text{on } K_k.$$

Hence, (4.38a) and (4.49) yield for the subsequence of (4.45) for all δ sufficiently small that

$$(4.50) \quad G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \leq C(h, \Delta t) \quad \text{on } K_k,$$

but this contradicts (4.48) on recalling (3.2) and (3.3). Therefore, $\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)|_{K_k} < b$ on all simplices K_k , and so it follows from (4.45), (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$(4.51) \quad G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \rightarrow \ln \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)}{b} \right), \quad G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)}{b} \right) \rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)}{b} \right)^{-1}$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$.

The results (4.38b) and (4.51) yield for δ sufficiently small that

$$(4.52) \quad \|[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)]^{-1}\| \leq C(h, \Delta t) \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K.$$

Furthermore, it follows from (4.52), (4.47), (3.2), (3.3) and as $[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)]^{-1}\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) = \mathbf{I}$ that the following subsequence result

$$(4.53) \quad [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)]^{-1} = G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \rightarrow [\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n]^{-1} \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0_+$$

holds, and so $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n|_{K_k} \in \mathbb{R}_{S, > 0, b}^{d \times d}$, $k = 1, \dots, N_K$. Therefore, we have from (4.45) and (3.1) that

$$(4.54) \quad G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n) \rightarrow \ln(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n) \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0_+.$$

Since $\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \in C(\overline{\mathcal{D}})$, it follows from (4.45), (4.7) and (4.8) that for $j = 1, \dots, N_E$ and all $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{S}_h^0$

$$(4.55) \quad \int_{E_j} \left| \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n} \mid \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n \rrbracket_{\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} : \boldsymbol{\phi}^{+\mathbf{u}_{\delta,h}^{n-1}} \, ds \rightarrow \int_{E_j} \left| \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{n} \mid \llbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n \rrbracket_{\rightarrow \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}} : \boldsymbol{\phi}^{+\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}} \, ds \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0_+.$$

Hence using (4.45), (4.47), (4.51) and (4.55), we can pass to the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$ for the subsequence in $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (4.13a,b), to show that $\{(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^n)\}_{n=1}^{N_T} \in [V_h^0 \times \mathbb{S}_h^0]^{N_T}$ solves $(\mathbf{P}_h^{\Delta t})$, (4.44a,b). Similarly, using (4.45), (4.47), (4.51), (4.53) and (4.54), and noting (3.15) and (2.1), we can pass to the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$ in (4.16) to obtain (4.46). \square

5. FENE-P MODEL WITH STRESS DIFFUSION

5.1. Model (\mathbf{P}_α) , (\mathbf{P}) with stress diffusion. In this section we consider the following modified version of (\mathbf{P}) , (1.1a–f), with a stress diffusion term for a given constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$:

(\mathbf{P}_α) Find $\mathbf{u}_\alpha : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathbf{u}_\alpha(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $p_\alpha : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{D}_T \mapsto p_\alpha(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_{S, > 0, b}^{d \times d}$ such that

$$(5.1a) \quad \text{Re} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\alpha}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_\alpha \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_\alpha \right) = -\nabla p_\alpha + (1 - \varepsilon) \Delta \mathbf{u}_\alpha + \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Wi}} \text{div} (A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) + \mathbf{f} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(5.1b) \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u}_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(5.1c) \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_\alpha \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha = (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\alpha)^T - \frac{A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha}{\text{Wi}} + \alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(5.1d) \quad \mathbf{u}_\alpha(0, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$(5.1e) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha(0, \mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$(5.1f) \quad \mathbf{u}_\alpha = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{D},$$

$$(5.1g) \quad (\mathbf{n}_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{D}.$$

Hence problem (\mathbf{P}_α) is the same as (\mathbf{P}) , but with the added diffusion term $\alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$ for the stress equation (5.1c), and the associated Neumann boundary condition (5.1g). Similarly to (\mathbf{P}_δ) , (3.13a–f), we introduce a regularization of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha, \delta})$ of (\mathbf{P}_α) mimicking the free energy structure of (\mathbf{P}_α) . Moreover, we need to be able to construct a finite element approximation of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha, \delta})$ that satisfies a discrete analogue of this free energy structure. Apart from the obvious addition of the stress diffusion term, there are three other distinct differences. First, one has to deal with

the advective term in the stress equation differently, see (5.11) below, to the approach used in (3.19) for the stability bound, as the approach there cannot be mimicked at a discrete level using continuous piecewise linear functions to approximate $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}$, the regularization of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$. Note that one cannot use S_h^0 with the desirable property (4.6) to approximate $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}$ due to the additional stress diffusion term. Second, as a consequence of this stress advective term, one has to introduce another regularization of $\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$, $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}$, as well as the obvious candidate $\text{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}))$, and solve for this directly, see Remark 5.1 below. Third, it is desirable for the convergence analysis, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, to have a uniform $L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)$ bound on the extra stress term $A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})$ in the Navier–Stokes equation (5.5a) below. To achieve this we replace $A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})$ there by $\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})$, where we define, for $\delta \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{2}, b\}]$,

$$(5.2) \quad \kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) := \left[\frac{\beta_\delta^b(\eta)}{\text{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi}))} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d} \times \mathbb{R}$$

with

$$(5.3) \quad \beta_\delta^b : s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \min\{\beta_\delta(s), b\}.$$

It follows from (5.2), (5.3), (1.3b) and (1.4b) that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi})\|^2 &\leq \|\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi})]^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \|A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi})]^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \\ &\leq b \text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \right) \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d} \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence a uniform $L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)$ bound on $\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})$ follows from a uniform $L^1(\mathcal{D}_T)$ on $\text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \right)$, which will follow from the free energy bound. Although $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta} \neq \text{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}))$, we will show, in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$, that $\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$ and $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta} \rightarrow \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$ with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathbb{R}_{S, >0, b}^{d \times d}$, and hence implying that $\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) \rightarrow 1$. In order to maintain the free energy bound we need to include $\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta})$ on the right-hand sides of (5.5c,d) below.

Therefore, we consider the following regularization of (\mathbf{P}_α) for a given $\delta \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{2}, b\}]$:

$(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$ Find $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $p_{\alpha,\delta} : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{D}_T \mapsto p_{\alpha,\delta}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta} : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}$ and $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta} : (t, \mathbf{x}) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(5.5a) \quad \text{Re} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \right) = -\nabla p_{\alpha,\delta} + (1 - \varepsilon) \Delta \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Wi}} \text{div} (\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})) \\ + \mathbf{f} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(5.5b) \quad \text{div } \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(5.5c) \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) = \kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) [(\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) + \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) (\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta})^T] \\ - \frac{A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})}{\text{Wi}} + \alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(5.5d) \quad \frac{\partial \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{\partial t} - b (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) \beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) = 2 \kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} : \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \\ - \frac{\text{tr}(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}))}{\text{Wi}} + \alpha \Delta \varrho_{\alpha,\delta} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(5.5e) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$(5.5f) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0(\mathbf{x})) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$(5.5g) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{D},$$

$$(5.5h) \quad (\mathbf{n}_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (\mathbf{n}_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \cdot \nabla) \varrho_{\alpha,\delta} = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{D}.$$

We note from (3.3) that $-b \nabla \beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) = \nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}$ if $\left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) \geq \delta$, i.e. $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta} \leq b(1 - \delta)$. We remark again, due the required regularization of the advective terms in (5.5c,d), that $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta} \neq$

$\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})$. However, we note that on taking the trace of (5.5c), subtracting (5.5d) and integrating over \mathcal{D} , yields, on noting (5.5b,f-h) and (1.3b), that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$(5.6) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}(t, \cdot)) - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}(t, \cdot)] \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}(0, \cdot)) - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}(0, \cdot)] \, d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

5.2. Formal free energy bound for $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$. First, similarly to (3.1), we introduce, for $\delta \in (0, 1)$, the concave $C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ function

$$(5.7) \quad H_{\delta} : s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mapsto \begin{cases} G(s) & \forall s \in (0, \delta^{-1}), \\ \delta s + G(\delta^{-1}) - 1 & \forall s \geq \delta^{-1} \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad H'_{\delta}(G'_{\delta}(s)) = \beta_{\delta}(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have the following analogue of Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $\delta \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{2}, b\}]$ and $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}, p_{\alpha,\delta}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta})$ be a sufficiently smooth solution to problem $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$, (5.5a-h). Then the free energy $F_{\delta}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta})$ satisfies for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$*

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F_{\delta}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}) + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\alpha \varepsilon \delta^2}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\nabla G'_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})\|^2 + b \left\| \nabla G'_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) \right\|^2 \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{tr} \left((A_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}))^2 \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \right) \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.18, on noting Remark 3.1, we multiply the Navier-Stokes equation (5.5a) with $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}$ and the stress equation (5.5c) with $-\frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} G'_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})$, the trace equation (5.5d) with $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} G'_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right)$, sum and integrate over \mathcal{D} . This yields, after performing integration by parts and noting (5.5b,g,h), (1.5a), (3.4a) and (3.6), that

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\frac{\text{Re}}{2} \frac{\partial \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}\|^2}{\partial t} + (1 - \varepsilon) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}\|^2 \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \right) : G'_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{\partial \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{\partial t} - b (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) \beta_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) \right) G'_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ - \frac{\alpha \varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta} :: \nabla G'_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) - \nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla G'_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{tr} \left((A_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}))^2 \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \right) \, d\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.10), we have that

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}}{\partial t} : G'_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{tr} (G_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{\partial t} G'_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) = -b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right).$$

As $\beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \equiv H'_{\delta}(G'_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}))$, on recalling (5.7), we have, on noting $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \in \mathbf{V}$ and the spatial version of (1.10), that

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) : G'_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \, d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) : (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) G'_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) \text{tr} (H_{\delta}(G'_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}))) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (5.11), we have that

$$(5.12) \quad - \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) \beta_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) \right] G'_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) H_{\delta} \left(G'_{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b} \right) \right) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Similarly to (3.4e), we have that

$$(5.13a) \quad -\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta} \text{ : } \nabla G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \geq \delta^2 \|\nabla G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})\|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{D}_T,$$

$$(5.13b) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b}\right) &= -b \nabla \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b}\right) \cdot \nabla G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b}\right) \\ &\geq b \delta^2 \left\| \nabla G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b}\right) \right\|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{D}_T. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.9)–(5.13a,b) yields the desired result (5.8). \square

Remark 5.1. We note if we multiply the advection term in (5.5c) by $-G'_\delta(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})}{b}) \mathbf{I}$ and integrate over \mathcal{D} , then we obtain, on noting $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \in \mathbf{V}$ and (5.12), that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) : G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})}{b}\right) \mathbf{I} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})) (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})}{b}\right) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -b \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}))}{b}\right) (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})}{b}\right) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\neq -b \int_{\mathcal{D}} \beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})}{b}\right) (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta} \cdot \nabla) G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})}{b}\right) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the need for the new variable $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}$ in order to mimic the free energy structure of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$.

The following Corollary follows from (5.8) on noting the proof of Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 5.1. Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.1 it follows that

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in (0,T)} F_\delta(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}(t, \cdot), \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}(t, \cdot), \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}(t, \cdot)) + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &+ \frac{\alpha \varepsilon \delta^2}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left[\|\nabla G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta})\|^2 + b \left\| \nabla G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}}{b}\right) \right\|^2 \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta}))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}) \right) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ &\leq 2 \left(F(\mathbf{u}^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0) + \frac{1+C_P}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\mathcal{D}))}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

6. FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATION OF $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$

6.1. Finite element discretization. We now introduce a conforming finite element discretization of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$, (5.5a–h), which satisfies a discrete analogue of (5.8). As noted in Section 5, we cannot use \mathbf{S}_h^0 with the desirable property (4.6) to approximate $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}$, as we now have the added diffusion term. In the following, we choose

$$(6.1a) \quad \mathbf{W}_h^1 := \mathbf{W}_h^2 \subset \mathbf{W} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{W}_h^{1,+} \subset \mathbf{W},$$

$$(6.1b) \quad \mathbf{Q}_h^1 = \{q \in C(\overline{\mathcal{D}}) : q|_{K_k} \in \mathbb{P}_1 \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K\} \subset \mathbf{Q},$$

$$(6.1c) \quad \mathbf{S}_h^1 = \{\boldsymbol{\phi} \in [C(\overline{\mathcal{D}})]_S^{d \times d} : \boldsymbol{\phi}|_{K_k} \in [\mathbb{P}_1]_S^{d \times d} \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K\} \subset \mathbf{S}$$

$$(6.1d) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{V}_h^1 = \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{W}_h^1 : \int_{\mathcal{D}} q \, \text{div} \, \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \forall q \in \mathbf{Q}_h^1 \right\};$$

where \mathbf{W}_h^2 is defined as in (4.3a) and, on recalling the barycentric coordinate notation used in (4.4),

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbf{W}_h^{1,+} := \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in [C(\overline{\mathcal{D}})]^d \cap \mathbf{W} : \mathbf{v}|_{K_k} \in \left[\mathbb{P}_1 \oplus \text{span} \prod_{i=0}^d \eta_i^k \right]^d \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K \right\}.$$

The velocity-pressure choice, $W_h^2 \times Q_h^1$, is the lowest order Taylor-Hood element. It satisfies (4.1) with W_h^0 and Q_h^0 replaced by W_h^2 and Q_h^1 , respectively, provided, in addition to $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$ being a regular family of meshes, that each simplex has at least one vertex in \mathcal{D} , see p177 in Girault and Raviart [16] in the case $d = 2$ and Boffi [10] in the case $d = 3$. Of course, this is a very mild restriction on $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$. The velocity-pressure choice, $W_h^{1,+} \times Q_h^1$, is called the mini-element. It satisfies (4.1) with W_h^0 and Q_h^0 replaced by $W_h^{1,+}$ and Q_h^1 , respectively; see Chapter II, Section 4.1 in Girault and Raviart [16] in the case $d = 2$ and Section 4.2.4 in Ern and Guermond [15] in the case $d = 3$. Hence for both choices of W_h^1 , it follows that for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ there exists a sequence $\{\mathbf{v}_h\}_{h>0}$, with $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^1$, such that

