



# Meta-analysis of environmental contamination by phtalates

Alexandre Bergé, Mathieu Cladière, Johnny Gasperi, Bruno Tassin, Régis  
Moilleron, Annie Coursimault

► **To cite this version:**

Alexandre Bergé, Mathieu Cladière, Johnny Gasperi, Bruno Tassin, Régis Moilleron, et al.. Meta-analysis of environmental contamination by phtalates. 2013. hal-00845814

**HAL Id: hal-00845814**

**<https://hal-enpc.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00845814>**

Submitted on 17 Jul 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Environmental Science and Pollution Research

## Meta-analysis of environmental contamination by phthalates.

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	
<b>Full Title:</b>	Meta-analysis of environmental contamination by phthalates.
<b>Article Type:</b>	Review Article
<b>Keywords:</b>	phthalates; DEHP; review; state-of-the-art
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Regis Moilleron, Ph.D Université Paris-Est Créteil CRETEIL Cedex, FRANCE
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Université Paris-Est Créteil
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Alexandre Berge, PhD
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Alexandre Berge, PhD Mathieu Cladière, Ph.D Johnny Gasperi, Ph.D Annie Coursimault Bruno Tassin, Ph.D Regis Moilleron, Ph.D
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p><b>Introduction:</b> Phthalate Acid Esters (PAE), commonly named Phthalates, are toxics classified as endocrine-disrupting compounds; they are primarily used as additives to improve the flexibility in polyvinyl chloride.</p> <p><b>Occurrence:</b> Many studies have reported the occurrence of phthalates in different environmental matrices, however none of these studies has yet establish a complete overview for those compounds in the water cycle within an urban environment. This review summarizes PAE concentrations for all environmental media throughout the water cycle, from atmosphere to receiving waters. Once the occurrences of compounds have been evaluated for each environmental compartment (urban wastewater, wastewater treatment plants, atmosphere and the natural environment), data were reviewed in order to identify the fate of PAE in the environment and establish whether geographical and historical trends exist. Indeed, geographical and historical trends appear between Europe and other countries such as USA/Canada and China, however they remain location-dependent.</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> This study aimed at identifying both the correlations existing between environmental compartments and the processes influencing the fate and transport of these contaminants in the environment. In Europe, the concentrations measured in waterways today represent the background level of contamination, which provides evidence of a past diffuse pollution. In contrast, an increasing trend has actually been observed for developing countries, especially for China.</p>
<b>Suggested Reviewers:</b>	<p>Karl J Rockne, Ph.D Associate Professor of Environmental Engineering , University of Illinois-Chicago krockne@uic.edu</p> <p>Walter Giger giger@eawag.ch</p>

	Peter Steen Mikkelsen psmi@env.dtu.dk
<b>Opposed Reviewers:</b>	

# Meta-analysis of environmental contamination by phthalates.

Bergé Alexandre<sup>1,2</sup>, Cladière Mathieu<sup>2</sup>, Gasperi Johnny<sup>2</sup>, Coursimault Annie<sup>1</sup>,  
Tassin Bruno<sup>3</sup>, Moilleron Régis<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>: *Laboratoire Central de la Préfecture de Police, 39 bis rue de Dantzig, 75015 Paris, France*

<sup>2</sup>: *Université Paris-Est, LEESU, UMR-MA 102-AgroParisTech, 61 avenue du Général de Gaulle, 94010 Créteil Cedex, France*

<sup>3</sup>: *Université Paris-Est, LEESU, UMR-MA 102-AgroParisTech, 6-8 avenue Blaise Pascal Cité Descartes, 77455 Champs-sur-Marne, France*

+33(0) 1.45.17.16.22

[moilleron@u-pec.fr](mailto:moilleron@u-pec.fr)

Abstract

*Introduction:* Phthalate Acid Esters (PAE), commonly named Phthalates, are toxics classified as endocrine-disrupting compounds; they are primarily used as additives to improve the flexibility in polyvinyl chloride.

*Occurrence:* Many studies have reported the occurrence of phthalates in different environmental matrices, however none of these studies has yet establish a complete overview for those compounds in the water cycle within an urban environment. This review summarizes PAE concentrations for all environmental media throughout the water cycle, from atmosphere to receiving waters. Once the occurrences of compounds have been evaluated for each environmental compartment (urban wastewater, wastewater treatment plants, atmosphere and the natural environment), data are reviewed in order to identify the fate of PAE in the environment and establish whether geographical and historical trends exist. Indeed, geographical and historical trends appear between Europe and other countries such as USA/Canada and China, however they remain location-dependent.

