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ABSTRACT

This articles aims at providing data on the contamination of different kind of sludges by a wide range of pollutants; Therefore, 70 pollutants including pharmaceuticals and hormones (PPHs), perfluorinated acids (PFAs), linear alkylbenzene sulfonate (LAS), alkylphenols (APs), phthalates (PAEs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs) were monitored in raw, centrifuged, digested and thermally dried sludges and sludge cakes.

Very high contents of LAS (100-10,000 mg/kg DM) compared to other compounds were found in all types of sludges followed by DEHP (10,000-100,000 µg/kg DM) and fluoroquinolones (1,000-100,000 µg/kg DM). APs were measured at intermediary contents in Parisian sludges, lying in the 2,000-20,000 µg/kg DM range. Finally, PAHs, PCBs, PAEs, PFAs and the remaining PPHs were all found at contents lower than 1,000 µg/kg DM.

For most compounds, no significant differences were found between raw sludges from Seine Aval and Seine Centre treatment plants, and between centrifuged sludge from Seine Centre and Seine Grésillons treatment plants, highlighting the homogeneity of sludge contamination in downstream Paris catchment.

For several compounds, data on their contamination are for the first time provided. For more documented compounds, a mini review of sludge contamination was performed and some difference appears as regards data reported in the literature.

Results highlighted the increase of contents through sludge treatment for LAS, APs, PAHs, DEHP and PCBs, while PPHs and PFAs are rather more eliminated than dry matter and water as their contents decrease. However, an increase of content does not automatically mean there is no removal, it simply highlights a lower removal than dry matter, and mass balances are necessary to deeply characterize the fate of compounds. This is the aim of a second article.
In addition, the first data on centrifuged (CW) and condensed (TDW) waters from centrifugation and thermal drying were collected. Several PPHs, PFAs, LAS, PAEs, APs and PAHs were quantified in CW and TDW, displaying a transfer through the water removal. Some compounds (fluoroquinolones, LAS and PAHs) are transferred with the particulate phase (release of particles from sludge) while others are also present in the dissolved phase (PAEs, PPHs and PFAs). The concentrations observed are rather comparable to those found in wastewater.
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INTRODUCTION

The presence of micropollutants in wastewater has been well demonstrated in the literature (Heberer, 2002; Loos et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2014; Verlicchi et al., 2012). A wide range of these compounds, particularly hydrophobic pollutants, are eliminated during primary and biological treatments in wastewater treatment plants (WWTP), through sorption to sewage sludges (Clara et al., 2007; Mailler et al., 2014c; Ruel et al., 2012). This results in the contamination of sewage sludges by various priority and emerging pollutants, such as alkylphenols (APs), phthalates (PAEs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), pharmaceuticals and hormones (PPHs) or organotins (Bergé et al., 2012, 2013; Clarke and Smith, 2011; Mailler et al., 2014b).

The production of sewage sludges is estimated to be about 11 million tons of dry matter (DM) in Europe, including 1 million for France only (Kelessidis and Stasinakis, 2012). These sludges are treated and conditioned before to be land farmed, incinerated or landfilled (Fytili and Zabaniotou, 2008). In France (> 70% of the DM mass), as well as in Europe in general (> 50%), the main pathway for sludge management is land farming. In this context, the presence of micropollutants in sludge is of concern, especially considering the transfer from sludge to soil of some pollutants such as metals (Chipasa, 2003), organotins (Craig, 2003) or polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs) (Stevens et al., 2002). To limit contamination of the environment by micropollutants, European (EC, 1986) and national (French order of 8th January of 1998) regulations have been established to progressively forbid sludge disposal and regulate land farming. Such regulations concern principally heavy metals, PAHs and PCBs. In particular, the Urban Wastewater Treatment Directive (EC, 1986), amended by (91/271/EEC) (EC, 1991), states maximum thresholds and maximum annual flux to land farm for metals. Moreover, present regulations are currently discussed and other compounds could possibly be added to the watch lists.

However, the studies displaying micropollutant contents in sewage sludge are still scarce compared to those for wastewater, in particular for emerging compounds such as PPHs or perfluorinated acids (PFAs). In addition, the impact of sludge treatments on micropollutant concentrations is difficult to evaluate considering that most studies do not distinguish different types of sludge from a given sludge treatment plant (STP); they just aimed at characterizing the contamination of raw and/or final treated sludge disregarding the type of sludge treatment. Finally, data about French sludge are very partial since only a dozen references are today available.

In this context, the Paris public sanitation service (SIAAP), which treats wastewater and sewage sludge from about 9 million inhabitants, and the Water Environment and Urban Systems laboratory (LEESU) have decided to assess the contamination of Parisian sewage sludges by micropollutants and their fate during sludge treatments. This project aims at i) featuring micropollutant contaminations of the different types of sludges and ii) assessing the efficiency of 4 sludge
treatments to remove/decrease these contaminations. Therefore, raw sludge, centrifuged sludge, digested sludge, thermally dried sludge and sludge cake were studied and centrifugation, anaerobic digestion, thermal drying and cooking + press filtration were considered.