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0_+} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} = 0.$$

We recall the well-known local inverse inequality for Q_h^1

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|q\|_{L^\infty(K_k)} &\leq C |K_k|^{-1} \int_{K_k} |q| \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall q \in Q_h^1, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K \\ \Rightarrow \|\chi\|_{L^\infty(K_k)} &\leq C |K_k|^{-1} \int_{K_k} \|\chi\| \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \chi \in S_h^1, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K. \end{aligned}$$

We recall a similar well-known local inverse inequality for S_h^1 and V_h^1

$$(6.5a) \quad \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(K_k)} \leq C h_k^{-1} \|\phi\|_{L^2(K_k)} \quad \forall \phi \in S_h^1, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K,$$

$$(6.5b) \quad \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(K_k)} \leq C h_k^{-1} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(K_k)} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V_h^1, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K.$$

We introduce the interpolation operator $\pi_h : C(\overline{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow Q_h^1$, and extended naturally to $\pi_h : [C(\overline{\mathcal{D}})]_S^{d \times d} \rightarrow S_h^1$, such that for all $\eta \in C(\overline{\mathcal{D}})$ and $\phi \in [C(\overline{\mathcal{D}})]_S^{d \times d}$

$$(6.6) \quad \pi_h \eta(P_p) = \eta(P_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_h \phi(P_p) = \phi(P_p) \quad p = 1, \dots, N_P,$$

where $\{P_p\}_{p=1}^{N_P}$ are the vertices of \mathcal{T}_h . As $\phi \in S_h^1$ and $q \in Q_h^1$ do not imply that $G'_\delta(\phi) \in S_h^1$ and $G'_\delta(1 - \frac{q}{b}) \in Q_h^1$, we have to test the finite element approximation of (5.5c,d) with $-\frac{\varepsilon}{2\overline{W}_1} \pi_h [G'_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)] \in S_h^1$ and $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\overline{W}_1} \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \right] \in Q_h^1$, respectively, where $\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \in S_h^1$ and $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \in Q_h^1$ are our finite element approximations to $\sigma_{\alpha,\delta}$ and $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta}$ at time level t_n . Therefore the finite element approximation of (5.5c,d) have to be constructed to mimic the results (5.9)–(5.13a,b), when tested with $-\frac{\varepsilon}{2\overline{W}_1} \pi_h [G'_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)] \in S_h^1$ and $\frac{\varepsilon}{2\overline{W}_1} \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \right] \in Q_h^1$, respectively.

In order to mimic (5.10) and the $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$ analogue of (3.20), we need to use numerical integration (vertex sampling). We note the following results. As the basis functions associated with Q_h^1 and S_h^1 are nonnegative and sum to unity everywhere, we have, on noting (1.5b), for $k = 1, \dots, N_K$ that

$$(6.7a) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\pi_h[\phi \psi]\| &\leq \pi_h[\|\phi\| \|\psi\|] \\ &\leq [\pi_h[\|\phi\|^{r_1}]]^{\frac{1}{r_1}} [\pi_h[\|\psi\|^{r_2}]]^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in [C(\overline{K_k})]_S^{d \times d}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.7b) \quad \|\pi_h \phi\|^2 \leq \pi_h[\|\phi\|^2] \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad \forall \phi \in [C(\overline{K_k})]_S^{d \times d},$$

where $r_1, r_2 \in (1, \infty)$ satisfy $r_1^{-1} + r_2^{-1} = 1$. In addition, we have for $k = 1, \dots, N_K$ that

$$(6.8) \quad \int_{K_k} \|\chi\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_{K_k} \pi_h[\|\chi\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} \leq C \int_{K_k} \|\chi\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \chi \in S_h^1.$$

The first inequality in (6.8) follows immediately from (6.7b), and the second from applying (6.4) and a Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Of course, scalar versions of (6.7a,b) and (6.8) hold with $[C(\overline{K_k})]_S^{d \times d}$ and S_h^1 replaced by $C(\overline{K_k})$ and Q_h^1 , respectively.

Furthermore, for later use, we recall the following well-known results concerning the interpolant π_h for $k = 1, \dots, N_K$:

$$(6.9a) \quad \|(\mathbf{I} - \pi_h)q\|_{W^{1,\infty}(K_k)} \leq C h |q|_{W^{2,\infty}(K_k)} \quad \forall q \in W^{2,\infty}(K_k),$$

$$(6.9b) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{I} - \pi_h)[q_1 q_2]\|_{L^1(K_k)} &\leq C h_k^2 \|\nabla q_1\|_{L^2(K_k)} \|\nabla q_2\|_{L^2(K_k)} \\ &\leq C h_k \|q_1\|_{L^2(K_k)} \|\nabla q_2\|_{L^2(K_k)} \quad \forall q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_h^1. \end{aligned}$$

In order to mimic (5.11) and (5.12), we have to carefully construct our finite element approximation of the advective terms in (5.5c,d). Our construction is a non-trivial extension of an approach that has been used in the finite element approximation of fourth-order degenerate nonlinear parabolic equations, such as the thin film equation; see e.g. Grün and Rumpf [17] and Barrett and Nürnberg [2]. Let $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^d$ be the orthonormal vectors in \mathbb{R}^d , such that the j^{th} component of \mathbf{e}_i is δ_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, d$. Let \widehat{K} be the standard open reference simplex in \mathbb{R}^d with vertices $\{\widehat{P}_i\}_{i=0}^d$, where \widehat{P}_0 is the origin and $\widehat{P}_i = \mathbf{e}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Given a simplex $K_k \in \mathcal{T}_h$ with vertices $\{P_i^k\}_{i=0}^d$, then there exists a non-singular matrix B_k such that the linear mapping

$$(6.10) \quad \mathcal{B}_k : \widehat{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto P_0^k + B_k \widehat{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

maps vertex \widehat{P}_i to vertex P_i^k , $i = 0, \dots, d$. Hence \mathcal{B}_k maps \widehat{K} to K_k . For all $\eta \in \mathbb{Q}_h^1$ and $K_k \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we define

$$(6.11) \quad \widehat{\eta}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}) := \eta(\mathcal{B}_k(\widehat{\mathbf{x}})) \quad \forall \widehat{\mathbf{x}} \in \widehat{K} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \eta(\mathcal{B}_k(\widehat{\mathbf{x}})) = (B_k^T)^{-1} \widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\eta}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}) \quad \forall \widehat{\mathbf{x}} \in \widehat{K},$$

where for all $\widehat{\mathbf{x}} \in \widehat{K}$

$$(6.12) \quad [\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\eta}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}})]_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{x}_j} \widehat{\eta}(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}) = \widehat{\eta}(\widehat{P}_j) - \widehat{\eta}(\widehat{P}_0) = \eta(P_j^k) - \eta(P_0^k) \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Such notation is easily extended to $\phi \in \mathbb{S}_h^1$.

Given $q \in \mathbb{Q}_h^1$ and $K_k \in \mathcal{T}_h$, then first, for $j = 1, \dots, d$, we find the unique $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{q}) \in \mathbb{R}$, which is continuous on q , such that

$$(6.13) \quad \widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{q}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{x}_j} \widehat{\pi}_h[G'_\delta(\widehat{q})] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{x}_j} \widehat{\pi}_h[H_\delta(G'_\delta(\widehat{q}))] \quad \text{on } \widehat{K},$$

where $(\widehat{\pi}_h \widehat{\eta})(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}) \equiv (\pi_h \eta)(\mathcal{B}_k \widehat{\mathbf{x}})$ for all $\widehat{\mathbf{x}} \in \widehat{K}$ and $\eta \in C(\overline{K_k})$. We set

$$(6.14) \quad \widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{q}) := \begin{cases} \frac{H_\delta(G'_\delta(q(P_j^k))) - H_\delta(G'_\delta(q(P_0^k)))}{G'_\delta(q(P_j^k)) - G'_\delta(q(P_0^k))} & \text{if } \beta_\delta(q(P_j^k)) \neq \beta_\delta(q(P_0^k)), \\ \beta_\delta(q(P_j^k)) = \beta_\delta(q(P_0^k)) & \text{if } \beta_\delta(q(P_j^k)) = \beta_\delta(q(P_0^k)), \end{cases}$$

where we have noted that $G'_\delta(\beta_\delta(s)) = G'_\delta(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $G'_\delta(\cdot)$ is strictly decreasing on $[\delta, \infty)$. Clearly, $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{q}) \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, satisfies (6.13) and depends continuously on $q|_{K_k}$.

Next, we extend the construction (6.14) for a given $\phi \in \mathbb{S}_h^1$ and $K_k \in \mathcal{T}_h$, to find for $j = 1, \dots, d$ the unique $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{\phi}) \in \mathbb{R}_S^{d \times d}$, which is continuous on ϕ , such that

$$(6.15) \quad \widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{\phi}) : \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{x}_j} \widehat{\pi}_h[G'_\delta(\widehat{\phi})] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{x}_j} \widehat{\pi}_h[\text{tr}(H_\delta(G'_\delta(\widehat{\phi})))] \quad \text{on } \widehat{K}.$$

To construct $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{\phi})$ satisfying (6.15), we note the following. We have from (5.7) and (1.9) that

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) : (G'_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - G'_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))) &\leq \text{tr}(H_\delta(G'_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - H_\delta(G'_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)))) \\ &\leq \beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)) : (G'_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - G'_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))). \end{aligned}$$

Since $G'_\delta(\beta_\delta(s)) = G'_\delta(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows from (3.4e) that

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} -(\beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - \beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))) : (G'_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - G'_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))) \\ = -(\beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - \beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))) : (G'_\delta(\beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k))) - G'_\delta(\beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)))) \\ \geq \delta^2 \|G'_\delta(\beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k))) - G'_\delta(\beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)))\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the left-hand side of (6.17) is zero if and only if $G'_\delta(\beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k))) = G'_\delta(\beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)))$, which is equivalent to $\beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) = \beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))$ as $G'_\delta(\cdot)$ is invertible on $[\delta, \infty)$, the range of $\beta_\delta(\cdot)$. Hence, on noting (6.12), (6.16), (6.17) and (1.3b), we have that

$$(6.18a) \quad \widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{\phi}) := (1 - \lambda_{\delta,j}^k) \beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) + \lambda_{\delta,j}^k \beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))$$

$$(6.18b) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{if } (\beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - \beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))) : (G'_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - G'_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))) \neq 0, \\ \widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{\phi}) & := \beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) = \beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)) \\ & \text{if } (\beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - \beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))) : (G'_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - G'_\delta(\phi(P_0^k))) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

satisfies (6.15) for $j = 1, \dots, d$; where $\lambda_{\delta,j}^k \in [0, 1]$ is defined as

$$\lambda_{\delta,j}^k := \frac{\left[\text{tr}(H_\delta(G'_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - H_\delta(G'_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)))) - \beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) : (G'_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - G'_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)))) \right]}{(\beta_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)) - \beta_\delta(\phi(P_j^k))) : (G'_\delta(\phi(P_j^k)) - G'_\delta(\phi(P_0^k)))}.$$

Furthermore, $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{\phi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, depends continuously on $\phi|_{K_k}$.

Therefore given $q \in Q_h^1$ and $\phi \in S_h^1$, we introduce, for $m, p = 1, \dots, d$,

$$(6.19a) \quad \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(q) = \sum_{j=1}^d [(B_k^T)^{-1}]_{mj} \widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{q}) [B_k^T]_{jp} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K,$$

$$(6.19b) \quad \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\phi) = \sum_{j=1}^d [(B_k^T)^{-1}]_{mj} \widehat{\Lambda}_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{\phi}) [B_k^T]_{jp} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K.$$

It follows from (6.19a,b), (6.13), (6.15) and (6.11) that

$$(6.20) \quad \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(q) \approx \beta_\delta(q) \delta_{mp}, \quad \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\phi) \approx \beta_\delta(\phi) \delta_{mp} \quad m, p = 1, \dots, d;$$

and for $m = 1, \dots, d$

$$(6.21a) \quad \sum_{p=1}^d \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} \pi_h[G'_\delta(q)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \pi_h[H_\delta(G'_\delta(q))] \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K,$$

$$(6.21b) \quad \sum_{p=1}^d \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\phi) : \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} \pi_h[G'_\delta(\phi)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \pi_h[\text{tr}(H_\delta(G'_\delta(\phi)))] \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K.$$

For a more precise version of (6.20), see Lemma 6.4 below. Of course, for (6.19a) and (6.21a) we can adopt the more compact notation on K_k , $k = 1, \dots, N_K$,

$$(6.22) \quad \Lambda_\delta(q) = (B_k^T)^{-1} \widehat{\Lambda}_\delta^k(\widehat{q}) B_k^T \approx \beta_\delta(q) \mathbf{I} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Lambda_\delta(q) \nabla \pi_h[G'_\delta(q)] = \nabla \pi_h[H_\delta(G'_\delta(q))],$$

where $\Lambda_\delta^k(\widehat{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is diagonal with $[\Lambda_\delta^k(\widehat{q})]_{jj} = \Lambda_{\delta,j}^k(\widehat{q})$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, so that $\Lambda_\delta(q) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ with $[\Lambda_\delta(q)]_{mp} = \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(q)$, $m, p = 1, \dots, d$.