*Discussion:* This study aimed at identifying both the correlations existing between environmental compartments and the processes influencing the fate and transport of these contaminants into the environment. In Europe, the concentrations measured in waterways today represent the background level of contamination, which provides evidence of a past diffuse pollution. In contrast, an increasing trend has actually been observed for developing countries, especially for China.

*Keyword:* phthalates, DEHP, review, state-of-the-art.

## Introduction

Most of studies, published during the last three decades, have reported several categories of man-made chemicals, classified as “endocrine-disrupting

1 compounds” (EDCs). Among the most frequently cited EDCs, phthalates are of  
2 particular concern due to their ubiquity and generally higher levels found in  
3 environment comparatively to other EDCs (Sanchez-Avila et al. 2009; Fauser et  
4 al. 2003; Staples et al. 1997; Fatoki and Mathabatha 2001). The predominant use  
5 of Phthalic Acid Esters (PAE) is for improving flexibility in polyvinyl chloride  
6 (PVC) (Giam et al. 1984). For instance, the amount of DEHP in PVC depends on  
7 plastic composition. Some products can contain up to 50%, but typically there will  
8 be approximately 30% DEHP in most PVC products (Ranke 2005). Moreover,  
9 their applications extend to industrial and/or domestic sectors, depending on their  
10 molecular weight (Table 1). Low molecular weight, especially Dimethyl phthalate  
11 (DMP) and Diethyl phthalate (DEP) have therefore been incorporated into  
12 cosmetics, fragrance and other personal care-products. Besides, Di-n-butyl  
13 phthalate (DnBP) is also used in epoxy resins, cellulose esters and special  
14 adhesive formulations. Additionally, high molecular weight and branching alkyl  
15 chain PAEs, primarily Butylbenzyl phthalate (BBP), Di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP)  
16 and Di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) are being incorporated into food-  
17 packaging, building materials, home furnishing, clothing and medical products  
18 (Liu et al. 2010; Staples et al. 1997; Jackson and Sutton 2008; Cespedes et al.  
19 2004). Despite their various uses, PAE have in recent studies leveled off in  
20 American, Canadian and European production, mainly as a result of highly  
21 restrictive regulations (EU 2005, 2004, 2007, 2008; CPSI 2008), while worldwide  
22 output has been stagnating to 6,000,000 tons in 2006 (Peijnenburg and Struijs  
23 2006). This difference may stem from the increase in consumption from emerging  
24 nations, such as Brazil, Russia, India and China. The European and North  
25 American productions have however evolved because of the replacement of  
26 DEHP by heavier and more stable phthalates (Di-iso-nonyl phthalate and Di-iso-  
27 decyl phthalate) therefore less subject to release into the environment.

34 Despite their societal and economical benefits, PAE consumption has lead to the  
35 release of PAE into the environment, where they are now ubiquitous and can be  
36 found in air, water, sediments and soils. This study focuses more specifically on 6  
37 most commonly studied congeners of this family (Table 1): Dimethyl phthalate  
38 (DMP), Diethyl phthalate (DEP), Di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP), Butylbenzyl  
39 phthalate (BBP), Di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP) and Di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate  
40 (DEHP). Most studies in the literature examine the occurrence and behavior of  
41 these six compounds in various environmental matrices (surface water,  
42 wastewater, atmosphere and treated water) given that these compounds are  
43 regularly found in urban and environmental compartments at significant levels  
44 (tens or more  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ ) (Peijnenburg and Struijs 2006; Vethaak et al. 2005; Cespedes  
45 et al. 2004; Fauser et al. 2003; Abb et al. 2009).