The first part of this project was mainly focused on priority pollutants and pesticides and a paper was recently published on both sludge contamination and treatments. Results shown a partial removal of alkylphenols, di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP), organotins and PAHs by sludge treatments, in contrary to metals and PCBs which accumulate through treatment. In addition, this work revealed that pesticides and volatile organic products were absent from Parisian sludges (< LOD), or present at very low contents (< LOQ).

The second part of this project is focused on new or emerging pollutants by investigating the fate of a total of 70 compounds, including 58 new molecules, i.e. PPHs, PFAs, APs, PAEs or linear alkyl benzene sulfonates (LAS), and 12 molecules in common with the phase 1 of the project.

In addition to objectives established for phase 1, the phase 2 also aims at establishing mass balances at the scale of sludge treatments, i.e. centrifugation, digestion, thermal drying and sludge cake process, to have both a large (impact of the treatment at STPs scale) and a process engineering view (removals and transfers at processes scale). Therefore, micropollutants in condensed water from thermal drying and centrifuged water from centrifugation were investigated too, in order to precisely identify the transfer pathways of each pollutant. Such data are among the first to be published.

Results obtained from the second part of this project are divided in two papers. The removals and transfer pathways of micropollutants are assessed in the 2nd part of this article; this 1st part aims at describing the contamination of the different types of Parisian sewage sludges by emerging micropollutants and comparing it to the literature. Therefore, a mini review of available data is furnished. In addition, this present article aims at i) evaluating the quality of the different types of sludges commonly encountered regarding emerging pollutants and ii) assessing their possible transfer via centrifuged and condensed water.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1. Sludge treatment plants (STPs) description

The three STPs monitored are the same as described in (Mailler et al., 2014b) - Seine Aval, Seine Centre and Seine Grésillons. They are supervised by SIAAP and treat sludge produced by three WWTPs fed with wastewater from the same catchment (downstream Paris conurbation). The characteristics of each WWTP and STP are given in supporting material - Table S1, as well as the complete layout of each STP (supporting material - Table S2).

The Seine Centre plant treats 240,000 m$^3$ of wastewater per day. Sludge produced is first centrifuged to achieve a volume reduction, resulting in a production of almost 21,000 tons DM of centrifuged sludge per year (SIAAP source). Then, sludge is incinerated producing ash and smoke, which is specifically treated to minimize odors. The Seine Aval plant receives 1,700,000 m$^3$ of wastewater per day (biggest in Europe) and produces more than 55,000 tons DM of treated sludge per year (SIAAP source). The first sludge treatment consists in a mesophilic (37°C) anaerobic digestion to transform an important part of organic matter (about 40%) into biogas and eliminating pathogens and parasites. Digested sludge is then dewatered by thickening, thermal conditioning
(heat exchange and cooking at 195°C and 20 bars) and press filtration. These successive treatments allow reducing sludge volume/mass/loads by more than a factor 10 (i.e. DM, Table 1) and producing a dewatered cake called sludge cake which is used as agricultural fertilizer. The Seine Grésillons plant treats 100,000 m³ of wastewater per day. Sludge treatment is performed by centrifugation and then thermal drying. The thermal drying process can operate at a wide range of temperature, but the facility used in this plant operates at a high temperature (260°C) compared to conventional dryers (generally 105°C (Voulvoulis and Lester, 2006)). This allows reducing drastically the water content (Table 1) to obtain, after compacting, almost 8,000 tons DM of solid pellets per year (SIAAP source) which are stocked in big bags or silos before to be used in agriculture.

2. Sampling strategy

Different types of sludges have been monitored within the three studied STPs, as described in supporting material - Table S2: raw sludge (RS), centrifuged sludge (CS), digested sludge (DS), thermally dried sludge (TS) and sludge cake (SC). In addition, centrifuged (CW) and condensed water (TDW) were also analyzed to determine if a transfer occurs from sludge to water. The sampling strategy allows assessing both the contamination of final sludges and the fate of emerging micropollutants within STPs.

Sampling campaigns were performed in April-May 2013 and April 2014. Each sludge sampling point (supporting material - Table S2) was sampled seven times except the inlet and outlet of thermal drying which were sampled six times. Samples were all punctual due to technical issues, except sludge cake which is a composite sample of sludge produced within a week, respecting all the guidelines to avoid sample contamination. In addition, the sampled volume was 3 L for RS, CS and DS while it was 2 L for TS and SC. For digestion, DS was sampled 16 days after RS, to take the sludge retention time (SRT) into account. CW and TDW samples were also punctual and performed simultaneously with sludge samples in centrifugation and thermal drying.