Finally, as the partitioning \mathcal{T}_h consists of regular simplices, we have that

$$(6.23) \quad \|(B_k^T)^{-1}\| \|B_k^T\| \leq C, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K.$$

Hence, it follows from (6.19a,b), (6.23) and (6.18a,b) that for $k = 1, \dots, N_K$

$$(6.24a) \quad \|\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(q)\|_{L^\infty(K_k)} \leq C \|\pi_h[\beta_\delta(q)]\|_{L^\infty(K_k)} \quad \forall q \in Q_h^1,$$

$$(6.24b) \quad \|\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\phi)\|_{L^\infty(K_k)} \leq C \|\pi_h[\beta_\delta(\phi)]\|_{L^\infty(K_k)} \quad \forall \phi \in S_h^1.$$

In order to mimic (5.13a,b), we shall assume from now on that the family of meshes, $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$, for the polytope \mathcal{D} consists of non-obtuse simplices only, i.e. all dihedral angles of any simplex in \mathcal{T}_h are less than or equal to $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Let K_k have vertices $\{P_j^k\}_{j=0}^d$, and let $\eta_j^k(\mathbf{x})$ be the basis functions on K_k associated with Q_h^1 and S_h^1 , i.e. $\eta_j^k|_{K_k} \in \mathbb{P}_1$ and $\eta_j^k(P_i^k) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 0, \dots, d$. As K_k is non-obtuse it follows that

$$(6.25) \quad \nabla \eta_i^k \cdot \nabla \eta_j^k \leq 0 \quad \text{on } K_k, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, d, \quad \text{with } i \neq j.$$

We then have the following result.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $g \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$ be monotonically increasing with Lipschitz constant g_{Lip} . As \mathcal{T}_h consists of only non-obtuse simplices, then we have for all $q \in Q_h^1$, $\phi \in S_h^1$ that*

$$(6.26) \quad g_{\text{Lip}} \nabla \pi_h[g(q)] \cdot \nabla q \geq \|\nabla \pi_h[g(q)]\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\text{Lip}} \nabla \pi_h[g(\phi)] : \nabla \phi \geq \|\nabla \pi_h[g(\phi)]\|^2 \\ \text{on } K_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_K.$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 5.1 in [1]. \square

Of course, the construction of a non-obtuse mesh in the case $d = 3$ is not straightforward for a general polytope \mathcal{D} . However, we stress that our numerical method $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, see (6.34a–c) below, does not require this constraint. It is only required to show that $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ mimics the free energy structure of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$.

6.2. A free energy preserving approximation, $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$. In addition to the assumptions on the finite element discretization stated in subsection 6.1, and our definition of Δt in subsection 4.1, we shall assume for the convergence analysis, see Section 7, that there exists a $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$(6.27) \quad \Delta t_n \leq C \Delta t_{n-1}, \quad n = 2, \dots, N, \quad \text{as } \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+.$$

We note that this constraint is not required for the results in this section, in particular Theorem 6.1.

With Δt_1 and C as above, let $\Delta t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be such that $\Delta t_1 \leq C \Delta t_0$. Given initial data satisfying (3.14), we choose $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbf{V}_h^1$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0 \in \mathbf{S}_h^1$ throughout the rest of this paper such that

$$(6.28a) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\mathbf{u}_h^0 \cdot \mathbf{v} + \Delta t_0 \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^0 : \nabla \mathbf{v}] \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{u}^0 \cdot \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h^1,$$

$$(6.28b) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\pi_h[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0 : \boldsymbol{\chi}] + \Delta t_0 \nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0 :: \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi}] \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 : \boldsymbol{\chi} \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbf{S}_h^1.$$

It follows from (6.28a,b), (6.8) and (3.14) that

$$(6.29) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{u}_h^0\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0\|^2 + \Delta t_0 [\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^0\|^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0\|^2]] \, d\mathbf{x} \leq C.$$

In addition, we note the following result.

Lemma 6.2. *For $p = 1, \dots, N_P$ we have that*

$$(6.30) \quad \sigma_{\min}^0 \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|^2 \leq \boldsymbol{\xi}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0(P_p) \boldsymbol{\xi} \leq \sigma_{\max}^0 \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|^2 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0(P_p)) \leq b^*.$$

Proof. For the proof of the first result in (6.30), see the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [1].

We now prove the second result in (6.30). On choosing $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \mathbf{I}\eta$, with $\eta \in \mathbf{Q}_h^1$, in (6.28b) yields that $z_h := \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0) - b^* \in \mathbf{Q}_h^1$ satisfies

$$(6.31) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\pi_h[z_h \eta] + \Delta t_0 \nabla z_h \cdot \nabla \eta] \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} z \eta \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbf{Q}_h^1,$$

where $z := \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0) - b^* \in L^\infty(\mathcal{D})$ and is non-positive on recalling (3.14). Choosing $\eta = \pi_h[z_h]_+ \in \mathbf{Q}_h^1$, it follows, on noting the \mathbf{Q}_h^1 version of (6.8) and (6.26) with $g(\cdot) = [\cdot]_+$, that

$$(6.32) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\pi_h[z_h]_+^2 + \Delta t_0 \|\nabla \pi_h[z_h]_+\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\pi_h[[z_h]_+^2] + \Delta t_0 \nabla z_h \cdot \nabla \pi_h[z_h]_+] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ = \int_{\mathcal{D}} z \pi_h[z_h]_+ \, d\mathbf{x} \leq 0.$$

Hence $\pi_h[z_h]_+ \equiv 0$ and so the second result in (6.30) holds. \square

Furthermore, it follows from (6.28a,b), (6.29), (3.14), (6.3) and (6.9a,b) that, as $h, \Delta t_0 \rightarrow 0_+$,

$$(6.33) \quad \mathbf{u}_h^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{u}^0 \quad \text{weakly in } [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^d \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0 \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 \quad \text{weakly in } [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^{d \times d}.$$

Our approximation $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$ is then:

$(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ Setting $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^0, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^0) = (\mathbf{u}_h^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0, \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0)) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times (\mathbf{S}_h^1 \cap \mathbf{S}_{>0,b}) \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$, with \mathbf{u}_h^0 and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0$ as defined in (6.28a,b), then for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$ find $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$ such that for any test functions $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$

(6.34a)

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\text{Re} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \left[((\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \cdot ((\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}) \right] \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (1 - \varepsilon) \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Wi}} \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n)] : \nabla \mathbf{v} \Big] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& = \langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})},
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.34b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) : \boldsymbol{\phi} + \frac{A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) : \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\text{Wi}} \right] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& + \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n :: \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} - 2 \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n : \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) \boldsymbol{\phi} \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n)]] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& - \int_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{m=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^d [\mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{n-1}]_m \Lambda_{\delta, m, p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.34c}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\left(\frac{\varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n - \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \eta + \frac{\text{tr} \left(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) \right)}{\text{Wi}} \eta \right] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& + \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\alpha \nabla \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n \cdot \nabla \eta - 2 \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n : \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) \eta \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n)]] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& + b \int_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{m=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^d [\mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{n-1}]_m \Lambda_{\delta, m, p} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n}{b} \right) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In deriving $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t})$, we have noted (4.14), (1.5a), (6.19a,b) and (6.20). We note that on replacing $A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \text{tr}(\varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n))$ with $\mathbf{I} - G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n)$ and $\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n)$ by 1 then $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t})$, (6.34a,b), collapses to the corresponding finite element approximation of Oldroyd-B with stress diffusion studied in [1], see (5.34a,b) with no L cut-off there.

Before proving existence of a solution to $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t})$, we first derive a discrete analogue of the energy bound (5.8) for $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha, \delta})$.

6.3. Energy bound. On setting

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.35) \quad F_{\delta, h}(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) & := \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{b} \right) + \text{tr} (G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\phi}) + \mathbf{I}) \right] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& \forall (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1,
\end{aligned}$$

we have the following discrete analogue of Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 6.1. *For $n = 1, \dots, N_T$, a solution $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$ to $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t})$, (6.34a-c), if it exists, satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.36) \quad & \frac{F_{\delta, h}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) - F_{\delta, h}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{n-1}, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{n-1})}{\Delta t_n} \\
& + \frac{\text{Re}}{2\Delta t_n} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{n-1}\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n) \right) \right] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& + \frac{\alpha \varepsilon \delta^2}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\nabla \pi_h [G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n)]\|^2 + b \left\| \nabla \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n}{b} \right) \right] \right\|^2 \right] \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq \langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \frac{1 + C_P}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \|\mathbf{f}^n\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.1, we choose as test functions $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n \in \mathbf{V}_h^1$, $\boldsymbol{\phi} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \pi_h [G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n)] \in \mathbf{S}_h^1$ and $\eta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^n}{b} \right) \right] \in \mathbf{Q}_h^1$ in (6.34a-c), and obtain, on

noting (4.15), (3.4a,d), (3.6), (6.26) with $g = -G'_\delta$ having Lipschitz constant δ^{-2} , (6.21a,b) and (6.35) that

$$(6.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} &\geq \frac{F_{\delta,h}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) - F_{\delta,h}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1})}{\Delta t_n} \\ &+ \frac{\text{Re}}{2\Delta t_n} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + (1-\varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha\varepsilon\delta^2}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\nabla \pi_h [G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]\|^2 + b \left\| \nabla \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \right] \right\|^2 \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla \pi_h \left[\text{tr}(H_\delta(G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))) + bH_\delta \left(G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \right) \right] \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

The first desired inequality in (6.36) follows immediately from (6.37) on noting (6.1a,d), (1.12) and that $\pi_h : C(\overline{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_h^1$. The second inequality in (6.36) follows immediately from (2.9) with $\nu^2 = (1-\varepsilon)/(1+C_P)$. \square

6.4. Existence of discrete solutions.

Proposition 6.2. *Given $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$ such that $\int_{\mathcal{D}} [\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}) - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}] \, d\mathbf{x} = 0$ and for any time step $\Delta t_n > 0$, then there exists at least one solution $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$ to $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (6.34a-c), such that $\int_{\mathcal{D}} [\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n] \, d\mathbf{x} = 0$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.2. We introduce the following inner product on the Hilbert space $\mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$

$$((\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta))_{\mathcal{D}}^h = \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \pi_h[\boldsymbol{\psi} : \boldsymbol{\phi} + \xi \boldsymbol{\eta}]] \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall (\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1.$$

Given $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$, let $\mathcal{F}^h : \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$ be such that for any $(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1$

$$(6.38) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{F}^h(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta))_{\mathcal{D}}^h \\ &:= \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\text{Re} \left(\frac{\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} + (1-\varepsilon) \nabla \mathbf{w} : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Wi}} \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi})] : \nabla \mathbf{v} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \left[((\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \cdot ((\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha [\nabla \boldsymbol{\psi} :: \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} + \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \eta] - 2 \nabla \mathbf{w} : \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi) [\boldsymbol{\phi} + \eta \mathbf{I}] \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi})] \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\psi} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) : \boldsymbol{\phi} + \frac{A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi})}{\text{Wi}} : \boldsymbol{\phi} + \left(\frac{\xi - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \eta + \frac{\text{tr}(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}))}{\text{Wi}} \eta \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{m=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^d [\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}]_m \left[\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} - b \Lambda_{\delta,m,p} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{b} \right) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} \right] \, d\mathbf{x} - \langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} \\ &\quad \forall (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1. \end{aligned}$$

A solution $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)$ to (4.13a-c), if it exists, corresponds to a zero of \mathcal{F}^h . On recalling (6.19a,b), (6.18a,b) and (6.14), it is easily deduced that the mapping \mathcal{F}^h is continuous. For any

$(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi) \in V_h^1 \times S_h^1 \times Q_h^1$, on choosing $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) = \left(\mathbf{w}, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}}\pi_h[G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi})], \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}}\pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{b} \right) \right] \right)$, we obtain analogously to (6.36) that

$$(6.39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\mathcal{F}^h(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi), \left(\mathbf{w}, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}}\pi_h[G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi})], \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}}\pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{b} \right) \right] \right) \right)_{\mathcal{D}}^h \\ & \geq \frac{F_{\delta,h}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi) - F_{\delta,h}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1})}{\Delta t_n} + \frac{\text{Re}}{2\Delta t_n} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\ & \quad + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1+C_P}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \|\mathbf{f}^n\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}^2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \xi))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \right) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ & \quad + \frac{\alpha\varepsilon\delta^2}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\nabla \pi_h[G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi})]\|^2 + b \left\| \nabla \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{b} \right) \right] \right\|^2 \right] \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\|(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta)\|_{\mathcal{D}}^h := [((\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta))_{\mathcal{D}}^h]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \pi_h[\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|^2 + |\eta|^2]] \, d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the continuous mapping \mathcal{F}^h has no zero $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)$, which lies in the ball

$$\mathcal{B}_\gamma^h := \left\{ (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) \in V_h^1 \times S_h^1 \times Q_h^1 : \|(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta)\|_{\mathcal{D}}^h \leq \gamma \right\};$$

then for such γ , we can define the continuous mapping $\mathcal{G}_\gamma^h : \mathcal{B}_\gamma^h \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\gamma^h$ such that for all $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) \in \mathcal{B}_\gamma^h$

$$\mathcal{G}_\gamma^h(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) := -\gamma \frac{\mathcal{F}^h(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta)}{\|\mathcal{F}^h(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta)\|_{\mathcal{D}}^h}.$$

By the Brouwer fixed point theorem, \mathcal{G}_γ^h has at least one fixed point $(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma)$ in \mathcal{B}_γ^h . Hence it satisfies

$$(6.40) \quad \|(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma)\|_{\mathcal{D}}^h = \|\mathcal{G}_\gamma^h(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma)\|_{\mathcal{D}}^h = \gamma.$$

In addition, $((\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta))_{\mathcal{D}}^h = (\mathcal{G}_\gamma^h(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta))_{\mathcal{D}}^h$ with $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}, -1)$ yields that

$$(6.41) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma) - \xi_\gamma] \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}) - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}] \, d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