## 49 Strategy of this review

54 Most of the literature on PAEs in the environment, as published since 1990  
55 (Staples et al. 1997; Vethaak et al. 2005; Deblonde et al. 2011) have been  
56 concerned with one or more compartments, primarily downstream compartments  
57 such as WWTP effluent and receiving waters, but none of these works has dealt  
58 with all environmental compartments, in particular urban wastewater, nor with  
59 PAE behavior throughout the whole water cycle. As similarly done for the meta-  
60 analysis of environmental contamination by alkylphenols (Bergé et al. 2012a), the  
61

1 purpose of this review is to collect a substantial dataset for all compartments (Fig.  
2 1) from the atmosphere (gaseous phase and rain water) and extending to urban  
3 areas (industrial, residential and man-made wastewater, WWTP influent and  
4 effluent, WWTP sludge and stormwater) and the natural environment (surface  
5 water, sediments and soils). Unfortunately, the set of congeners reported in the  
6 various papers has not been consistent and equivalent between compartments.  
7 Some papers have reported on as few as one or two congeners (DEHP, DnBP or  
8 DEP), while others have reported on four or six (DMP, DEP, DnBP, BBP, DEHP  
9 and DnOP). In this review, PAE refers systematically to the sum of these six  
10 congeners. From the database we built, statistical calculations have been derived  
11 for certain sample sets. The year of sample extraction was not specified in all  
12 references, so it was assumed that the samples were extracted on the article  
13 publication date. It was also decided that this review focused exclusively on  
14 environmental contamination and not on biota or bioaccumulation processes.  
15 Moreover, the concentration ranges reported in all tables correspond to minimal  
16 and maximal concentrations of each compound for each compartment. The other  
17 values represent median concentrations calculated by authors. This review is also  
18 aimed at determining whether temporal and/or geographical trends can be drawn  
19 in PAE contamination as observed for alkylphenols (Bergé et al. 2012a). To  
20 achieve this objective, the data were examined from geographical perspective.  
21 Recommendations for further research will also be provided.  
22  
23  
24  
25

## 26 **ATMOSPHERE**

### 27 **Air contamination**

28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 PAE in the atmosphere or in urban areas are generated by various emission  
35 sources, including volatilization from materials, industrial processes, waste  
36 combustion and wastewater treatment processes (Salapasidou et al. 2011). It has  
37 been calculated that approximately 4% of the quantity of DEHP can evaporate  
38 from materials such as floorings, rain clothes, toys, soles of shoes (Ranke 2005).  
39 DEHP was indeed detected in aerosols emitted from the aeration tank of a WWTP  
40 ranging from 71.1 to 228 ng/m<sup>3</sup> (Lepri et al. 2000). As shown in Table 2, a small  
41 number of air samples (10 references in all) has been reported for PAE. From data  
42 collected, PAE contamination globally lies in the 1-50 ng.m<sup>-3</sup> range for all  
43 congeners, except for DEHP presenting higher levels (up to 3,640 ng.m<sup>-3</sup>). The  
44 analysis of this database reveals that European samples were consistently above  
45 the values reported in the world (USA, China, etc.), and DEHP was the most  
46 abundant phthalate in air, with concentrations ranging from 0.08 to 3,640 ng/m<sup>3</sup>  
47 (Müller et al. 2003). Recent studies conducted by Tlili et al. (2010) and Teil et al.  
48 (2006), in the Parisian area (France), underscored that phthalates are preferentially  
49 associated with the gaseous phase rather than aerosols. For instance, Tlili et al.  
50 (2010) reported that between 60% to 70% against 40% to 30%, respectively, of  
51 phthalates are associated to gaseous phase. In addition, the same authors reported  
52 that the phthalates exhibiting short alkyl chains and high vapor pressures  
53 (especially, DMP and DEP) are predominant in the gaseous phase and inversely  
54 for the others compounds. Study conducted by Xie et al. (2005) showed that the  
55 air-sea exchange was preferentially dominated by the deposition, especially for  
56 DnBP (-60 to -686 ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d) and BBP (-4 to -28 ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d). Additionally to this  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 latter point, the fluxes calculated for DEHP (-95 to 686 ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d) highlighted a  
2 more complicated mechanism. Moreover, DnBP and DEHP total (dry and wet)  
3 depositions, to the Great Lakes (Canada), provided by Eisenreich et al. (1981)  
4 were estimated at 3.7 and 16 tons per year, respectively. This indicates that the  
5 atmosphere could be one of the major contamination sources of PAEs. However,  
6 according to Staples et al. (1997) and, more recently to Xie et al. (2007),  
7 phthalates are subject to photo-degradation, and therefore generally do not persist  
8 in the atmosphere. Authors reported photo-degradation half-lives of common  
9 phthalates ranging from 0.3 to 15 days. A study led by Salapasidou et al. (2011)  
10 underlined that concentrations of DEHP were significantly higher on urban-traffic  
11 areas (4.63 to 45.0 ng/m<sup>3</sup>; median 19.4 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) than on urban-industrial site (up to  
12 6.50 ng/m<sup>3</sup>; median 2.80 ng/m<sup>3</sup>) implying generally an input from vehicular  
13 emissions. Similarly, Wang et al. (2008) reported that concentrations were about  
14 3.5 higher above urban sites than above suburban sites. These authors attributed  
15 this difference to both many point-sources and environmental recycling.  
16 Additionally, Dargnat (2008) underlined the presence of seasonal variability  
17 above the Parisian area, with smaller concentrations for spring and during  
18 summer. This was previously observed by Guidotti et al. (2000) in Italy and Teil  
19 et al. (2006) in France above the same area. Due to the limited number of studies  
20 available, no distinct temporal or geographical trend could be drawn.  
21  
22  
23  
24