3. General sludge quality parameters

The general quality of each type of sampled sludge, characterized by dry matter (DM, in % - 1% = 10 g/L) and volatile matter (VM, in % DM), is displayed in Table 1 with min - max values and mean. Both parameters are commonly used in sludge management. In addition, total suspended solids (TSS) were measured in condensed and centrifuged waters (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sludge Type</th>
<th>Digestion</th>
<th>Thermal drying</th>
<th>Centrifugation</th>
<th>Cake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestion</td>
<td>Thermal drying</td>
<td>Centrifugation</td>
<td>Cake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM (%)</td>
<td>3.5 - 4.6</td>
<td>21.7 - 47.7</td>
<td>4.1 - 5.1</td>
<td>48.8 - 57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM (%)</td>
<td>71.0 - 80.3</td>
<td>51.0 - 75.9</td>
<td>69.2 - 78.5</td>
<td>37.3 - 40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS (mg/L)</td>
<td>8 - 92</td>
<td>831 - 1,488</td>
<td>1,220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, removals of dry matter and volatile matter during anaerobic digestion are about 40% and 54% respectively, in good agreement with conventional anaerobic digestion removal (Mailler et al., 2014b; Moletta, 2008). This is due to the biotransformation of organic matter to methane. VM in
sludge cake is low (38.5% DM) compared to digested sludge highlighting a removal of organic matter during the process (thermal conditioning + press filtration, supporting material - Table S2). This is most likely due to the solubilization of a fraction of the organic matter (Neyens and Baeyens, 2003; Valo et al., 2004) during thermal conditioning (195°C and 20 bars). This fraction is then removed with water during press filtration. In contrary, VM is rather constant in both thermal drying and centrifugation, highlighting that VM is whether not or similarly removed (no change in percentage) as DM during these treatments. The general quality parameters show that Seine Aval STP is the only one in the studied STPs to significantly reduce the quantity of volatile matter in sludge (not considering incineration).

Regarding CW and TDW, the TSS concentrations indicate that thermal drying performs a far more efficient separation of dry matter and water, as the concentration of TSS in TDW do not exceed 100 mg/l. TSS concentrations are 5,000 times lower than in sludge entering the process.

CW present significant higher TSS concentration (831-1,488 mg/l), about 40 times lower than RS. Considering the higher amount of particles in CW this could lead to transfers of pollutants from the sludge to the wastewater, as CW and TDW are reinjected in raw wastewater of the WWTPs.

4. Pollutants and analytical procedures

A total of 70 emerging micropollutants have been analyzed in all sampled sludges, including 58 new compounds and 12 in common with first part of the project. Table 2 gives the groups of pollutants and the main information about their respective analytical methods. Depending on the compound, three laboratories were involved in analyses: the Institute of Analytical Sciences (CNRS - Villeurbanne, France) for pharmaceuticals and perfluorinated compounds, the laboratory of Environmental Biotechnology (INRA - Narbonne, France) for hormones, LAS, alkylphenols, PAHs and PCBs, and the laboratory of the Police Prefecture of Paris (LCPP - Paris, France) for phthalates and octylphenol (OP). The complete list of monitored compounds and their limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) are given in supporting material - Table S3.

### Table 2. Pollutants and analytical methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>LOQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>LG - PLE or QuEChERS - SPE -</td>
<td>ISA</td>
<td>1 - 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(LC-MSMS)</td>
<td>(Peysson and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vulliet, 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfluorinated acids</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormones</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>LG - ASE - SPE - (LC-MS)</td>
<td>LBE</td>
<td>??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>LG - ASE - (LC-FLD)</td>
<td>(Patureau et al.,</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAHs</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>LG - ASE - (GC-ECD)</td>
<td>(Muller et al.,</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LG - ASE - (LC-FLD)</td>
<td>2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonylphenols</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>LG - ASE - (LC-FLD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5200 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>LG - S - AC - (GC-MS)</td>
<td>LCPP</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phthalates</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>LG - S - AC - (GC-MS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>70</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Groups of molecules: LAS = linear alkyl benzene sulfonates; PAHs = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; PCBs = polychlorobiphenyls.
b Number of compounds per group.
c Methods used for extraction-purification-analysis.
LG = lyophilized and ground; PLE = pressurized liquid extraction; SPE = solid phase extraction; ASE = accelerated solvent extraction; S = sonication; AC = alumina column purification; LC = liquid chromatography; GC = gas chromatography; GC-ECD = GC with pulsed flame photometric detector.
d Laboratories involved and bibliographic reference for the analytical method.
For the compounds analyzed by ISA, sludge samples are first lyophilized, grinded and sieved at 250 µm. Then, for tetracyclines and fluoroquinolones, a pressurized liquid extraction is performed with a mix of acetonitrile/methanol/nitric acid (20:20:80, v:v) on a part of the sample (0.5 g). The extract (20 mL) is mixed with ultrapure water (480 mL) before to be purified by solid phase extraction (SPE) on an Autotrace® device with StrataX® cartridges, eluted with 6 mL of methanol. In contrary, for perfluorinated acids and the rest of pharmaceuticals, a QuEChERS extraction is performed on a part of the sample (2 g) with EDTA, heptane and acetate buffer. Then, a purification step is performed by SPE. Both parts of the sample are then analyzed by LC-MSMS.

For the compounds analyzed by LBE, sludge samples are also lyophilized and grinded, before to be centrifuged. The sample is extracted by accelerated solvent extraction with hexane/acetone (50:50, v:v) for alkylphenols, PAHs and PCBs, methanol for LAS and methanol/acetone (50:50, v:v) for hormones. The extract is purified by SPE for hormones analysis. Finally, the three fractions of the sample are analyzed by LC-FLD for LAS, alkylphenols and PAHs, LC-MS for hormones and GC-ECD for PCBs.