On noting (6.4), we have that there exists a $\mu_h \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for all $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in S_h^1$,

$$(6.42) \quad \|\pi_h[\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|]\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})}^2 = \|\pi_h[\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|^2]\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} \leq \mu_h^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h[\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x},$$

and an equivalent result holding for all $\eta \in Q_h^1$. It follows from (6.35), (6.41), (3.5), (3.7a), (6.42) and (6.40) that

$$(6.43) \quad \begin{aligned} & F_{\delta,h}(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma) \\ & = \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma - G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma) - \mathbf{I}) - b G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi_\gamma}{b} \right) - \xi_\gamma \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ & \geq \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\text{Wi}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h[\|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\| + |\xi_\gamma|] \, d\mathbf{x} - (2d+3b)|\mathcal{D}| \right] \\ & \geq \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{\varepsilon(2d+3b)|\mathcal{D}|}{4\text{Wi}} \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\text{Wi}\mu_h\gamma} \left[\|\pi_h[\|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\|]\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h[\|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\|] \, d\mathbf{x} + \|\pi_h[|\xi_\gamma|]\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h[|\xi_\gamma|] \, d\mathbf{x} \right] \\ & \geq \min \left(\frac{\text{Re}}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4\text{Wi}\mu_h\gamma} \right) \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} [\|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 + \pi_h[\|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\|^2 + |\xi_\gamma|^2]] \, d\mathbf{x} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon(2d+3b)|\mathcal{D}|}{4\text{Wi}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \min \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4\operatorname{Wi}\mu_h\gamma} \right) \gamma^2 - \frac{\varepsilon(2d+3b)|\mathcal{D}|}{4\operatorname{Wi}}.$$

Hence for all γ sufficiently large, it follows from (6.39) and (6.43) that

$$(6.44) \quad \left(\mathcal{F}^h(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma), \left(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}}\pi_h[G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)], \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}}\pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi_\gamma}{b} \right) \right] \right) \right)_D^h \geq 0.$$

On the other hand as $(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma)$ is a fixed point of \mathcal{G}_γ^h , we have that

$$(6.45) \quad \left(\mathcal{F}^h(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma), \left(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}}\pi_h[G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)], \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}}\pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi_\gamma}{b} \right) \right] \right) \right)_D^h \\ = -\frac{\|\mathcal{F}^h(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma)\|_D^h}{\gamma} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}}\pi_h \left[\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma : G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma) - \xi_\gamma G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi_\gamma}{b} \right) \right] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.$$

It follows from (6.41), (3.5), (3.7b), and similarly to (6.43), on noting (6.42) and (6.40), that

$$(6.46) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}}\pi_h \left[\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma : G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma) - \xi_\gamma G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi_\gamma}{b} \right) \right] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}}\pi_h \left[\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma : (\mathbf{I} - G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)) + \xi_\gamma \left(G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi_\gamma}{b} \right) - 1 \right) \right] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ \geq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{w}_\gamma\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\operatorname{Wi}} [\pi_h[\|\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma\| + |\xi_\gamma|] - 2(d+b)] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ \geq \min \left(1, \frac{\varepsilon}{4\operatorname{Wi}\mu_h\gamma} \right) \gamma^2 - \frac{\varepsilon(d+b)|\mathcal{D}|}{2\operatorname{Wi}}.$$

Therefore on combining (6.45) and (6.46), we have for all γ sufficiently large that

$$(6.47) \quad \left(\mathcal{F}^h(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, \boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma, \xi_\gamma), \left(\mathbf{w}_\gamma, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}}\pi_h[G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\psi}_\gamma)], \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}}\pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\xi_\gamma}{b} \right) \right] \right) \right)_D^h < 0,$$

which obviously contradicts (6.44). Hence the mapping \mathcal{F}^h has a zero, $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \in \mathcal{B}_\gamma^h$ for γ sufficiently large. Finally, similarly to (6.41), it follows, on choosing $(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta) = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}, -1)$ in $(\mathcal{F}^h(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n), (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \eta))_D^h = 0$, that $\int_{\mathcal{D}} [\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}) - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = 0$. \square

We now have the analogue of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 6.1. *For any $\delta \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{2}, b\}]$, $N_T \geq 1$ and any partitioning of $[0, T]$ into N_T time steps, there exists a solution $\{(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\}_{n=1}^{N_T} \in [\mathbf{V}_h^1 \times \mathbf{S}_h^1 \times \mathbf{Q}_h^1]^{N_T}$ to $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (6.34a-c).*

In addition, it follows for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$ that $\int_{\mathcal{D}} [\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = 0$ and

$$(6.48) \quad F_{\delta,h}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\operatorname{Re} \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{m-1}\|^2 + (1-\varepsilon)\Delta t_m \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m\|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\operatorname{Wi}^2} \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta t_m \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\operatorname{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m) \right) \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ + \frac{\alpha\varepsilon\delta^2}{2\operatorname{Wi}} \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta t_m \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\nabla \pi_h[G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m)]\|^2 + b \left\| \nabla \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m}{b} \right) \right] \right\|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ \leq F_{\delta,h}(\mathbf{u}_h^0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0, \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0)) + \frac{1+C_P}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta t_m \|\mathbf{f}^m\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2 \leq C,$$

which yields that

$$(6.49) \quad \max_{n=0, \dots, N_T} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\| + |\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n| + \delta^{-1} \pi_h \left[\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_- + |[b - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n]_-| \right] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \leq C;$$

where C is independent of α , as well as δ , h and Δt .

Proof. Existence and the stability result (6.48) follow immediately from Propositions 6.2 and 6.1, respectively, on noting (6.35), (3.1), (6.29), (6.30), (4.11a) and (3.14). The bounds (6.49) follow immediately from (6.48), on noting (3.6), (3.4b), (6.35), (3.5) and that

$$(6.50) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[b \left[\left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) - G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \right] + \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)) \right] d\mathbf{x} \\ = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[b \left[1 - G_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \right] - \text{tr}(G_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)) \right] d\mathbf{x} \leq C.$$

□

Remark 6.1. We recall that we have used S_h^1 for the approximation of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta}$ in $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (6.34a-c), due to the presence of the diffusion term in (5.5c). Secondly, due to the advective term in (5.5c), one has to introduce the variable $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n$ and its equation (6.34c) in $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ in order to obtain the entropy bound (6.36). However, we now have a bound on $\pi_h[[b - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n]_-]$ in (6.49), as opposed to $[b - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\delta,h}^n)]_-$ in (4.37). Now, it does not seem possible to pass to the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ to prove well-posedness of the corresponding direct approximation of (\mathbf{P}_α) , i.e. $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,h}^{\Delta t})$ without the regularization δ , as we did for $(\mathbf{P}_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ in subsection 4.5.

Finally, we note the following Lemmas for later purposes.

Lemma 6.3. For all $K_k \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and $\phi \in [C(\overline{K_k})]_S^{d \times d}$, we have, for $r \in [1, \infty)$, that

$$(6.51) \quad \int_{K_k} [\pi_h [|\phi|^r] + |\pi_h [|\phi|]|^r] d\mathbf{x} \leq C \int_{K_k} \|\pi_h \phi\|^r d\mathbf{x}.$$

Proof. It follows immediately from (6.4) that

$$\int_{K_k} [\pi_h [|\phi|^r] + |\pi_h [|\phi|]|^r] d\mathbf{x} \leq 2 |K_k| \|\pi_h \phi\|_{L^\infty(K_k)}^r \leq C \int_{K_k} \|\pi_h \phi\|^r d\mathbf{x},$$

and hence the desired result(6.51). □

Lemma 6.4. Let $g \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$ with Lipschitz constant g_{Lip} . For all $K_k \in \mathcal{T}_h$, and for all $q \in \mathbf{Q}_h^1$, $\phi \in S_h^1$ we have that

$$(6.52a) \quad \int_{K_k} \|\pi_h[\beta_\delta(\phi)] - \beta_\delta(\phi)\|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \max_{m,p=1,\dots,d} \int_{K_k} \|\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\phi) - \beta_\delta(\phi) \delta_{mp}\|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ \leq C h^2 \int_{K_k} \|\nabla \phi\|^2 d\mathbf{x},$$

$$(6.52b) \quad \int_{K_k} \|\pi_h[g(q)] - g(q)\|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq C g_{\text{Lip}}^2 h^2 \int_{K_k} \|\nabla q\|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ \text{and} \quad \int_{K_k} \|\pi_h[g(\phi)] - g(\phi)\|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq C g_{\text{Lip}}^2 h^2 \int_{K_k} \|\nabla \phi\|^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

In addition, if g is monotonic then, for all $K_k \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and for all $q \in \mathbf{Q}_h^1$, we have that

$$(6.53) \quad \int_{K_k} \|\pi_h[g(q)] - g(q)\|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq C h^2 \int_{K_k} \|\nabla \pi_h[g(q)]\|^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

Proof. The results (6.52a) are proved in Lemma 5.3 of [1] for the case when β_δ , $\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}$ and S_h^1 are replaced by β , $\Lambda_{m,p}$ and $S_h^1 \cap S_{>0}$. The proofs given there are trivially adapted to the present case. In fact, the proof of the first result in (6.52a) in [1] is easily adapted to any function $g \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, we have the results (6.52b).

The result (6.53) is a simple variation of (6.52b) and follows on noting that

$$(6.54) \quad \|\pi_h[g(q)] - g(q)\|_{L^\infty(K_k)} \leq \max_{i,j=0,\dots,P_d^k} |g(q(P_i^k)) - g(q(P_j^k))|,$$

where $\{P_j^k\}_{j=0}^d$ are the vertices of K_k . □

7. CONVERGENCE OF $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t})$ TO (\mathbf{P}_α) IN THE CASE $d = 2$

7.1. Stability. Before proving our stability results, we introduce some further notation. We require the L^2 projector $\mathcal{R}_h : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_h^1$ defined by

$$(7.1) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathbf{v} - \mathcal{R}_h \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} \, d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}_h^1.$$

In addition, we require $\mathcal{P}_h : [L^2(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_h^1$ defined by

$$(7.2) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h[\mathcal{P}_h \boldsymbol{\chi} : \boldsymbol{\phi}] \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\chi} : \boldsymbol{\phi} \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{S}_h^1.$$

It is easily deduced for $p = 1, \dots, N_P$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ that

$$(7.3) \quad [\mathcal{P}_h \boldsymbol{\chi}]_{ij}(P_p) = \frac{1}{\int_{\mathcal{D}} \eta_p} \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\mathcal{P}_h \boldsymbol{\chi}]_{ij} \eta_p \, d\mathbf{x},$$

where $\eta_p \in \mathbb{Q}_h^1$ is such that $\eta_p(P_r) = \delta_{pr}$ for $p, r = 1, \dots, N_P$. It follows from (7.2) and (6.7b) with $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \mathcal{P}_h \boldsymbol{\chi}$, in both cases, that

$$(7.4) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\mathcal{P}_h \boldsymbol{\chi}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h[\|\mathcal{P}_h \boldsymbol{\chi}\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\boldsymbol{\chi}\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\chi} \in [L^2(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d}.$$

We shall assume from now on that \mathcal{D} is convex and that the family $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$ is quasi-uniform, i.e. $h_k \geq Ch$, $k = 1, \dots, N_K$. It then follows that

$$(7.5) \quad \|\mathcal{R}_h \mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V},$$

see Lemma 4.3 in Heywood and Rannacher [18]. Similarly, it is easily established that

$$(7.6) \quad \|\mathcal{P}_h \boldsymbol{\chi}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} \leq C \|\boldsymbol{\chi}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\chi} \in [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d}.$$

We also require the scalar analogue of \mathcal{P}_h , where $d = 1$ and \mathbb{S}_h^1 is replaced by \mathbb{Q}_h^1 , satisfying the analogues of (7.2)–(7.4) and (7.6).

Let $([H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d})'$ be the topological dual of $[H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d}$ with $[L^2(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d}$ being the pivot space. Let $\mathcal{E} : ([H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d})' \rightarrow [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d}$ be such that $\mathcal{E}\boldsymbol{\chi}$ is the unique solution of the Helmholtz problem

$$(7.7) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\nabla(\mathcal{E}\boldsymbol{\chi}) :: \nabla\boldsymbol{\phi} + (\mathcal{E}\boldsymbol{\chi}) : \boldsymbol{\phi}] \, d\mathbf{x} = \langle \boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\phi} \in [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}$ denotes the duality pairing between $([H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d})'$ and $[H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d}$. We note that

$$(7.8) \quad \langle \boldsymbol{\chi}, \mathcal{E}\boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} = \|\mathcal{E}\boldsymbol{\chi}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\chi} \in ([H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d})',$$

and $\|\mathcal{E}\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}$ is a norm on $([H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{d \times d})'$. We also employ this operator in the scalar case, $d = 1$, i.e. $\mathcal{E} : H^1(\mathcal{D})' \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{D})$.

Let \mathbf{V}' be the topological dual of \mathbf{V} with the space of weakly divergent free functions in $[L^2(\mathcal{D})]^d$ being the pivot space. Let $\mathcal{S} : \mathbf{V}' \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ be such that $\mathcal{S}\mathbf{w}$ is the unique solution to the Helmholtz-Stokes problem

$$(7.9) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}} [\nabla(\mathcal{S}\mathbf{w}) : \nabla\mathbf{v} + (\mathcal{S}\mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v}] \, d\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{V}}$ denotes the duality pairing between \mathbf{V}' and \mathbf{V} . We note that

$$(7.10) \quad \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathcal{S}\mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} = \|\mathcal{S}\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}',$$

and $\|\mathcal{S}\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}$ is a norm on the reflexive space \mathbf{V}' .