## 25 **Rain water**

26  
27  
28  
29 A few measurements of PAE in rain water have been undertaken (see Table 3, 10  
30 references in all). Outdoor levels of DEHP lie on the order of 0.02 to 39.0 µg.l<sup>-1</sup>  
31 and 0.03 to 11.0 µg.l<sup>-1</sup> for DnBP. At the scale of Europe, data have shown that  
32 PAE concentrations in rain water seem to decline, which implies that the  
33 environment in European countries is exposed to decreasing contamination. In  
34 addition, data show that PAE concentrations in rain water are more important in  
35 Northern European countries than Southern European countries, which implies  
36 that the fate of PAE in the atmosphere could be governed by atmospheric currents.  
37 A similar phenomenon has been reported for alkylphenols (Bergé et al. 2012a).  
38 Dargnat (2008) emphasized that passive volatilization from buildings, in urban  
39 areas, was the prevailing source of phthalate in rain water. Author therefore  
40 concluded that phthalate uses in building materials constitutes a significant source  
41 of contamination. Finally, Vethaak et al. (2005), in the Netherlands, reported that  
42 most of phthalates present in rain water were at concentrations comparable to  
43 those in surface water.  
44  
45  
46  
47

## 48 **URBAN AREAS**

### 49 **Sewer contamination**

#### 50 *Industrial, man-made and residential wastewater*

51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60 As reported in Table 4 and Figure 2, only a few measurements of PAE have been  
61 performed in wastewater (4-5 references for each type of wastewater). Industrial  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 DEHP levels were in the 0.01 to 4,400  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  range (median: 34.6  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ), i.e. twice  
2 as low as levels in residential wastewater (3.30-160  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ; median: 61.3  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ )  
3 and in man-made wastewater (0.60-470  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ; median: 66.0  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ). In Europe and  
4 North America, it has been reported that high DEHP and other phthalate  
5 concentrations were measured in industrial wastewater. In the USA, for instance,  
6 DEHP levels of 4,400  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  were measured in untreated industrial wastewater  
7 (Clark et al. 2003). Similarly, Jackson and Sutton (2008) quoted DEHP, DEP and  
8 DnBP levels reaching 2,700, 100 and 120  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  in industrial laundry and adhesive  
9 manufacturer wastewaters. Both studies led by Vethaak et al. (2005) and Sanchez-  
10 Avila et al. (2009) showed that levels between 45 and 100  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  have also been  
11 measured in residential wastewater in the Netherlands and Spain. Some authors  
12 mentioned that the high concentrations measured in Maresme wastewater  
13 (Catalonia, Spain) and Parisian sewer network (France) would point out that PAE  
14 are still being produced and used in industrial, household and agricultural  
15 formulations despite the implementation of European regulations (EU 2005, 2004,  
16 2007) restricting their use within the EU to levels  $< 0.1\%$  (Sanchez-Avila et al.  
17 2009; Bergé et al. 2012c).