For OP and phthalates, samples were frozen during 72 hours at a temperature of –18°C. After lyophilization, about 1 g of sample was extracted by sonication in 20 mL of mixture of methanol/dichloromethane (10:90, v:v). This step was repeated twice to ensure complete extraction of the compounds. Both extracts were then purified on an alumina column (1 g), previously conditioned with 6 mL of dichloromethane. The extracts were then concentrated under a stream of nitrogen to a final volume of 1 mL. The concentrate was taken up in 1 mL of mixture of methanol and dichloromethane containing the internal standards. All chemicals and solvents were pesticide residue grade. OP and phthalates were analyzed by GC-MS (simple Quad, Agilent Technologies).

More information about the analytical methods used in this article are given in (Patureau et al., 2012) for LAS, nonylphenols, PCBs and PAHs, (Muller et al., 2010) for hormones, (Peysson and Vulliet, 2013) for pharmaceuticals and PFAs.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Pharmaceuticals and hormones (PPHs)

PPHs are compounds that are not expected to be sorbed to sewage sludge a priori, considering their hydrophilic nature. However, most of PPHs monitored were detected in each type of sludge. The PPHs contents for each type of sludge considered are provided in Figure 1. Statistical data such as Fischer, Student and Mann-Whitney tests, to compare homogeneity of RS and CS from STP to STP, are provided in supporting material - Table S6. Occurrences of the compounds are also furnished in supporting materials - Table S4.

In raw sludges (Figure 1) and based on all RS samples (14 samples), all PPHs monitored were detected. Moreover, acetaminophen, carbamazepine, ciprofloxacin, domperidone, escitalopram, lidocaine, norfloxacin, ofloxacin, propranolol, tramadol and verapamil have a frequency of detection higher than 50%, while the remaining compounds are detected scarcely (occurrence < 50%). Fluoroquinolones (ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin) are predominant in sludge with high contents (> 3,000 µg/kg DM) while other PPHs lay in the 10-1000 µg/kg DM range. For these
latter compounds, ciprofloxacin has the highest content, with a median value of 10,285 µg/kg DM. Sulfamethoxazole, acetaminophen or lidocaine are found in median around 100 µg/kg DM.

In addition, a statistical analysis performed on RS (N=14) demonstrated that PPHs contents are not significantly different (p-value > 0.05 - confidence level of 95%) between Seine Aval and Seine Centre (Fischer, Student and Mann-Whitney tests, see supporting material - Table S6). This indicates that sewage sludge is quite homogenous in Paris, despite different wastewater treatment processes. This is consistent as the different WWTPs studied treat wastewater from the same catchment. A similar trend is observed between CS from Seine Centre and Seine Grésillons. Finally, DEHP, APs, LAS and PAHs also have comparable contents in RS from Seine Aval and Seine Centre and in CS from Seine Centre and Seine Grésillons (supporting material - Table S6).

In treated sludges (CS, DS, TS and SC), the number of compounds detected and the occurrence are lower than in RS. The fluoroquinolones (n=3) and carbamazepine are the only compounds to be still frequently detected in all treated sludges.

In TS and SC, which are both final sludges that are actually used as agricultural amendment, most compounds are measured at lower contents than in RS. For instance, acetaminophen and ciprofloxacin were respectively measured at a median RS content of 90.9 and 12,858 µg/kg DM against 47.6 and 6,077 µg/kg DM in TS, and 65.4 and 7,438 µg/kg DM in SC. This highlights the positive impact of the STP on micropollutant contamination of the sludge, although they were not designed for that.

However, some compounds have similar or higher contents in TS or SC compared to RS. For instance, carbamazepine, domperidone, escitalopram, ofloxacin and propranolol have similar contents in TS and RS. Ofloxacin has also a comparable content in SC while loratadine is much more concentrated in cake (1,360 µg/kg DM - median) than in RS (38 µg/kg DM). These recalcitrant compounds are whether similarly or less removed than dry matter during the sludge treatment process, leading sometimes to content increase, even if the mass flux has actually decreased. The part 2 of this article will focus more particularly on the mass balance and transfer during treatment.

In DS, acetaminophen, carbamazepine, miconazole and fluoroquinolones have similar or higher contents than RS, resulting from a low biodegradability (no biodegradation or weaker than the dry matter). The remaining compounds are not or scarcely (< 3 times) detected, displaying a notable impact of this process on sludge contamination (content decrease).

In CS, no transformation of the compounds can theoretically occur as this process consists in a simple physical separation of sludge and water. However, most PPHs (n=10) have lower contents in CS comparatively to RS, indicating a removal. In contrary, azithromycin, domperidone, glybencyclamide, loratadine, miconazole, and fluoroquinolones have similar or higher contents in CS.

Finally, comparing DS and SC allows having an idea of the impact of cooking and press filtration on sludge contamination. A reduction of contamination is observed for several compounds, i.e. acetaminophen, carbamazepine, miconazole and the three fluoroquinolones. This reduction should be due to solubilization and removal of water (Neyens and Baeyens, 2003; Valo et al., 2004). Moreover, acetaminophen and carbamazepine are also removed during centrifugation. In contrary, the other pharmaceuticals are rather accumulated as their contents are higher after cooking and
press filtration.

