



HAL
open science

Rainfall measurement comparison between two types of disdrometers

Samuel Fillon, Auguste Gires, Ioulia Tchiguirinskaia, D Schertzer, S. Lovejoy

► **To cite this version:**

Samuel Fillon, Auguste Gires, Ioulia Tchiguirinskaia, D Schertzer, S. Lovejoy. Rainfall measurement comparison between two types of disdrometers. International Precipitation Conference 11, Jun 2013, Wageningen, Netherlands. hal-00869297

HAL Id: hal-00869297

<https://hal-enpc.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00869297>

Submitted on 2 Oct 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Introduction

Rainfall point measurements:
 - Tipping bucket rain gauges (usually 0.2 mm tips)
 - Disdrometers (binned size and velocity)
 → The quantification of the uncertainty associated with these devices is still an open question.
 → Preliminary results of a comparison campaign between two disdrometers types (Parsivel OTT and Campbell Scientific PWS100) are presented here.

Campbell Scientific PWS100

Transmitter, Detector A, Detector B, Digital processor, Temperature and relative humidity probe.

Refraction towards the horizontal detector, Refraction towards the vertical detector.

The transmitter generates four parallel laser sheets and the detectors measure the light refracted by the hydrometeor (liquid drop) falling through the sampling area:
 - Optical properties of refraction → the vertical detector receives signal slightly before horizontal one → estimation of the size of the drop
 - 4 || sheets → periodic received signal → estimation of the velocity of the drop (see Ellis et al., 2006)

Multifractal analysis

Estimating statistics across scales

Definition of the fractal dimension D_f

number of pixels with rain = λ^{D_f}

If multifractal:
 $\langle R_\lambda^q \rangle = \lambda^{K(q)}$
 $\Pr(R_\lambda \geq \lambda^\gamma) \approx \lambda^{-c(\gamma)}$

Scaling moment function
 Codimension function

resolution = $\lambda = \frac{L}{l} = \frac{\text{outer_scale}}{\text{observation_scale}}$

Quantifying the scaling variability

In the framework of universal multifracts:

$$K(q) = \begin{cases} C_1(q^\alpha - 1) + Hq & \alpha \neq 1 \\ C_1 \ln q + Hq & \alpha = 1 \end{cases} \quad c(\gamma + H) = \begin{cases} C_1 \left(\frac{\gamma}{C_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha & \alpha \neq 1 \\ C_1 \exp\left(\frac{\gamma}{C_1} - 1\right) & \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

Only three parameters (based on the behavior of the average field)
 - H: degree of non-conservation ($K(1)=H$)
 - C_1 : mean intermittency ($K'(1)=C_1+H$)
 - α : multifractality index ($K''(1)=\alpha.C_1$)

Straightforward consequences on the extremes
 - Large α and C_1 → strong extremes

Estimation of the three exponents with the help of the double trace moment technique (DTM)

Comparison of 4 events

(1): 15th May 2013 3h15 Light rain / long duration
 (2): 16th May 2013 10h18 Very light rain / Average duration
 (3): 29th May 2013 21h18 Intense rain / short duration
 (4): 9th June 2013 4h43 Average intensity rain / long duration

Size/Velocity maps

- Along standard $V(D)$ curves
 - Heavier rainfall event → wider distribution
 - For (3) and (4) a spot for small D (effect of the building?)

Temporal evolution of $N(D)$

$$N(D_i) = \frac{1}{S_{eff}(D_i)\Delta D_i \Delta t} \sum_j \frac{n_{i,j}}{v_j}$$

Temporalevolution of $N(D)$

Raindrop diameter distribution

- Position of the peak similar for all curves
 - Greater values for heavier event
 - Wider tails for heavier event

Rain rate

$$R = 6\pi \int_0^\infty N(D) v(D) D^3 dD$$
 (Blue)

$$R = \frac{\pi}{6\Delta t} \sum_{i,j} \frac{n_{i,j} D_i^3}{S_{eff}(D_i)}$$
 (Red)

$$R_{PWS100}$$
 (Black)

19 June 2013, 17h20-17h50, a 10 year return period event !!

General features

Dur. (min)	I max (mm/h)	T* (Year)
30 min	57	8.9
15 min	72	6.1
5 min	154	10.3

* Estimated using a Fréchet law and the data from a rain gauge located 10 km away

Multifractal analysis and microphysics

Multifractal analysis tools applied to rain rate (R), liquid water content (ρ_l) and number density (n).

$$n = \int_{D_{min}}^{D_{max}} N(D) dD$$

$$\rho_l = \rho_w \frac{\pi}{6} \int_{D_{min}}^{D_{max}} N(D) D^3 dD$$

Multifractal analysis on a 3 month mean for rain rate

	R	ρ_l	n
α	1.61	1.59	1.34
C_1	0.10	0.14	0.10
β	1.98	2.00	1.82
H	0.58	0.62	0.49

Multifractal analysis on R

$\beta = 2.66$
 $\alpha = 1.69$
 $C_1 = 0.17$
 $H = 0.66$

Conclusion

- Some standard values of α (1.6-1.7), C_1 (0.1-0.2) and H (0.5-0.6) during a rainfall event
 - Multifractal tools can be used on disdrometers data to give an insight into the microphysical processes
 - Only preliminary results, the two OTT parsivel still need to be installed...!

References

Ellis, R.A. et al., 2006. New laser technology to determine present weather parameters. *Measurement Science & Technology*, 17(7): 1715-1722.
 Gires et al., 2013. Influence of small scale rainfall variability on standard comparison tools between radar and rain gauge data. Submitted to *Atm. Res.*
 Schertzer D., Lovejoy S., 2008. Turbulence, raindrops and the $1/2^3$ number density law. *New Journal of Physics* 10