We recall the following well-known Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. Let $r \in [2, \infty)$ if $d = 2$, and $r \in [2, 6]$ if $d = 3$ and $\theta = d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r})$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C(\mathcal{D}, r, d)$ such that

$$(7.11) \quad \|\eta\|_{L^r(\mathcal{D})} \leq C(\mathcal{D}, r, d) \|\eta\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{1-\theta} \|\eta\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\theta} \quad \forall \eta \in H^1(\mathcal{D}).$$

We recall also the following compactness results. Let \mathcal{Y}_0 , \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Y}_1 be real Banach spaces, \mathcal{Y}_i , $i = 0, 1$, reflexive, with a compact embedding $\mathcal{Y}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a continuous embedding $\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1$. Then, for $\mu_i > 1$, $i = 0, 1$, the following embedding is compact:

$$(7.12) \quad \{ \eta \in L^{\mu_0}(0, T; \mathcal{Y}_0) : \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \in L^{\mu_1}(0, T; \mathcal{Y}_1) \} \hookrightarrow L^{\mu_0}(0, T; \mathcal{Y});$$

see Theorem 2.1 on p184 in Temam [25]. Let \mathcal{X}_0 , \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}_1 be real Hilbert spaces with a compact embedding $\mathcal{X}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and a continuous embedding $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_1$. Then, for $\gamma > 0$, the following embedding is compact:

$$(7.13) \quad \{ \eta \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{X}_0) : D_t^\gamma \eta \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{X}_1) \} \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T; \mathcal{X}),$$

where $D_t^\gamma \eta$ is the time derivative of order γ of η , which can be defined in terms of the Fourier transform of η ; see Theorem 2.2 on p186 in Temam [25].

Finally, we recall the discrete Gronwall inequality:

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (r^0)^2 + (s^0)^2 &\leq (q^0)^2, \\ (r^m)^2 + (s^m)^2 &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} (\eta^n)^2 (r^n)^2 + \sum_{n=0}^m (q^n)^2 \quad m \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow (r^m)^2 + (s^m)^2 &\leq \exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} (\eta^n)^2\right) \sum_{n=0}^m (q^n)^2 \quad m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 6.1, there exists a solution $\{(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\}_{n=1}^{N_T} \in [V_h^1 \times S_h^1 \times Q_h^1]^{N_T}$ of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (6.34a-c), such that the bounds (6.48) and (6.49) hold.*

Moreover, if $d = 2$, (6.27) holds and $\Delta t \leq C_(\zeta^{-1}) \alpha^{1+\zeta} h^2$, for a $\zeta > 0$ and a $C_*(\zeta^{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ sufficiently small, then the following bounds hold:*

(7.15a)

$$\max_{n=0, \dots, N_T} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\Delta t_n \alpha \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 + \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2] \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \leq C,$$

(7.15b)

$$\max_{n=0, \dots, N_T} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\Delta t_n \alpha \|\nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 + \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}|^2] \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \leq C,$$

(7.15c)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{p=1}^2 \left[\|\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^4 + \left\| \Lambda_{\delta,m,p} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \right\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^4 \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \leq C.$$

Proof. Existence and the bounds (6.48) and (6.49) were proved in Theorem 6.1.

On choosing $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n$ in (6.34b), it follows from (3.2), and (4.15), on applying a Young's inequality, for any $\zeta > 0$ that

(7.16)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + \Delta t_n \alpha \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \frac{\Delta t_n}{\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \text{tr} \left((\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))^2 \right) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + 2\Delta t_n \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n : \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \frac{\Delta t_n}{\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \text{tr} \left(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) (\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\Delta t_n}{\text{Wi}} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D})} + \Delta t_n \int_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{m=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^d [\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}]_m \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{\Delta t_n}{\text{Wi}} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D})} \\
& \quad + C \delta^{-1} \Delta t_n \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\text{tr}(\beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) |\beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n|)] \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \quad + 2 \Delta t_n \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \|\pi_h [\kappa_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \\
& \quad + C \Delta t_n \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^{\frac{2(2+\zeta)}{\zeta}}(\mathcal{D})} \|\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\|_{L^{2+\zeta}(\mathcal{D})} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from (3.3), (1.8), (6.7b), (1.5b), (5.2), (5.3), (6.51) and (7.11), as $d = 2$, that

$$(7.17a) \quad \text{tr}(\beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) |\beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n|) \leq \delta \text{tr}(|\beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n|) \leq C \delta (\delta + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.17b) \quad & \|\pi_h [\kappa_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\kappa_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq C b \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 \|\beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\|] \, d\mathbf{x} \leq C \left(\delta^3 + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^3] \, d\mathbf{x} \right) \\
& \leq C \left(\delta^3 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^3(\mathcal{D})}^3 \right) \leq C \left(\delta^3 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, as $d = 2$, it follows from (6.24b), (3.3), (6.4) and (7.11) that for all $\zeta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.18) \quad & \|\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\|_{L^{2+\zeta}(\mathcal{D})}^{2+\zeta} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} |K_k| \|\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\|_{L^{\infty}(K_k)}^{2+\zeta} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} |K_k| \|\pi_h [\beta_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]\|_{L^{\infty}(K_k)}^{2+\zeta} \\
& \leq C \left[\delta^{2+\zeta} + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^{2+\zeta}(\mathcal{D})}^{2+\zeta} \right] \leq C + C(\zeta) \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\zeta}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, as $d = 2$, we note from (7.11), (1.13) and (6.49) that for all $\zeta > 0$

$$(7.19) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^{\frac{2(2+\zeta)}{\zeta}}(\mathcal{D})} \leq C(\zeta^{-1}) \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{\zeta}{2+\zeta}} \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{2}{2+\zeta}} \leq C(\zeta^{-1}) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{2}{2+\zeta}}.$$

Combining (7.16)–(7.19), yields, on applying a Young's inequality, that for all $\zeta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.20) \quad & \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + \Delta t_n \alpha \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \quad + C(\zeta^{-1}) \Delta t_n \alpha^{-(1+\zeta)} \left[1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right] \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, summing (7.20) from $n = 1, \dots, m$ for $m = 1, \dots, N_T$ yields, on noting (6.7b), that for any $\zeta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.21) \quad & \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + \alpha \sum_{n=1}^m \Delta t_n \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{n=1}^m \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + C(\zeta^{-1}) \alpha^{-(1+\zeta)} \\
& \quad + C(\zeta^{-1}) \alpha^{-(1+\zeta)} \sum_{n=1}^m \Delta t_n \left[1 + \sum_{k=n-1}^n \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^k\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right] \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2] \, d\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the discrete Gronwall inequality (7.14) to (7.21), and noting (6.27), (6.8), (6.29), (6.5b), (6.48), (6.49), and that $\Delta t \leq C_{\star}(\zeta^{-1}) \alpha^{1+\zeta} h^2$, for a $\zeta > 0$ where $C_{\star}(\zeta^{-1})$ is sufficiently small, yields the bounds (7.15a).

Similarly to (7.16) on choosing $\eta = \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n$ in (6.34c), it follows from (4.15), (1.3b), (1.4b) and (1.8), on applying a Young's inequality, for any $\zeta > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.22) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n|^2 + |\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + \Delta t_n \alpha \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + 2\Delta t_n \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n : \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)] \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \quad - \frac{\Delta t_n}{\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\text{tr} (A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)) \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n] \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \quad - \Delta t_n b \int_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{m=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^d [\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}]_m \Lambda_{\delta,m,p} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b}\right) \frac{\partial \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + 2\Delta t_n \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \|\pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \\
& \quad + C \Delta t_n \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^{\frac{2(2+\zeta)}{\zeta}}(\mathcal{D})} \left\| \Lambda_{\delta,m,p} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b}\right) \right\|_{L^{2+\zeta}(\mathcal{D})} \|\nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \\
& \quad + \frac{\Delta t_n}{2\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right) + d^{\frac{1}{2}} (\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)^2 \|\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\| \right] \, d\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (7.17b), as $d = 2$, we deduce from (6.7b), (5.2), (5.3), (3.3), (6.4), (7.11) and (7.15a) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.23) \quad & \|\pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \leq C b \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [(\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)^2 \|\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\|] \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq C (1 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^3(\mathcal{D})}) \|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^3(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\
& \leq C \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{2}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (7.18), as $d = 2$, it follows from (6.24a), (3.3), (6.4) and (7.11) that for all $\zeta > 0$

$$(7.24) \quad \left\| \Lambda_{\delta,m,p} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b}\right) \right\|_{L^{2+\zeta}(\mathcal{D})}^{2+\zeta} \leq C + C(\zeta) \|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^\zeta.$$

Combining (7.22)–(7.24) and (7.19), yields, on applying a Young's inequality, that for all $\zeta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.25) \quad & \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n|^2 + |\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + \Delta t_n \alpha \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + 2\Delta t_n \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{\Delta t_n}{\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \quad + C(\zeta^{-1}) \Delta t_n \alpha^{-(1+\zeta)} \left[1 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right] \left(1 + \|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, summing (7.25) from $n = 1, \dots, m$ for $m = 1, \dots, N_T$ yields, on noting (6.7b) and (6.48), that for any $\zeta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.26) \quad & \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + \alpha \sum_{n=1}^m \Delta t_n \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{n=1}^m \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}|^2] \, d\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [(\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0))^2] \, d\mathbf{x} + C(\zeta^{-1}) \alpha^{-(1+\zeta)} \\
& \quad + C(\zeta^{-1}) \alpha^{-(1+\zeta)} \sum_{n=1}^m \Delta t_n \left[1 + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right] \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n|^2] \, d\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the discrete Gronwall inequality (7.14) to (7.26), and noting (6.27), (6.30), (6.5a,b), (6.48), (6.49), (6.8), (7.15a), and that $\Delta t \leq C_*(\zeta^{-1})\alpha^{1+\zeta}h^2$, for a $\zeta > 0$ where $C_*(\zeta^{-1})$ is sufficiently small, yields the bounds (7.15b).

Finally, the desired result (7.15c) follows immediately from (7.18) and (7.24) with $\zeta = 2$, on noting (7.15a,b) and (6.8). \square

Remark 7.1. *Our final convergence result will be restricted to $d = 2$ for the same reason as why our result for Oldroyd-B in [1] was restricted to $d = 2$. For example, the control of the term (7.17b) necessitates the restriction to $d = 2$. This could be overcome for FENE-P by replacing the regularization β_δ in $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta})$, (5.5a–h), by β_δ^b and using test functions based on G_δ^b in place of G_δ , where $(G_\delta^b)'(s) = \beta_\delta^b(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. On making a similar change to our numerical approximation $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta}^{h,\Delta t})$, (6.34a–c), it is then possible to prove the analogues of Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 7.1 for $d = 2$ and 3 with no restriction on Δt . One can then establish analogues of Lemmas 7.1, 7.2 and Theorem 7.2, below, but now the limits involve the cut-off b , i.e. \mathbf{u}_α^b , $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha^b$ and ϱ_α^b . Moreover, it does not seem possible to establish that $\varrho_\alpha^b = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha^b)$, as we now have $\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}^b$ in place of $\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}$ in the analogue of (7.60). Hence, in taking the limit $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$, the last term in the analogue of (7.61) would have $b\beta^b\left(1 - \frac{\varrho_\alpha^b}{b}\right) + \text{tr}(\beta^b(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha^b))$ instead of $-(\varrho_\alpha^b - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha^b))$, where $\beta^b(s) = \min\{s, b\}$. Therefore, the extension of the convergence analysis in this paper to $d = 3$ and with a weaker restriction on Δt will be a topic of further research.*

Lemma 7.1. *Under all of the assumptions of Theorem 7.1, the solution $\{(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\}_{n=1}^{N_T}$ of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (6.34a–c), satisfies the following bounds:*

$$(7.27a) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left\| \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{4}{\vartheta}} \leq C,$$

$$(7.27b) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left\| \mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left\| \mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 \leq C,$$

$$(7.27c) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left\| \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \leq C,$$

$$(7.27d) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left\| \pi_h [A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)] \right\|_{L^{\frac{8}{5}}(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{8}{5}} \leq C,$$

where $\vartheta \in (2, 4]$ and C in (7.27a,c) is independent of α , as well as δ, h and Δt .

Proof. On choosing $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{R}_h \left[\mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \in V_h^1$ in (6.34a) yields, on noting (7.1), (7.10), (7.5) and Sobolev embedding, that

$$(7.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Re} \left\| \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 &= \text{Re} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \cdot \mathcal{R}_h \left[\mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\text{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)] : \nabla \left[\mathcal{R}_h \left[\mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n : \nabla \left[\mathcal{R}_h \left[\mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \frac{\text{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} ((\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \cdot \mathcal{R}_h \left[\mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \, d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n \cdot \left((\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1} \cdot \nabla) \left[\mathcal{R}_h \left[\mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right] \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& + \left\langle \mathbf{f}^n, \mathcal{R}_h \left[\mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right\rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} \\
& \leq C \left[\left\| \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\| \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^{1+\theta}(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\mathbf{f}^n\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta > 0$ as $d = 2$. It follows from (6.7b) and (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.29) \quad & \left\| \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\left\| \kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right\|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
& \leq b \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\operatorname{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right) \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Cauchy–Schwarz and the algebraic-geometric mean inequalities, in conjunction with (7.11), for $d = 2$, and the Poincaré inequality (1.13) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.30) \quad & \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\| \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^2 \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=n-1}^n \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^4 \\
& \leq C \sum_{m=n-1}^n \left[\|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$, as $d = 2$, but now using a Young’s inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.31) \quad & \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^{1+\theta}(\mathcal{D})}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^{\frac{2(1+\theta)}{1-\theta}}(\mathcal{D})}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\
& \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{2(1-\theta)}{1+\theta}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{4\theta}{1+\theta}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\
& \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{2(1-\theta)}{1+\theta}} \sum_{m=n-1}^n \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^m\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{2(1+3\theta)}{1+\theta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On taking the $\frac{2}{\vartheta}$ power of both sides of (7.28), multiplying by Δt_n , summing from $n = 1, \dots, N_T$ and noting (7.29), (7.30), (7.31) with $\theta = \frac{\vartheta-2}{6-\vartheta} \Leftrightarrow \vartheta = \frac{2(1+3\theta)}{(1+\theta)} \in (2, 4)$, (6.27), (4.11a), (6.48), (6.49) and (6.29) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.32) \quad & \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left\| \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{4}{\vartheta}} \\
& \leq C \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\operatorname{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right) \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \right]^{\frac{2}{\vartheta}} \\
& \quad + C \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left[\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\mathbf{f}^n\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right] \right]^{\frac{2}{\vartheta}} \\
& \quad + C \left[1 + \max_{n=0, \dots, N_T} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right) \right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right] \\
& \leq C,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence the bound (7.27a) with C independent of α .