The variability of contents is high for most pharmaceuticals in RS with coefficients of variability (CV) between 50% and 150% (based on 14 RS samples), as a probable result of the variability of concentrations in wastewater. In treated sludge, the variability remains high, especially in CS (33-200%) and TS (25-170%), except for digestion which seems to have a buffer effect (6-100%).

Regarding the literature (supporting material - Table S3), several works have displayed their propensity to be present in the particulate fraction thanks to adsorption mechanisms (Carballa et al., 2004; Giger et al., 2003; Lindberg et al., 2005a). Some pharmaceuticals were monitored in sewage sludge in different countries and the data available are rather large. Some compounds are well documented such as acetaminophen, azithromycin, carbamazepine, fluoroquinolones, propranolol or sulfamethoxazole but not for all types of sludge and without a process engineering point of view. Azithromycin, when detected, was found at lower contents in RS than former studies (Clarke and Smith, 2011; Göbel et al., 2005). In contrary, ciprofloxacin (Golet et al., 2003; Li et al., 2013), ofloxacin (Jones et al., 2014), carbamazepine (Nieto et al., 2010), sulfamethoxazole (Li et al., 2013; Nieto et al., 2010) and propranolol (Jones et al., 2014; Nieto et al., 2010) are rather more concentrated in RS from this study, because of a higher contamination of wastewater and/or more efficient treatments in WWTP resulting in a greater sorption. In treated sludges, data from the literature are in accordance with what is observed in Paris for acetaminophen, azithromycin, carbamazepine, propranolol and sulfamethoxazole (Clarke and Smith, 2011; Harrison et al., 2006; Narumiya et al., 2013; Peysson and Vulliet, 2013; Radjenović et al., 2009; Subedi et al., 2014), but fluoroquinolones are more concentrated than in former studies (Golet et al., 2003; Jia et al., 2012; Lindberg et al., 2005b).

In contrary, no or very few data were found for domperidone, escitalopram, glybencyclamide, ivermectine, lidocaine, loratadine, miconazole, tramadol and verapamil, whatever the type of sludge.
Figure 1. Pharmaceuticals and PFAs contents in Parisian sewage sludges
2. Perfluorinated acids (PFAs)

PFAs are emerging pollutants with a growing interest. They are classified as priority substances since 2013 (EC, 2013). Similarly to fluoroquinolones, PFOS was always detected in all sludges contrary to PFOA with a lower occurrence (<50%). PFOA was never detected in TS, and only 2 times in SC and DS. Their sludge contents are in the range of those from pharmaceuticals (Figure 1). In RS, the median content of PFOA and PFOS are respectively 26 and 316 µg/kg DM. For both compounds, the contents measured in the different treated sludges were lower, indicating a partial removal during treatments, even during centrifugation. Thus, PFOS was measured at a median content of 293 µg/kg DM in CS, 49 µg/kg DM in DS, 55 µg/kg DM in TS and 157 µg/kg DM in SC.

Similarly to pharmaceuticals, the variability of content is relatively high for perfluorinated compounds with CV between 60 and 120%. The variability for these compounds is similar in all kind of sludges.

PFOS contents found in the literature are similar to those observed in Paris sludge (Bossi et al., 2008; Loganathan et al., 2007; Stasinakis et al., 2013). Contrariwise, PFOS seems to be notably more concentrated in Parisian sludges, with contents in the literature lower than 100 µg/kg DM (Arvaniti et al., 2012; Schultz et al., 2006; Sinclair and Kannan, 2006). A higher contamination of raw wastewater leading to a higher sorption of PFAs on sludge could explain this difference.

3. PAHs

PAHs are cited in French sludge regulations (order of 8<sup>th</sup> January of 1998). In this text, threshold values are stated for fluoranthene (5,000 µg/kg DM), benzo[b]fluoranthene (2,500 µg/kg DM) and benzo[a]pyrene (2,000 µg/kg DM), limiting the use of contaminated sludge for agricultural amendment.

Regarding the Parisian sewage sludge (Figure 2; supporting material - Table S4), the 13 monitored PAHs were detected in all analyzed samples. The PAHs pattern is similar in the different sludges (Figure 2), even if some differences of contents can be observed from sludge to sludge. Thus, anthracene and dibenzo[a]anthracene have significantly lower contents than the other PAHs, always lower than 100 µg/kg DM. In contrary, phenanthrene, fluoranthene and pyrene are always the most concentrated PAHs in sludge, with contents higher than 100 µg/kg DM. PAHs are rather more concentrated in treated sludges, especially SC, as already observed in a former study (Mailler et al., 2014b). Concerning TS and SC, managed through land farming, the three regulated compounds were never measured above the threshold values. Indeed, these molecules were always measured below 800 µg/kg DM, whatever the sludge.

The variability of content observed for PAHs is moderate (50% < CV < 100%) in RS except for dibenzo[a]anthracene for which it is high (CV=114%). Sludge treatments reduce this variability and the coefficients of variability of all PAHs are below 50% in DS, TS and SC.