### 21 *Wastewater Treatment Plant Influent*

22  
23  
24  
25 As opposed to industrial, man-made and residential wastewater, a large number of  
26 WWTP samples have been analyzed for PAEs (see Table 5, i.e. 13 references).  
27 Moreover, according to these concentrations, the PAE median levels reported for  
28 WWTP influent were in the same order of magnitude than residential and man-  
29 made wastewater but in the lower range of industrial wastewater (PAE: 50.7  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$   
30 in WWTP influent vs 72.6, 42.8 and 139.9  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  in these types of wastewater,  
31 respectively, Fig. 2) (Jackson and Sutton 2008; Sanchez-Avila et al. 2009; Bergé  
32 et al. 2012b). The variations in PAE concentrations among WWTP influent have  
33 been further investigated using published data, which have provided sufficient  
34 data for geographical areas including Europe and North America (Fig. 3).  
35 Surprisingly, no data was available for Chinese plants. This figure also points out  
36 the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles (i.e. “the whiskers”) as well as the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup>  
37 percentiles (“boxes”); the medians are also highlighted. PAE median  
38 concentrations in samples from North America and Europe were quite similar:  
39 49.5 and 51.8  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ , respectively. It is also interesting to note that European  
40 countries display only a few outliers to the high side (see Table 5). In Spain and  
41 France, these outlier concentrations typically exceed 160 and 100  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ , i.e. 2-3  
42 times the average level, respectively. These outliers are not yet explained,  
43 although the presence of untreated loaded industrial effluent could be  
44 hypothesized. Additionally, the database analysis indicates that the PAE  
45 concentrations measured in French influent were quite similar to those measured  
46 in Europe except in Spain, where DEHP concentrations in influent were  
47 significantly lower: i.e. 5 times the average level (Reyes-Contreras et al. 2011;  
48 Martin-Ruel et al. 2010; Bergé et al. 2012b). This difference could be due to the  
49 lower DEHP consumptions in Spain where this congener was found in lower  
50 levels than in other European countries.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



## Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluent

1  
2  
3  
4 Understanding the biodegradation processes of phthalic compounds proves to be a  
5 critical factor in predicting the fate of these compounds in the environment. From  
6 an overall standpoint, the removal of PAE by conventional activated sludge  
7 WWTPs has been well documented (Dargnat et al. 2009; Tan et al. 2007; Barnabé  
8 et al. 2008; Marttinen et al. 2003). Most of studies reported removal up to 90%.  
9 Additionally, recent studies conducted by Bergé et al. (2012b) and Gasperi et al.  
10 (2010) explained that biofiltration coupled to physicochemical lamellar  
11 clarification could be a promising alternative to activated sludge tanks for today  
12 plant built in large urbanized areas where the building pressure makes available  
13 land scarce. A large number of samples from WWTP effluent have been analyzed  
14 with respect to PAE (see Table 6). Generally speaking, effluent concentrations lie  
15 in the range of 0.02-49.9  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$  (mean: 0.80  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ ) for DEP and 0.02-69.0  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$   
16 (mean: 2.44  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ ) for DEHP, with PAE ranges extending from 0.07 to 108  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ .  
17 As with WWTP influents, no clear difference has been observed for PAE  
18 concentrations in final effluent whatever the location (Fig. 3). Median PAE  
19 concentrations in the samples from North America and Europe were quite similar,  
20 lying in the 4.85-5.35  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$  range. As previously mentioned for WWTP influent,  
21 European effluent has exhibited a few high outliers. In Spain, these outlier  
22 concentrations typically exceeded 50  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ ; i.e. 10 times the average level. The  
23 little availability of phthalate data before 2000 does not allow defining a trend of  
24 these compounds in the discharges of WWTP. Surprisingly, the PAE median  
25 concentration measured in Spanish WWTP effluent exceeded that in WWTP  
26 influent (de los Rios et al. 2012; Bizkarguenaga et al. 2012; Sanchez-Avila et al.  
27 2009; Sanchez-Avila et al. 2012). However, no explanation could be brought by  
28 authors to elucidate this difference, but the WWTP efficiency could however be  
29 subject to questioning.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 According to our review, PAE can display moderate (50%) to high (94%) removal  
37 rates. In addition, a study conducted by Vogelsang et al. (2006) explained that  
38 chemical and biological treatment eliminates from 50-60% of phthalates in a  
39 Norwegian WWTP. In Spain, Sanchez-Avila et al. (2009) reported PAE removal  
40 efficiency of 68%. Finally, a study led by Marttinen et al. (2003) explained that  
41 DEHP has been removed from wastewater with an efficiency of 94%. In addition,  
42 both studies conducted by Fauser et al. (2003) and in EU (2008) reported similar  
43 removal efficiencies for DEHP. Ordinarily, phthalates are removed by different  
44 processes occurring within WWTP, including solid settlement, sorption to sludge,  
45 volatilization, biodegradation, hydrolysis and/or photolysis (Rogers 1996).  
46 Sorption on sludge is considered to be one of the major pathways for PAE  
47 removal in WWTP. Moreover, even if DEHP may be considered inherently  
48 biodegradable under aerobic conditions (Staples et al. 1997), it was not removed  
49 by the biological pathway. A study conducted by Gavala et al. (2004) showed that  
50 enzymatic pretreatment increased DEHP biodegradation rate in secondary sludge.  
51 Furthermore, it was showed that recirculating sludge and thus retaining specific  
52 micro-organisms could stabilize removal capacity up to 86% whereas continuous  
53 flow had a varying removal of 77-88% (Oliver et al. 2007, 2005). Alternatively,  
54 Vogelsang et al. (2006) and Staples et al. (1997) reported that DEP, a soluble and  
55 biodegradable compound under aerobic conditions, has been removed during  
56 biological treatment process, although it has not been significantly eliminated by  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 any chemical or mechanical sedimentation processes. As a general rule, in WWTP  
2 effluent, DEHP is expected to be the most abundant congener. Phthalates'  
3 distribution is however lightly different, compared to WWTP effluents, with a  
4 higher proportion of DnBP and DEP (Fig. 4).  
5