Choosing $\phi = \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \in S_h^1$ in (6.34b) yields, on noting (7.2) and (7.8), that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.33) \quad & \left\| \mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) : \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\mathrm{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[A_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) : \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
&\quad - \alpha \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n :: \nabla \left[\mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n : \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{m=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^d [\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}]_m \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) : \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} \left[\mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.5a,b), (1.3b), (1.4b) and (1.8) that for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.34) \quad & \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[A_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) : \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\left\| A_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) [\beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \left\| \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right\| \left\| [\beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
&\leq \zeta^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\mathrm{tr} \left((A_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))^2 \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right) \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
&\quad + \zeta d^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\left\| \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right\|^2 \left\| \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right\| \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (7.17b), it follows from (6.7b), (1.5b), (5.2), (5.3) and (1.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.35) \quad & \left\| \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\left\| \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \right\|^2 \left\| \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \right\| \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, (3.3), (6.4), (6.8) and (7.15a) imply that for all $\phi \in S_h^1$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.36) \quad & \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\|\phi\|^2 \|\beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\| \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} [\|\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^\infty(K_k)} + \delta] \int_{K_k} \|\phi\|^2 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\
&\leq C [\|\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} + \delta] \|\phi\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^2 \leq C \|\phi\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (7.33)–(7.36), yields, on noting (7.6), that

$$(7.37) \quad \left\| \mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\operatorname{tr} ((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))] \, d\mathbf{x} + \alpha \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{p=1}^2 \|\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (7.33)–(7.37), choosing $\eta = \mathcal{P}_h \left[\mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right] \in \mathbf{Q}_h^1$ in (6.34c) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (7.38) \quad &\left\| \mathcal{E} \left(\frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \right) \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 \\ &\leq C \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h [\operatorname{tr} ((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n))] \, d\mathbf{x} + \alpha \|\nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{p=1}^2 \left\| \Lambda_{\delta,m,p} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n}{b} \right) \right\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^2 \, d\mathbf{x} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (7.37) and (7.38) by Δt_n , summing from $n = 1, \dots, N_T$ and noting (6.48), (6.49), (7.30), (6.27), (6.29) and (7.15a–c) yields the bounds (7.27b).

Multiplying (7.29) by Δt_n , summing from $n = 1, \dots, N_T$ and noting (6.48) yields the result (7.27c) with C independent of α . Finally, it follows from (6.7a) that

$$\begin{aligned} (7.39) \quad &\|\pi_h [A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]\|_{L^{\frac{8}{5}}(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{8}{5}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\pi_h \left[\left\| A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \left(\pi_h \left[\left\| [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq \left\| \pi_h \left[\left\| A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{4}{5}} \left\| \pi_h \left[\left\| [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \right] \right\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{4}{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (7.39) by Δt_n , summing from $n = 1, \dots, N_T$ and noting (1.3b), (1.4b), (6.48), (1.8), (3.3), (6.51), (7.11) with $d = 2$, (6.8) and (7.15a) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (7.40) \quad &\sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \|\pi_h [A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]\|_{L^{\frac{8}{5}}(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{8}{5}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left\| \pi_h \left[\left\| A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n) [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D})} \right)^{\frac{4}{5}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left\| \pi_h \left[\left\| [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)] \right\| \right] \right\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \left\| \pi_h [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)] \right\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \leq C \left(\delta^4 + \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D})}^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \\ &\leq C \left(\delta^4 + \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} \Delta t_n \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have the desired result (7.27d). \square

Unfortunately, the bound (7.27a) is not useful for obtaining compactness via (7.12), see the discussion in the proof of Theorem 7.2 below. Instead one has to exploit the compactness result (7.13). This we now do, by following the proof of Lemma 5.6 on p237 in Temam [25]. Here the introduction of $\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n)$ in the extra stress term, as discussed above (5.2), is crucial, as this yields an $L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)$ bound on this stress term via the bound (5.4). For this purpose, we introduce

the following notation in line with (4.10). Let $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t} \in C([0, T]; \mathbf{V}_h^1)$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, \pm} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{V}_h^1)$ be such that for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$

$$(7.41a) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}(t, \cdot) := \frac{t - t^{n-1}}{\Delta t_n} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n(\cdot) + \frac{t^n - t}{\Delta t_n} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}(\cdot) \quad t \in [t^{n-1}, t^n],$$

$$(7.41b) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}(t, \cdot) := \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n(\cdot), \quad \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, -}(t, \cdot) := \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{n-1}(\cdot) \quad t \in [t^{n-1}, t^n],$$

$$(7.41c) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(t) := \Delta t_n \quad t \in [t^{n-1}, t^n].$$

We note that

$$(7.42) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t} - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, \pm} = (t - t_\pm^n) \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} \quad t \in (t^{n-1}, t^n), \quad n = 1, \dots, N_T,$$

where $t_+^n := t^n$ and $t_-^n := t^{n-1}$. We shall adopt $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, (\pm)}$ as a collective symbol for $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, \pm}$. We also define $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, (\pm)}$ and $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, (\pm)}$ similarly to (7.41a,b).

Using the notation (7.41a,b), $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, i.e. (6.34a–c) multiplied by Δt_n and summed for $n = 1, \dots, N_T$, can be restated as:

$$(7.43a) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left[\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} \cdot \mathbf{v} + (1 - \varepsilon) \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +} : \nabla \mathbf{v} \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t \\ + \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left[(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, -} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +} \cdot \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, -} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +} \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}) \right] : \nabla \mathbf{v} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t \\ = \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{f}^+, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} \mathrm{d}t \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}_h^1),$$

$$(7.43b) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} : \boldsymbol{\phi} + \frac{A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +})}{\operatorname{Wi}} : \boldsymbol{\phi} \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t \\ + \alpha \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +} :: \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t - 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +} : \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}) \boldsymbol{\phi} \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +})] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t \\ - \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \sum_{m=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^d [\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, -}]_m \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}) : \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\phi} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{S}_h^1),$$

$$(7.43c) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\frac{\partial \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} \eta + \frac{\operatorname{tr} \left(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}) \right)}{\operatorname{Wi}} \eta \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t \\ + \alpha \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +} \cdot \nabla \eta \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t - 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +} : \pi_h [\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}) \eta \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +})] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t \\ + b \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \sum_{m=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^d [\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, -}]_m \Lambda_{\delta,m,p} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t, +}}{b} \right) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}t = 0 \quad \forall \eta \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{Q}_h^1)$$

subject to the initial conditions $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}(0) = \mathbf{u}_h^0$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}(0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0$ and $\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}(0) = \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^0)$.

Lemma 7.2. *Under all of the assumptions of Theorem 7.1, the solution $(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$ of $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$, (7.43a–c), satisfies the following bound:*

$$(7.44) \quad \int_0^T \|D_t^\gamma \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \mathrm{d}t \leq C,$$

where $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and C is independent of α , as well as δ , h and Δt .

Proof. Equation (7.43a) can be reinterpreted as

$$(7.45) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\Delta t,+}(t) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h^1, \quad t \in (0, T),$$

where $\mathbf{g}^{\Delta t,+}(t) \in \mathbf{V}_h^1$ is defined by

$$(7.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \mathbf{g}^{\Delta t,+}(t) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x} &= \langle \mathbf{f}^+(t), \mathbf{v} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} - (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \frac{\operatorname{Re}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,-}(t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v} - [(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,-}(t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}] \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t) \right] d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t), \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t), \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)) \right] : \nabla \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (7.28) and (7.29) with $\theta \in (0, 1)$, it follows from (7.46) that

$$(7.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathbf{g}^{\Delta t,+}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} &\leq C \left[\|\mathbf{f}^+(t)\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} \right. \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,-}(t)\| \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} + \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,-}(t)\| \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)\|_{L^{1+\theta}(\mathcal{D})} \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t), \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)) \right)^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)) \right) d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On noting (7.30), (7.31) and the bound on $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^n$ in (6.49), we deduce from (7.47) that

$$(7.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathbf{g}^{\Delta t,+}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})} &\leq C \left[1 + \|\mathbf{f}^+(t)\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{D})} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,-}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{1+3\theta}{1+\theta}} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{1+3\theta}{1+\theta}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t), \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)) \right)^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}(t)) \right) d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (7.32), on recalling (6.29), (4.11a) and (6.49), we deduce from (7.48) that

$$(7.49) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{g}^{\Delta t,+}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{4}{\vartheta}} dt \leq C,$$

where $\vartheta \in (2, 4]$ and C is independent of α , as well as δ , h and Δt . The rest of the proof follows as on p1825–6 in [1], which is based on the proof of Lemma 5.6 on p237 in [25]. \square

7.2. Convergence. It follows from (6.48), (6.49), (7.15a–c), (6.29), (6.8), (7.27a–d), (7.44) and (7.41a–c) that

$$(7.50a) \quad \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \int_0^T \left[\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,-}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2}{\Delta(t)} \right] dt \leq C,$$

$$(7.50b) \quad \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \int_0^T \left[\alpha \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,-}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2}{\Delta(t)} \right] dt \leq C,$$

$$(7.50c) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \int_0^T \left[\alpha \|\nabla \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \frac{\|\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,-}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2}{\Delta(t)} \right] dt \\ + \delta^2 \int_0^T \left\| \nabla \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b} \right) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 dt \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.50d) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right) \right] d\mathbf{x} dt \leq C,$$

$$(7.50e) \quad \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi_h \left[\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}\|_- + \|b - \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}\|_- \right] d\mathbf{x} \leq C \delta,$$

$$(7.50f) \quad \int_0^T \left[\left\| \mathcal{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{4}{\vartheta}} + \|D_t^\gamma \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right] dt \leq C,$$

$$(7.50g) \quad \int_0^T \left[\left\| \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \left\| \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right] dt \leq C,$$

$$(7.50h) \quad \left\| \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) A_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)} \leq C,$$

$$(7.50i) \quad \left\| \pi_h \left[A_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \right\|_{L^{\frac{8}{5}}(\mathcal{D}_T)} \leq C,$$

where $\vartheta \in (2, 4]$, $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and C in (7.50a,d,e,f,h) is independent of α , as well as δ , h and Δt .

We are now in a position to prove the following convergence result concerning $(\mathbf{P}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})$.

Theorem 7.2. *Under all of the assumptions of Theorem 7.1, there exists a subsequence of $\{(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}, \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})\}_{\delta>0, h>0, \Delta t>0}$, and functions*

$$(7.51a) \quad \mathbf{u}_\alpha \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}) \cap W^{1, \frac{4}{\vartheta}}(0, T; \mathbf{V}'), \text{ with } \mathbf{u}_\alpha(0) = \mathbf{u}^0,$$

$$(7.51b) \quad \sigma_\alpha \in L^\infty(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2}) \cap L^2(0, T; [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2}) \cap H^1(0, T; ([H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2})') \\ \text{with } \sigma_\alpha \text{ non-negative definite a.e. in } \mathcal{D}_T \text{ and } \sigma_\alpha(0) = \sigma^0,$$

$$(7.51c) \quad \varrho_\alpha \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{D})) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{D})) \cap H^1(0, T; (H^1(\mathcal{D}))') \\ \text{with } \varrho_\alpha \leq b \text{ a.e. in } \mathcal{D}_T \text{ and } \varrho_\alpha(0) = \text{tr}(\sigma^0),$$

such that, as $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$,

$$(7.52a) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_\alpha \quad \text{weak* in } L^\infty(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^2),$$

$$(7.52b) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_\alpha \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; [H^1(\mathcal{D})]^2),$$

$$(7.52c) \quad \mathcal{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\alpha}{\partial t} \quad \text{weakly in } L^{\frac{4}{\vartheta}}(0, T; \mathbf{V}),$$

$$(7.52d) \quad \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_\alpha \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; [L^r(\mathcal{D})]^2),$$

$$(7.53a) \quad \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \rightarrow \sigma_\alpha \quad \text{weak* in } L^\infty(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2}),$$

$$(7.53b) \quad \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \rightarrow \sigma_\alpha \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; [H^1(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2}),$$

$$(7.53c) \quad \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial \sigma_\alpha}{\partial t} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2}),$$

$$(7.53d) \quad \sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \rightarrow \sigma_\alpha \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; [L^r(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2}),$$

$$(7.53e) \quad \pi_h[\beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)})] \rightarrow \sigma_\alpha \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2}),$$

$$(7.53f) \quad \Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}) \rightarrow \sigma_\alpha \delta_{mp} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2}), \quad m, p = 1, 2,$$

and

$$(7.54a) \quad \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \rightarrow \varrho_\alpha \quad \text{weak* in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{D})),$$

$$(7.54b) \quad \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \rightarrow \varrho_\alpha \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{D})),$$

$$(7.54c) \quad \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial \varrho_\alpha}{\partial t} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{D})),$$

$$(7.54d) \quad \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \rightarrow \varrho_\alpha \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^r(\mathcal{D})),$$

$$(7.54e) \quad \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}}{b} \right) \right] \rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_\alpha}{b} \right) \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{D})),$$

$$(7.54f) \quad \Lambda_{\delta,m,p} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}}{b} \right) \rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_\alpha}{b} \right) \delta_{mp} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{D})), \quad m, p = 1, 2,$$

where $\vartheta \in (2, 4]$ and $r \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof. The results (7.52a–c) follow immediately from the bounds (7.50a,f) on noting the notation (7.41a–c). The denseness of $\bigcup_{h>0} \mathbb{Q}_h^1$ in $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ and (6.1d) yield that $\mathbf{u}_\alpha \in L^2(0,T;V)$. Hence, the result (7.51a) holds on noting (7.10) and (6.33), where $\mathbf{u}_\alpha : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is weakly continuous.