The RS contents observed in Paris are lower than in China (Cai et al., 2007; Zeng et al., 2010), Korea (Ju et al., 2009) and UK (Jones et al., 2014) for all PAHs. Overall, the contents found in Parisian treated sludge in this study (sampling in 2013-2014) are very close from those found in 2011 (Mailler et al., 2014b). Furthermore, another recent French study measured comparable contents in various French STPs (Besnault et al., 2015 (submitted)). This suggests that PAHs contamination of sewage sludge is rather homogenous in France. The results are also comparable to
those from (Aparicio et al., 2009) but higher contents were found in digested sludge from UK (Stevens et al., 2002).

4. Phthalates (PAEs)

PAEs were only monitored in 2014 (n=3-7 campaigns, depending on the STP). 4 compounds were monitored including the di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), benzylbutyl phthalate (BBP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP) and diethyl phthalate (DEP). The 4 compounds were found in all samples from RS, CS, DS and TS but BBP was not detected in SC while DnBP and DEP were only detected once in this sludge.

DEHP is present at much higher contents in Parisian sludge than the three other compounds (Figure 2). Thus, this molecule was measured at a median content of 41,500 µg/kg DM in RS, 70,600 µg/kg DM in CS, 58,100 µg/kg DM in DS, 90,200 µg/kg DM in TS and 68,300 µg/kg DM in SC. BBP, DEP and DnBP are found in the same range as PAHs, with median content of 44.9-144.6 µg/kg DM for DEP, 351.9-1,141.0 µg/kg DM for BBP and 109.3-290.6 µg/kg DM for DnBP. The contents in DS and SC are always lower or similar than in RS for BBP, DEP and DnBP, highlighting a removal close or higher than dry matter. In contrary, DEHP accumulates in sludge throughout the treatment process, resulting in an increase of the content.

For PAEs, RS and CS are the only sludge with enough samples (n=6-7) to estimate the variability of content. The latter is low (CV < 50%) in both sludges, except for BBP which is more variable (55-80%).

In the literature, most studies found these compounds at comparable contents, especially in European countries (Bergé et al., 2013; Clara et al., 2010; Clarke and Smith, 2011; Gibson et al., 2005). However, Chinese (Cai et al., 2007) - factor 10 for DEP and DnBP - and Spanish (Abad et al., 2005; Aparicio et al., 2009) - factor 3 for DEHP - sludges appear more contaminated, just like PAHs.
Figure 2. PAHs and PAEs contents in Parisian sewage sludges
5. Alkylphenols (APs)

For APs, nonylphenols (NP), octylphenol (OP), nonylphenol monoethoxylate (NP1EO) and
diethoxylate (NP2EO), as well as the sum of analyzed nonylphenols ethoxylates (Σ NPEOs), were
monitored. OP, NP and NP1EO were detected in every sludge sample, contrary to NP2EO with an
occurrence below 50% in CS, TS and SC.

In RS, NP, NP1EO and NP2EO had a comparable median content, respectively 1,380, 1,720 and
940 µg/kg DM (Figure 3). While the repartition and the contents are similar to RS in CS and TS,
this is slightly different for DS and SC. In DS and SC, the three compounds have a higher median
content (4,520, 3,380 and 1,300 µg/kg DM). This increase of contents results from dry matter and
water removals. OP has a comparable content whatever the sludge, with a median content varying
between 190 and 609 µg/kg DM depending on the sludge.

The variability of content observed is from relatively low to moderate for these compounds, with
CV of 20-60%. This is surprising for DS taking their biodegradability into account and the fact that
NP1EO and NP2EO can be degraded in NP during such treatment (Ejlertsson et al., 1998; Lu et al.,
2008). Moreover, very variable removals were observed previously on the same facilities (Mailler
et al., 2014b), inducing a much higher variability of content in DS, SC and TS.

NP and nonylphenols ethoxylates in sewage sludge have been well studied in the literature,
particularly in treated sludge (supporting material - Table S5). Contents available are rather
variable, even for one type of sludge, and the contamination of Parisian sludge is in the lower range.
In particular, several studies measured these compounds at much higher contents than what is
observed in this study (Aparicio et al., 2009; Ghanem et al., 2007; González et al., 2010; Samaras et
al., 2013), while others at comparable levels (Jones et al., 2014; Mailler et al., 2014b; Nie et al.,
2009). For OP, no comparison was found in the literature.

6. LAS

LAS analyses include analyses of C10, C11, C12 and C13 congeners, as well as the sum of LAS
content. LAS, whatever the chain length (C10-C13), were always detected in all types of sludge
(Figure 3). These compounds are measured at very high contents in sludge compared to all the
other emerging micropollutants, i.e. about 100-10,000 mg/kg DM.

In RS, C10 is always significantly less concentrated than the others with a median content of 193
mg/kg DM, while C11, C12 and C13 have close median contents of respectively 1,171, 1,484 and
982 mg/kg DM. Similarly to PAHs, the LAS pattern doesn’t change with the sludge treatment; the
LAS proportions are stable, indicating that the 4 compounds are similarly impacted by the
treatments. The pattern observed is in accordance with most of former studies (Aparicio et al., 2009;
Carballa et al., 2007; Muller et al., 2007) with a predominance of C10 in comparison to C11, C12
and C13. Moreover, LAS contents are rather similar or higher in treated sludge compared to RS,
indicating that they are not notably eliminated by the processes or at least less than the dry matter.
For instance, the median Σ LAS content varies from 4,053 mg/kg DM in RS to 6,224 mg/kg DM in
CS, 5,161 mg/kg DM in DS, 7,400 mg/kg DM in TS and 8,821 mg/kg DM in SC.