## 6 **Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge**

7

8  
9 As mentioned above, sorption on sludge is considered to be one of the major  
10 pathways for PAE removal in WWTP (Kinney et al. 2006; Cai et al. 2007;  
11 Barnabé et al. 2008; Clara et al. 2010) (Fig. 5). A large number of samples have  
12 been analyzed for PAEs (see Table 7, i.e. 30 references). In accordance with its  
13 predominance in wastewater, Harrison et al. (2006) and Tan et al. (2007) showed  
14 that DEHP was the most abundant phthalate in sludge (Fig. 4). In addition, most  
15 studies have reported significant DEHP levels in sludge, i.e. in the range of 150-  
16 600 mg/kg.dw. For example, levels up to 3,514 mg/kg.dw in digested sludge from  
17 Spain (Abad et al. 2005), 661 mg/kg.dw in digested sludge from Sweden  
18 (Sweetman 1994) and 578 mg/kg.dw in digested sludge from USA (Staples et al.  
19 1997) have been recorded. Additionally, a recent study conducted by Clara et al.  
20 (2010) explained that PAE sorption importance and phthalate removals via sludge  
21 increased with the molecular weight, and is therefore depending on the molecular  
22 weight (Table 1). As a complement, authors reported that proportional mass  
23 fraction removed with the sludge amounts to 3% for DMP, 1% for DEP, 76% for  
24 DnBP, 21% for BBP and 78% for DEHP. Generally speaking, sludge contents lie  
25 in the range of 0.02-2.00 mg/kg.dw (mean: 0.19 mg/kg.dw) for DMP, 0.01-11.0  
26 mg/kg.dw (mean: 0.45 mg/kg.dw) for DEP and 0.32-3,514 mg/kg.dw (mean: 60.3  
27 mg/kg.dw) for DEHP. It is interesting to note that certain phthalates display only a  
28 few outliers to the high side. For DnBP and BBP, the outlier contents typically  
29 exceed 260 and 35 mg/kg.dw, respectively, i.e., 490 and 150 times the average  
30 level (0.53 and 0.23 mg/kg.dw, respectively). The little availability of phthalate  
31 removal efficiencies in biosolids suggested that heat drying and anaerobic  
32 digestion were less effective at reducing phthalates, particularly DEHP, than  
33 composting. As a complement, both studies conducted by Gibson et al. (2005) and  
34 Williams (2007) reported that composting removes between 64 and 70% of  
35 DEHP, respectively. Based on our database, PAE contents are statistically close  
36 regardless of the location considered, especially between European and Chinese  
37 samples, and moreover DEHP contents have been decreasing in both  
38 anaerobically-digested sludge and final sludge.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