The strong convergence result (7.52d) for $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$ and $r = 2$ follows immediately from (7.50a) and the second bound in (7.50f) and (7.13) with $\mathcal{X}_0 = [H^1(\mathcal{D})]^2$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 = [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^2$. Here we note that $H^1(\mathcal{D})$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(\mathcal{D})$. We note here also that one cannot appeal to (7.12) for this strong convergence result with $\mu_0 = 2$, $\mu_1 = 4/\vartheta$, $\mathcal{Y}_0 = [H^1(\mathcal{D})]^2$, $\mathcal{Y}_1 = V'$ with norm $\|\mathcal{S} \cdot\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^2$ for the stated values of ϑ , since $[L^2(\mathcal{D})]^2$ is not continuously embedded in V' as V is not dense in $[L^2(\mathcal{D})]^2$.

The result (7.52d) for $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}$ and $r = 2$ follows immediately from this result for $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$ and the the bound on the last term on the left-hand side of (7.50a), which yields

$$(7.55) \quad \|\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t} - \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{D}))}^2 \leq C \Delta t.$$

Finally, we note from (7.11), for $d = 2$, that, for all $\eta \in L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathcal{D}))$,

$$(7.56) \quad \|\eta\|_{L^2(0,T;L^r(\mathcal{D}))} \leq C \|\eta\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{D}))}^{1-\theta} \|\eta\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathcal{D}))}^\theta$$

for all $r \in [2, \infty)$ with $\theta = 1 - \frac{2}{r} \in (0, 1]$. Hence, combining (7.56) and (7.52b,d) for $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}$ with $r = 2$ yields (7.52d) for $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}$ for the stated values of r .

Similarly, the results (7.53a–c) follow immediately from (7.50b,g). The strong convergence result (7.53d) for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}$ follows immediately from (7.53b,h), (7.8) and (7.12) with $\mu_0 = \mu_1 = 2$, $\mathcal{Y}_0 = [H^1(\mathcal{D})]^{d \times d}$, $\mathcal{Y}_1 = ([H^1(\mathcal{D})]^{d \times d})'$ and $\mathcal{Y} = [L^r(\mathcal{D})]^{d \times d}$ for the stated values of r . Here we note that $H^1(\mathcal{D})$ is compactly embedded in $L^r(\mathcal{D})$ for the stated values of r , and $L^r(\mathcal{D})$ is continuously embedded in $(H^1(\mathcal{D}))'$. Similarly to (7.55) and (7.56), the last bound in (7.50b) then yields that (7.53d) holds for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}$.

The results (7.54a–d) follow analogously from noting (7.50c,g). Hence, on noting (7.8) and (6.33), the results (7.51b,c) hold, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha : [0, T] \rightarrow [L^2(\mathcal{D})]_S^{d \times d}$ and $\varrho_\alpha : [0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{D})$ are weakly continuous, apart from the claims on the non-negative definiteness of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$ and the bound on ϱ_α . It remains to prove these, (7.53e,f) and (7.54e,f). It follows from (1.11), (6.52b) and (7.50b,e) that

$$(7.57) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha]_-\|_{L^2(0,T;L^1(\mathcal{D}))} \\ & \leq \left\| [\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha]_- - [\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}]_- \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^1(\mathcal{D}))} + \left\| [\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}]_- - \pi_h \left[[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}]_- \right] \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^1(\mathcal{D}))} \\ & \quad + \left\| \pi_h \left[[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}]_- \right] \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^1(\mathcal{D}))} \\ & \leq \left\| \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^1(\mathcal{D}))} + C [h + \delta]. \end{aligned}$$

The desired non-negative definiteness result on $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$ in (7.51b) then follows from (7.53d). The desired bound on ϱ_α in (7.51c) follows similarly from (1.11), (6.52b), (7.50c,e) and (7.54d). The results (7.53e,f) follow immediately from (6.52a), (7.50b), (1.11), (7.53d), (3.3) and the non-negative definiteness result on $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$ in (7.51b). The results (7.54e,f) follow similarly from the scalar version of (6.52a), (7.50c), (1.11), (7.54d), (3.3) and the bound on ϱ_α in (7.51c). \square

Lemma 7.3. *Under all of the assumptions of Theorem 7.1, the subsequence of $\{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})\}_{\delta>0, h>0, \Delta t>0}$ of Theorem 7.2 and the limiting functions $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$ and ϱ_α , satisfying (7.51b,c), are such that, as $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$,*

$$(7.58) \quad \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta^b (\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t(\pm)}) \right] \rightarrow \varrho_\alpha = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{D})).$$

In addition, we have with $h = o(\delta)$, as $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$, that

$$(7.59) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha \text{ is positive definite and } \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) < b \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathcal{D}_T.$$

Proof. Choosing $\phi = \eta \mathbf{I}$ in (7.43b) and subtracting from (7.43c) yields that

$$(7.60) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t} - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})) \eta \right] d\mathbf{x} dt + \alpha \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \nabla \left(\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right) \cdot \nabla \eta d\mathbf{x} dt \\ + \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \sum_{m=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^d [\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,-}]_m \left[b \Lambda_{\delta,m,p} \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b} \right) + \text{tr} \left(\Lambda_{\delta,m,p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right) \right] \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \mathbf{x}_p} d\mathbf{x} dt = 0 \\ \forall \eta \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{Q}_h^1).$$

It follows from (7.52d), (7.53b–d,f), (7.54b–e), (7.7), (7.51a–c) and (6.9a,b) that we may pass to the limit $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$ in (7.60) with $\eta = \pi_h \chi$ to obtain

$$(7.61) \quad \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varrho_\alpha - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)), \chi \right\rangle_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} dt + \alpha \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \nabla (\varrho_\alpha - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)) \cdot \nabla \chi d\mathbf{x} dt \\ - \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} (\varrho_\alpha - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)) \mathbf{u}_\alpha \cdot \nabla \chi d\mathbf{x} dt = 0 \quad \forall \chi \in C_0^\infty(0, T; C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{D}})),$$

where $[\varrho_\alpha - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)](0) = 0$. For example, in order to pass to the limit on the first term in (7.60), we note that

$$(7.62) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t} - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})) \pi_h \chi \right] d\mathbf{x} dt \\ = \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t} - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})) \right) \pi_h \chi + (I - \pi_h) \left[(\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t} - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})) \pi_h \left[\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} \right] \right] \right\} d\mathbf{x} dt.$$

Hence the desired first term in (7.61) follows from noting (7.53b,c), (7.54b,c), (7.7) and (6.9a,b). As $C_0^\infty(0, T; C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{D}}))$ is dense in $L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{D}))$, we have, on noting (7.51a–c), that (7.61) holds for all $\chi \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{D}))$. It then follows from (7.51a–c) that we can choose $\chi = \varrho_\alpha - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$ in (7.61) to yield that $\varrho_\alpha = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$ as $[\varrho_\alpha - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)](0) = 0$. Recalling (7.51b,c), we have that $\varrho_\alpha \in [0, b]$ a.e. in \mathcal{D}_T . The desired convergence result (7.58) then follows from noting this, (5.3), (3.3), (6.52b), (7.50c) and (7.54d).

We now improve on (7.51c) by establishing that $\varrho_\alpha = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) < b$ a.e. in \mathcal{D}_T . Assuming that $\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) = b$ a.e. in $\mathcal{D}_T^b \subset \mathcal{D}_T$, we have

$$(7.63) \quad b |\mathcal{D}_T^b| = \int_{\mathcal{D}_T^b} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) d\mathbf{x} dt \\ = \int_{\mathcal{D}_T^b} \pi_h \left[\text{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})) \right] d\mathbf{x} dt + \int_{\mathcal{D}_T^b} \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha - \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \right) d\mathbf{x} dt =: T_1 + T_2.$$

We deduce from (3.6) and (3.2) that

$$(7.64) \quad T_1 = \int_{\mathcal{D}_T^b} \pi_h \left[\left(\text{tr} \left(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right) + 2 \right) \beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b} \right) \right] d\mathbf{x} dt.$$

It follows from (1.3b) and (1.4b) that

$$(7.65) \quad \text{tr} \left(A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right) \leq \left[\text{tr} \left((A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}))^2 \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right) \text{tr} \left(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining (7.64) and (7.65) yields, on noting a scalar version of (6.7a) over \mathcal{D}_T^b , (7.50d), (1.8) and (3.3) that

$$(7.66) \quad T_1 \leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}_T^b} \pi_h \left[\left[\text{tr} \left(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right) + 1 \right] \left[\beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b} \right) \right]^2 \right] d\mathbf{x} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}\|^4 \right] d\mathbf{x} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}_T^b} \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b} \right) \right]^{\frac{8}{3}} d\mathbf{x} dt \right)^{\frac{3}{8}}.$$

It follows from (6.51), (7.11), for $d = 2$, and (7.50b) that

$$(7.67) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}\|^4 \right] d\mathbf{x} dt \leq C \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}\|_{L^4(\mathcal{D}_T)}^4 \leq C \int_0^T \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^2 dt \leq C.$$

Similarly to (6.51), it follows from (6.4) with K_k replaced by $(K_k \times (t_{n-1}, t_n)) \cap \mathcal{D}_T^b$, $k = 1, \dots, N_K$ and $n = 1, \dots, N_T$, that

$$(7.68) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T^b} \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b} \right) \right]^{\frac{8}{3}} d\mathbf{x} dt \leq C \left\| \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b} \right) \right] \right\|_{L^{\frac{8}{3}}(\mathcal{D}_T^b)}^{\frac{8}{3}} \leq C \|z\|_{L^{\frac{8}{3}}(\mathcal{D}_T^b)}^{\frac{8}{3}},$$

where $z := \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b} \right) \right] - \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_\alpha}{b} \right)$. Here we have noted that $\varrho_\alpha = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) = b$ a.e. in \mathcal{D}_T^b . Combining (7.66)–(7.68) yields, on noting (7.11), for $d = 2$, that

$$(7.69) \quad \begin{aligned} T_1 &\leq C \|z\|_{L^{\frac{8}{3}}(\mathcal{D}_T)} \leq C \left(\int_0^T \|z\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \|z\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{2}{3}} dt \right)^{\frac{3}{8}} \leq C \|z\|_{L^{\frac{3}{4}}(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{D}))}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|z\|_{L^{\frac{1}{4}}(0,T;H^1(\mathcal{D}))}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq C \|z\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{D}))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|z\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{D}))}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|z\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathcal{D}))}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (7.69), (7.51c), (6.26), (3.3), (6.8), (7.50c) and (7.54e) that $T_1 = 0$. In addition, it follows immediately from (7.53e) that $T_2 = 0$. Hence, we conclude from (7.63) that $|\mathcal{D}_T^b| = 0$, and so $\rho_\alpha = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) < b$ a.e. in \mathcal{D}_T ; that is, the second desired result in (7.59).

We now establish the other result in (7.59) that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$ is symmetric positive definite a.e. in \mathcal{D}_T , which improves on (7.51b). This result requires the further assumption that $h = o(\delta)$, as $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$ is not symmetric positive definite a.e. in $\mathcal{D}_T^0 \subset \mathcal{D}_T$. Let $\mathbf{v} \in L^\infty(0, T; [L^\infty(\mathcal{D})]^2)$ be such that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ with $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$ a.e. in \mathcal{D}_T^0 and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ a.e. in $\mathcal{D}_T \setminus \mathcal{D}_T^0$. It then follows from (3.2) and (3.6) that

$$(7.70) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}_T^0| &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \|\mathbf{v}\| d\mathbf{x} dt = \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left\| \pi_h \left[G'_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \mathbf{v} \right\| d\mathbf{x} dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left\| \pi_h \left[A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \mathbf{v} \right\| d\mathbf{x} dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left\| \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b} \right) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \mathbf{v} \right\| d\mathbf{x} dt =: T_3 + T_4. \end{aligned}$$

A simple variation of (6.7a), (1.3b), (1.4a,b) and (7.50d) yield that

$$(7.71) \quad \begin{aligned} T_3 &\leq \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left(\pi_h \left[\left\| A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) [\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\pi_h \left[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] :: (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{x} dt \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] :: (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T) d\mathbf{x} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (7.53e) and the definition of \mathbf{v} yield that, as $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$,

$$(7.72) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] :: (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T) d\mathbf{x} dt \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha :: (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T) d\mathbf{x} dt = 0,$$

so we have that $T_3 = 0$. Similarly to (7.71), on setting $\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} = G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b}\right) \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})\right]$, we have from (6.7a) that

$$(7.73) \quad T_4 \leq \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\left\| [\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \right] d\mathbf{x} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \right] :: (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T) d\mathbf{x} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \right] :: (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T) d\mathbf{x} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where we have noted from (1.3b), (1.4b), (1.8), (6.51), (3.6) and (7.50i) that

$$(7.74) \quad \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\left\| [\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \right] d\mathbf{x} dt \leq C \left\| \pi_h \left[\left\| \chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \right\| \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D}_T)} \\ \leq C \left\| \pi_h \left[\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D}_T)} \leq C \left\| \pi_h \left[\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \right] \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathcal{D}_T)} \\ \leq C + C \left\| \pi_h \left[A_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathcal{D}_T)} \leq C.$$

We will now show, on possibly extracting a further subsequence of $\{(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})\}_{\delta>0, h>0, \Delta t>0}$, that

$$(7.75) \quad \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b}\right) \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{\rho_\alpha}{b}\right)^{-1} \sigma_\alpha \quad \text{weakly in } L^{\frac{5}{3}}(0, T; [L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2}).$$

as $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$ with $h = o(\delta)$. It follows immediately from (7.74) and our definition of $\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}$ that $\pi_h \left[\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \right]$ converges weakly in $L^{\frac{5}{3}}(0, T; [L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2})$ to some limit for a subsequence. We just need to show it is the limit stated in (7.75). We have from (7.53e), (7.54d) and (3.1) that $\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{\rho_\alpha}{b}\right)^{-1} \sigma_\alpha$ a.e. on \mathcal{D}_T , for a subsequence, as we have already established that $\rho_\alpha = \text{tr}(\sigma_\alpha) < b$ a.e. on \mathcal{D}_T . So it remains to establish that $(I - \pi_h) \left[\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \right]$ converges to zero a.e. on \mathcal{D}_T . As $G'_\delta \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$ is monotonic, it follows from (6.9b), (6.53), (6.4), (3.2) and (7.50b,c) that

$$(7.76) \quad \left\| (I - \pi_h) \left[\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D}_T)} \leq \left\| (I - \pi_h) \left[\pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b}\right) \right] \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D}_T)} \\ + \left\| (I - \pi_h) \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b}\right) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)} \left\| \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)} \\ \leq C h \left\| \nabla \pi_h \left[G'_\delta \left(1 - \frac{\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}}{b}\right) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)} \left\| \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)} \\ \leq C \delta^{-1} h.$$

Hence, we have for a subsequence that $(I - \pi_h) \left[\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+} \right]$ converges to zero a.e. on \mathcal{D}_T as $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$ with $h = o(\delta)$. Therefore we have established (7.75).