The variability of contents is low for this group of compounds, with CV between 25 and 35% in RS,
25-50% in CS, 20-25% in DS and 10-40% in TS. In SC, this variability is even lower (< 15%).

LAS are studied for a couple of decades in sewage sludges and the literature available is quite large
(supporting material - Table S5). About 20 references were found. In addition, the contents observed are rather variable from study to study, from a mean value of total LAS of 10.7 mg/kg DM in China (Zeng et al., 2012) to more than 10,000 mg/kg DM in UK (Holt and Bernstein, 1992) and Greece (Pakou et al., 2009). However, most studies provided data that are consistent with what is observed in Paris. Furthermore, no data were found for centrifuged and thermally dried sludge for this group of compounds.

7. PCBs

PCBs (n=20) were only monitored in 2013 (N=3-4 campaigns). Similarly to PAHs, French regulation stated a threshold value (800 µg/kg DM) for the sum of 7 PCBs (PCB 28, 52, 101, 118, 113, 180). Contents measured in RS for PCBs (supporting material - Table S4) lie in the 10-2,000 µg/kg DM range for individual PCBs. This range is similar to the one for PPHs, PFAs and PAHs. The 7 PCBs from the French regulation are those with the highest contents, leading to a median value of the sum of 518 µg/kg DM, what is below the French threshold value. They represent 60-75% of the total PCBs content in RS. In addition, PCB 101 is the only congener with a median content higher than 100 µg/kg DM (106 µg/kg DM), while other congeners 18, 33, 105, 128, 170, 187, 194, 195, 199, 206 and 209 were measured at a median content below 20 µg/kg DM.

An increase of PCBs content in sludge is observed during sludge treatments, with higher contents observed in DS, CS and SC than in RS. For instance, PCB 101 median contents in CS, DS and SC are respectively 132, 203 and 368 µg/kg DM. SC is the sludge with the highest PCB contents resulting from the reduction of the mass of sludge in digestion and cooking followed by press filtration. This has already been observed within the same STPs (Mailler et al., 2014b). Thus, the Σ 7 PCBs content reaches a median value of 2,503 µg/kg DM in SC, what is significantly higher than the threshold value, and the total PCBs content reaches 3,368 µg/kg DM. In contrary, a decrease of content is observed for all PCBs after thermal drying. This phenomenon is stronger for the lighter congeners. This results in lower PCBs contents in TS than in the other sludges, in particular RS. In this type of sludge, the Σ 7 PCBs and Σ 20 PCBs median contents are respectively 304 and 523 µg/kg DM.

Similarly to APs, PCBs are more concentrated in Parisian sludge than in the other studies available (Abad et al., 2005; Gibson et al., 2005; Ju et al., 2009; Muller et al., 2007; Stevens et al., 2002). In contrary, (Blanchard et al., 2004) measured the Σ 7 PCBs in French treated sludge between 120 and 1,930 µg/kg DM. This could suggest a decrease of sludge contamination by these compounds in ten years, as it was previously observed in France for metals (Mailler et al., 2014a) or in Sweden for some polybromodiphenylethers and norfloxacin (Olofsson et al., 2012).
Figure 3. APs and LAS contents in Parisian sewage sludges.
8. Micropollutant concentrations in CW and TDW

All micropollutants monitored in sludges, except PCBs, were also monitored in 2014 in the centrifuged (CW) and condensed (TDW) waters from centrifugation and thermal drying to identify possible transfer pathways.

Most pollutants (36 on the 50 monitored) were detected in CW except several PPHs, including carbamazepine, miconazole or sulfamethoxazole. LAS, APs, PAHs and PAEs were detected in all samples. Similarly to sludge, LAS are measured at very high concentrations, lying in the 50,000-250,000 ng/L range. DEHP, DEP and DnBP concentrations are also high (1,000-12,500 ng/L), while BBP, APs and PAHs are quantified at notably lower concentrations (1-1,000 ng/L).

For PPHs, acetaminophen, azithromycin, lidocaine, propranolol and tramadol were systematically detected in CW (Table 3). In addition, domperidone, fluoroquinolones and verapamil, as well as PFA, were detected at least ones. These molecules were quantified at relatively low concentrations (5-2,300 ng/L), in the same range as APs and PAHs, except fluoroquinolones and azithromycin which are measured between 2,000 and 6,000 ng/L.

Regarding the partitioning of compounds in CW, three cases are encountered. First, acetaminophen, lidocaine and tramadol were only detected in the dissolved phase. It could be assumed that these compounds were initially present in the dissolved phase in RS and not sorbed to dry matter. This is consistent considering their hydrophilic properties (log K_{OW} < 2.5). The contamination of CW for them could be hence due to their high affinity for dissolved phase.