## 47 **Stormwater**

48

49  
50 Although it has been established that stormwater is responsible for the spread of  
51 pollutants, especially in urban areas, data concerning the emission, occurrence and  
52 fate of PAE in stormwater remains poorly reported (Björklund et al. 2009; Rule et  
53 al. 2006; Makepeace et al. 1995; Clara et al. 2010; Pitt et al. 1999; Zgheib et al.  
54 2012). According to these authors, phthalates in stormwater originated from  
55 plasticizers in PVC, paints, building materials, etc. For instance, wastewater from  
56 car washes can also make a significant contribution to the emission of DEHP  
57 (Vikelseo et al. 1998). Interestingly, PAEs are not chemically bound to the  
58 material and may migrate from products during use and disposal (Cadogan et al.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1993). DEHP has been measured at concentrations between 0.45 and 24.0  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  in urban stormwater in Austria (Clara et al. 2010). DEHP was also measured in all urban and suburban samples between 3 and 58  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  (Zgheib et al. 2012). Such levels were higher to those previously reported for stormwater in Sweden (5  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ , (Björklund et al. 2009)) and in London (0.75 to 1.25  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ , (Rule et al. 2006)). Finally, screening performed in the 1990s revealed higher concentrations of phthalates in stormwater compared to results from studies performed in 2000s, from less than ten times higher for DnOP and DEHP (Makepeace et al. 1995) up to 140 times higher for BBP (Pitt et al. 1999; Björklund et al. 2009). The higher concentrations measured in previous studies may be explained by the difference between phthalate uses a decade ago and the current situation.

## NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

### Surface water

Surface water is commonly considered as the natural compartment most affected by human pressures, since this water is subjected to the discharges of treated and/or untreated wastewater and/or stormwater (Lin et al. 2009). Therefore, throughout the world, the occurrence and fate of PAE in surface water have been well documented, as demonstrated by the 28 references pertaining to surface water contamination (see Table 8). First, close attention must be paid when comparing concentrations reported in literature, since industrialized and urbanized watershed have been monitored (Vitali et al. 1997; Tan 1995; Yuan et al. 2002; Long et al. 1998; Sha et al. 2007; Zhu and Qiu 2011; Dargnat 2008). Globally and at the world scale, PAE contamination in surface water varies from few  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  to several tens of  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ . The variations in PAE concentrations in surface water can be examined using published data, which provide sufficient details for determining the statistical distribution of concentrations. Figure 3 summarizes data for three geographical areas, namely Europe, North America and China. The median DEHP concentrations for Europe and China are very similar, i.e. 1.05 and 1.11  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ , respectively; the median concentration in the North American samples is relatively lower (0.27  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ). Moreover, median PAE concentrations in the North American samples (0.29  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ) are notably lower than the Chinese (1.24  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ) and European samples (1.18  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ). It is also interesting to note that all geographical areas displayed a few high outliers. In United Kingdom (Long et al. 1998), these outlier concentrations typically exceeded 15  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  (i.e., 12 times the average level). In addition, in China (Sha et al. 2007), they were above 28  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$  (i.e., 20 times the average level).

It is obvious from the database that PAE concentrations in European surface water first increased before exhibiting a decrease due to tighter regulations (EU 2005, 2004, 2007). It would be appropriate to treat these datasets as two separate time series (Fig. 6). The first series contains the samples from European countries before adoption of the Water Framework Directive (Thüren 1986; Ernst et al. 1988; Fatoki and Vernon 1990; Law et al. 1991; Vitali et al. 1997; Long et al. 1998; Belfroid et al. 1999), all of them exhibit PAE concentrations from 0.04 to 15.8  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ . The second series is composed of samples from European countries after the WFD implementation (Penalver et al. 2001; Fromme et al. 2002; Vethaak

1 et al. 2005; Bendz et al. 2005; Prieto et al. 2007; Sanchez-Avila et al. 2012),  
2 revealing lower concentrations, i.e. of about  $1.16 \mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$  for the sum of PAE. The  
3 PAE concentrations plotted as a function of time for these two series are shown in  
4 Figure 6. In contrast, concentrations measured in the Chinese surface water have  
5 been increasing for the last decade (see Table 8). This is the result of the constant  
6 increase of industrialization, consumptions of phthalates and absence of regulation  
7 in China and more generally in developing countries (Zhu and Qiu 2011; Zeng et  
8 al. 2008; Sha et al. 2007; Li et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2012).  
9

10 Most studies focusing on surface water analyze only the dissolved phase, hence  
11 only a few results are available for suspended solids (Table 9; four references  
12 available). According to these four studies, levels of phthalates in suspended  
13 solids range from a few to 630 mg/kg.dw (Table 9). Such contamination levels  
14 may be explained by the high hydrophobicity of DEHP and DnBP (with respect to  
15 their Log  $K_{ow}$ ; see Table 1), which leads to sorption and accumulation of these  
16 compounds on suspended solids (Gounaris et al. 1993; Sha et al. 2007). For less  
17 hydrophobic compounds, contents are lower, i.e. about between 0.05 and 0.20  
18 mg/kg for all compounds, except DEHP (0.70-630 mg/kg). Finally, suspended  
19 solids may, however, play a key role in aquatic systems since, under low-flow  
20 conditions, particles can settle and contribute to sediment formation, thus yielding  
21 contaminant stocks (Fig. 1 and Fig. 5). This phenomenon has been demonstrated  
22 for some heavy metals, pesticides and PCBs by Zgheib et al. (2012).  
23  
24  
25  
26