Similarly to (7.72), we have that (7.73), (7.75) and our definitions of $\chi_{\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}$ and \mathbf{v} yield that $T_4 = 0$. Hence it follows from (7.70) with $T_3 = T_4 = 0$ that $|\mathcal{D}_T^0| = 0$, and so σ_α is positive definite a.e. in \mathcal{D}_T ; that is, the first desired result in (7.59). \square

Lemma 7.4. *Under all of the assumptions of Lemma 7.3, a further subsequence of the subsequence of $\{(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t})\}_{\delta>0, h>0, \Delta t>0}$ of Lemma 7.3 and the limiting function σ_α , satisfying (7.51b) and (7.59), are such that, as $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$, with $h = o(\delta)$,*

$$(7.77a) \quad \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\sigma_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \rightarrow \sigma_\alpha \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2}),$$

$$(7.77b) \quad \pi_h \left[A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \rightarrow A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha \quad \text{weakly in } L^{\frac{8}{5}}(0, T; [L^{\frac{8}{5}}(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2}),$$

$$(7.77c) \quad \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \rightarrow A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha \\ \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]^{2 \times 2}).$$

Proof. It follows from (6.7a), (1.3b), (1.4b), (5.2), (1.8), (3.3), (6.8) and (7.50b) that

$$(7.78) \quad \left\| \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] - \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)}^2 \\ \leq \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[(\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) - 1)^2 \operatorname{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})) \right] \pi_h \left[\operatorname{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})) \right] \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ \leq C \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\left| \beta_\delta^b(\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) - \operatorname{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})) \right| \right] \left(\pi_h \left[\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}\| \right] + \delta \right) \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ \leq C \left\| \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta^b(\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) - \operatorname{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)}.$$

The desired result (7.77a) then follows immediately from (7.78), (7.53e) and (7.58).

The desired result (7.77b) follows immediately from (3.6), (3.2), (7.75) and (1.2) as $\rho_\alpha = \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$.

Similarly to (7.78), it follows from (6.7a), (1.3b), (1.4b), (5.2), (6.8), and (7.50d) that

$$(7.79) \quad \left\| \pi_h \left[\kappa_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] - \pi_h \left[A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D}_T)} \\ \leq C \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left(\pi_h \left[\left| \beta_\delta^b(\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) - \operatorname{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})) \right| \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times \left(\pi_h \left[\left\| A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}, \varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \left[\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ \leq C \left\| \pi_h \left[\left| \beta_\delta^b(\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) - \operatorname{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})) \right| \right] \right\|_{L^1(\mathcal{D}_T)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left\| \pi_h \left[\beta_\delta^b(\varrho_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+}) - \operatorname{tr}(\beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha,\delta,h}^{\Delta t,+})) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D}_T)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It follows immediately from (7.79), (7.53e), (7.58) and (7.50h) that the weak limits in (7.77b,c) are the same. Hence, the desired result (7.77c). \square

Theorem 7.3. *Under all of the assumptions of Lemma 7.3, the limiting functions $(\mathbf{u}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$ satisfying (7.51a,b) and (7.59) solve the following problem:*

(\mathbf{P}_α) Find $\mathbf{u}_\alpha \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V}) \cap W^{1, \frac{4}{\vartheta}}(0, T; \mathbf{V}')$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha \in L^\infty(0, T; [L^2(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}, >0, b}^{2 \times 2}) \cap L^2(0, T; [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2}) \cap H^1(0, T; ([H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2})')$, with $A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha \in L^2(0, T; ([L^2(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2}))$, such that $\mathbf{u}_\alpha(0) = \mathbf{u}^0$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha(0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^0$ and

$$(7.80a) \quad \operatorname{Re} \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\alpha}{\partial t}, \mathbf{v} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{V}} dt + \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} [(1 - \varepsilon) \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{u}_\alpha : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{Re}[(\mathbf{u}_\alpha \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \mathbf{u}_\alpha] \cdot \mathbf{v}] \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ = \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{H_0^1(\mathcal{D})} dt - \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Wi}} \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x} \, dt \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in L^{\frac{4}{4-\vartheta}}(0, T; \mathbf{V}),$$

$$(7.80b) \quad \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha}{\partial t}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle_{H^1(\mathcal{D})} dt + \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} [(\mathbf{u}_\alpha \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha : \boldsymbol{\phi} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha :: \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\phi}] \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x} \, dt \\ = \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left[2(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{u}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Wi}} A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha \right] : \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x} \, dt \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\phi} \in L^2(0, T; [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2}),$$

where $\vartheta \in (2, 4)$.

Proof. The function spaces and the initial conditions for $(\mathbf{u}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$ follow immediately from (7.51a,b), (7.59) and (7.77c). It remains to prove that $(\mathbf{u}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$ satisfy (7.80a,b). It follows from (6.3), (7.52b–d), (7.77c), (4.11b), (7.9) and (4.14) that we may pass to the limit, $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$, with $h = o(\delta)$, in (7.43a) to obtain that $(\mathbf{u}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$ satisfy (7.80a).

It follows from (7.53b–f), (7.52b,d), (7.77a,b), (7.7), (6.9a,b), (1.5a) and as $\mathbf{u}_\alpha \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{V})$ that we may pass to the limit $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$, with $h = o(\delta)$, in (7.43b) with $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \pi_h \boldsymbol{\phi}$ to obtain (7.80b) for any $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in C_0^\infty(0, T; [C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{D}})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2})$. For example, similarly to (7.62), in order to pass to the limit on the first term in (7.43b), we note that

$$(7.81) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \pi_h \left[\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} + \frac{A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t, +}, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t, +}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t, +})}{\text{Wi}} \right) : \pi_h \boldsymbol{\phi} \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t}}{\partial t} + \frac{\pi_h \left[A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t, +}, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t, +}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t, +}) \right]}{\text{Wi}} \right) : \pi_h \boldsymbol{\phi} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} (I - \pi_h) \left[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t} : \pi_h \left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial t} \right] - \frac{\pi_h \left[A_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t, +}, \varrho_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t, +}) \beta_\delta(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha, \delta, h}^{\Delta t, +}) \right]}{\text{Wi}} : \pi_h \boldsymbol{\phi} \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

The desired result (7.80b) then follows from noting that $C_0^\infty(0, T; [C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{D}})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2})$ is dense in $L^2(0, T; [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2})$.

Of course passing to the limit $\delta, h, \Delta t \rightarrow 0_+$, with $h = o(\delta)$, in (7.43c), using in addition (7.54b–d,f), yields the weak formulation for $\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha)$ consistent with (7.80b). \square

Remark 7.2. Choosing $\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\phi} + \boldsymbol{\phi}^T)$ as a test function in (7.80b) for any $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in L^2(0, T; [H^1(\mathcal{D})]_{\mathbb{S}}^{2 \times 2})$ yields, on noting the symmetry of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$, (7.80b) with the term $2(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha$ replaced by $(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha (\nabla \mathbf{u}_\alpha)^T$, which is consistent with (5.1c).

Finally, it follows from (7.50a,f,h), (7.52a–c) and (7.77c) that

$$(7.82) \quad \sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|\mathbf{u}_\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \int_0^T \left[\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \left\| \mathcal{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\alpha}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\mathcal{D})}^{\frac{4}{\vartheta}} + \|A(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathcal{D})}^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t \leq C,$$

where $\vartheta \in (2, 4)$ and C is independent of the stress diffusion coefficient α . Of course, in addition, it follows from (7.59) and (1.8) that $\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D}_T)} < b$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Barrett and S. Boyaval, Existence and approximation of a (regularized) Oldroyd-B model, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **21** (2011) 1783–1837.
- [2] J. W. Barrett and R. Nürnberg, Convergence of a finite-element approximation of surfactant spreading on a thin film in the presence of van der Waals forces, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **24** (2004) 323–363.
- [3] J. W. Barrett and E. Süli, Existence of global weak solutions to some regularized kinetic models of dilute polymers, *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **6** (2007) 506–546.
- [4] J. W. Barrett and E. Süli, Existence and equilibration of global weak solutions to kinetic models for dilute polymers I: Finitely extensible nonlinear bead-spring chains, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **21** (2011) 1211–1289.
- [5] J. W. Barrett and E. Süli, Existence of global weak solutions to finitely extensible nonlinear bead-spring chain models for dilute polymers with variable density and viscosity, *Imperial College London and University of Oxford, 2011. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.4781>*.
- [6] J. W. Barrett and E. Süli, Existence and equilibration of global weak solutions to kinetic models for dilute polymers II: Hookean-type bead-spring chains, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **22** (2012) 1150024 (84 pages).
- [7] J. W. Barrett and E. Süli, Finite element approximation of finitely extensible nonlinear elastic dumbbell models for dilute polymers, *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **46** (2012) 949–978.
- [8] J. W. Barrett and E. Süli, Existence of global weak solutions to the kinetic Hookean dumbbell model for incompressible dilute polymeric fluids, *Imperial College London and University of Oxford, 2017. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.06502>*.
- [9] R. Bird, C. Curtiss, R. Armstrong and O. Hassager, *Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids, Vol 2: Kinetic Theory* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1987).
- [10] D. Boffi, Three-dimensional finite element methods for the Stokes problem, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **34** (1997) 664–670.
- [11] S. Boyaval, T. Lelièvre and C. Mangoubi, Free-energy-dissipative schemes for the Oldroyd-B model, *ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **43** (2009) 523–561.
- [12] F. Brezzi and M. Fortin, *Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992).

- [13] P. Degond and H. Liu, Kinetic models for polymers with inertial effects, *Networks and Heterogeneous Media* **4** (2009) 625–647.
- [14] A. W. El-Kareh and L. G. Leal, Existence of solutions for all Deborah numbers for a non-Newtonian model modified to include diffusion, *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **33** (1989) 257–287.
- [15] A. Ern and J. L. Guermond, *Theory and Practice of Finite Elements* (Springer Verlag, New York, 2004).
- [16] V. Girault and P.-A. Raviart, *Finite Element Methods for Navier–Stokes Equations*, volume 5 of *Springer Ser. Comp. Math.* (Springer-Verlag, 1986).
- [17] G. Grün and M. Rumpf, Nonnegativity preserving numerical schemes for the thin film equation, *Numer. Math.* **87** (2000) 113–152.
- [18] J. G. Heywood and R. Rannacher, Finite element approximation of the nonstationary Navier–Stokes problem I: Regularity of solutions and second-order error estimates for spatial discretization, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **19** (1982) 275–311.
- [19] D. Hu and T. Lelièvre, New entropy estimates for the Oldroyd-B model, and related models, *Comm. Math. Sci.* **5** (2007) 906–916.
- [20] N. Masmoudi, Global existence of weak solutions to macroscopic models of polymeric flows, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **96** (2011) 502–520.
- [21] R. G. Owens and T. N. Phillips, *Computational Rheology* (Imperial College Press, 2002).
- [22] M. Renardy, *Mathematical Analysis of Viscoelastic Flows*, volume 73 of *CBMS-NSF Conference Series in Applied Mathematics* (SIAM, 2000).
- [23] J. D. Schieber, Generalized Brownian configuration field for Fokker–Planck equations including center-of-mass diffusion, *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **135** (2006) 179–181.
- [24] R. Sureshkumar and A. Beris, Effect of artificial stress diffusivity on the stability of numerical calculations and the flow dynamics of time-dependent viscoelastic flows, *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **60** (1995) 53–80.
- [25] R. Temam, *Navier–Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis*, volume 2 of *Studies in Mathematics and its Applications* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984).
- [26] P. Wapperom and M. Hulsen, Thermodynamics of viscoelastic fluids: The temperature equation, *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **42** (1998) 999–1019.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, LONDON SW7 2AZ, UK, JWB@IC.AC.UK

LABORATOIRE D’HYDRAULIQUE SAINT-VENANT, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-EST (ECOLE DES PONTS PARISTECH) EDF R&D, 6 QUAI WATIER, 78401 CHATOU CEDEX, FRANCE, SEBASTIEN.BOYAVAL@SAINT-VENANT.ENPC.FR