Then, LAS, PAHs, APs, fluoroquinolones and verapamil were only detected in the particulate phase, what is consistent as they are hydrophobic (log K_{OW} > 4) or have a great affinity for sorption on particles (Byrns, 2001; Gasperi et al., 2010; Golet et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2013). For these compounds, the contamination of CW is due to the fraction of TSS lost during centrifugation.

Finally, the remaining detected compounds were found in both dissolved and particulate phase. Thus, for PFA, azithromycin, domperidone, propranolol, OP and PAEs, the contamination of CW is due to both presence in water and TSS lost.

In TDW, regarding the low concentration of TSS (Table 1), only dissolved concentrations were assessed. PAHs, LAS and most PPHs were not quantified in dissolved phase of TDW. However azithromycin (350-3000 ng/L), lidocaine (64-178 ng/L), tramadol (184-2315 ng/L) and verapamil (<LOQ-301 ng/L) were detected in TDW samples. In addition, NP (3400-5350 ng/L), OP (732-2133 ng/L) and PAEs (67-30119 ng/L) were also detected in all TDW samples.

The results from TDW confirm that a very efficient separation of particles and water (thermal drying), doesn’t lead to a complete prevention of the micropollutant transfers with the residual waters, as a fraction of several pollutants is transferred with the dissolved phase.

These results about micropollutants in residual waters from sludge treatment are the first published and are required to understand well the micropollutant transfers in STPs. A deeper analysis of the removal pathways (dissolved and/or particulate fraction) and mass balances will be performed in a second article.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>CW 1</th>
<th>CW 2</th>
<th>CW 3</th>
<th>TDW 1</th>
<th>TDW 2</th>
<th>TDW 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total concentration (ng/L)</td>
<td>Dissolved concentration (ng/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>5529</td>
<td>4733</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2949</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbazepine</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoperazone</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>2.283</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domperidone</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escitalopram</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glybicyclamide</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivermectine</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidocaine</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loratadine</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miconazole</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfloxacin</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>2.066</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tramadol</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>2315</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PFOS</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PFOS</strong></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP</strong></td>
<td>278</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>1314</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NP</strong></td>
<td>610</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>5350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NP1EO</strong></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NP2EO</strong></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBP</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEHP</td>
<td>2939</td>
<td>2816</td>
<td>12493</td>
<td>30119</td>
<td>21630</td>
<td>4080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEP</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>2101</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>1676</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnBP</td>
<td>3229</td>
<td>3172</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>4932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>69609</td>
<td>70660</td>
<td>65861</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>781899</td>
<td>203487</td>
<td>190669</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>124308</td>
<td>182687</td>
<td>171976</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>64088</td>
<td>113839</td>
<td>64789</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ LAS</td>
<td>1039904</td>
<td>570664</td>
<td>493294</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracene</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoranthene</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[a]anthracene</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysene</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[b]fluoranthene</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[k]fluoranthene</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[a]pyrene</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenzo[a]anthracene</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[ghi]perylene</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ 13 PAHs</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LOQ in dissolved phase
Dissolved concentration in italics, particulate concentration underlined
CONCLUSIONS

The contamination of various types of untreated and treated sewage sludges by a large panel of emerging pollutants (n=70) has been investigated in this paper. Parisian sludges, from two WWTPs treating wastewater from the same catchment, were considered in this paper, which represents 15% of the total mass (DM) of yearly sludge produced in France.

This study presents among the first data for several pharmaceutical compounds (PPHs), such as domperidone, lidocaine or tramadol. It also contributes to complete the database about sludge contamination for different groups of interesting pollutants (perfluorinated acids, phthalates, PAHs, LAS, alkylphenols and PCBs).

LAS are the predominant pollutants with contents ranging from few dozens to several thousands of mg/kg DM. They are by far more concentrated than DEHP (10-100 mg/kg DM), fluoroquinolones (1-100 mg/kg DM) and alkylphenols (APs; 2-20 mg/kg DM). Finally, the remaining compounds (PPHs, PFAs, PAHs, PCBs and PAEs) are less concentrated in sludges, with contents varying between 10 and 1000 µg/kg DM. In addition, a statistical analysis demonstrated the homogeneity of contents for most compounds in raw sludge from Seine Aval and Seine Centre, and in centrifuged sludge from Seine Centre and Seine Grésillons.

A simple and quick assessment of sludge contents allows highlighting the accumulation of some compounds in treated sludge, such as LAS, APs, PAHs, DEHP and PCBs. The increase of content results from dry matter and water removal coupled with a resistance of these compounds to treatment. In contrary, some compounds have lower contents in treated sludges, such as PPHs and PFAs, displaying a good propensity to be eliminated (more than dry matter). These results have to be confirmed by mass balances and flux calculations, and are the subject of a second article.

Then, this paper also presents interesting data of micropollutants in residual waters from two important sludge treatment processes: centrifugation and thermal drying. The presence of different types of molecules at relatively high concentrations in CW and TDW highlights the transfers that occur within the dissolved phase and the particles released from sludge during these processes. An extended investigation of this issue is realized in a second article, performing a complete analysis of removals and mass flux calculations.
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