## 27 Sediments

28  
29  
30  
31 Several sediment samples have been collected from rivers and lakes across  
32 Europe, North America and developing countries (particularly China and India)  
33 (Vitali et al. 1997; Fromme et al. 2002; Yuan et al. 2002; Vethaak et al. 2005; Sha  
34 et al. 2007; Zeng et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2010). The amount of DnBP and DEHP  
35 measured in these samples (see Table 10; 23 references) varied from 0.01 to 115  
36 mg/kg.dw (median, 0.44 and 1.90 mg/kg.dw, respectively). Generally speaking,  
37 DMP and DEP were not detected in sediments (Fig. 4), mainly due to their low  
38 hydrophobicity. In addition, a recent study led by Liu et al. (2010) reported that  
39 DEP is easily degraded in top material and cannot be eluted in deep sediments.  
40 Moreover, under anaerobic conditions, DnBP and BBP are easily degraded  
41 whereas DEP and DEHP were poorly removed (Yuan et al. 2002). From the  
42 exhaustive data in literature, it is clear that PAE are often present in sediment  
43 regardless of the location (Fig. 2). The variation in phthalate contents among  
44 sediments was examined using published data, which provided sufficient details  
45 for determining the statistical distribution of these concentrations. Huang et al.  
46 (2005) examined the evolution of DEHP sediment content along a river transects  
47 densely populated. They revealed the existence of dynamic processes occurring in  
48 surface water, such as sorption to settleable particles and atmospheric  
49 volatilization governing the fate of phthalates in the environment and could lead  
50 to the formation of sinks in sediments and atmospheric compartment.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 A comparison between Tables 9 and 10 indicates that the PAE contents found in  
57 sediments are close to those found in suspended solids (Fig. 5). As previously  
58 mentioned and highlighted in Figure 1, sediments and suspended solids are linked  
59 through sedimentation during low-flow periods and through re-suspension during  
60 high-flow periods (Mitsunobu and Takahashi 2006). Presumably, the high  $K_{ow}$  of  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 certain phthalates explains their sorption to particles in water, which in turn settle  
2 to form sediment (Staples et al. 1997). Additionally, this phthalate accumulation  
3 in sediments is fed and promoted by anthropogenic suspended solids, such as  
4 particles released by municipal WWTP and untreated water (Srivastava et al.  
5 2009; Huang et al. 2005).

## 6 7 **Soils**

8  
9  
10 Several measurements of phthalates in soils have been undertaken (see Table 11,  
11 12 references); these have mainly concerned agricultural and urban soils. DEHP  
12 and DnBP levels ranged, respectively, from 0.02 to 264 mg/kg.dw (median: 3.33  
13 mg/kg.dw) and 0.01 to 30.1 mg/kg.dw (median: 0.96 mg/kg.dw) for both types of  
14 soils. A study performed by Michael et al. (1984) highlighted that these two  
15 compounds could enter soils via irrigation and pesticide application. In addition,  
16 both studies conducted by Wang et al. (2003) and Dolgen et al. (2007) reported  
17 that sewage sludge application could also lead to soil contamination,  
18 phytotoxicity, and could cause the accumulation of phthalates in the food supply.  
19 Some studies have observed high biodegradation rates of DnBP and DEHP in  
20 soils (Juneson et al. 2001; DiGennaro et al. 2005). Biodegradation however is not  
21 the only pathway for eliminating DEHP and DnBP in soils. Other processes, such  
22 as hydrolysis and photolysis may affect DEHP and DnBP concentrations and  
23 occurrence rates. These processes however are recognized to be less important  
24 than biodegradation (Yan et al. 1995). A study led by Chang et al. (2004)  
25 explained that optimal PAE degradation is enhanced when DnBP and DEHP are  
26 present simultaneously. It may be due to the large carbon source and energy  
27 provided by both compounds. In addition, in specific physicochemical conditions,  
28 DEP biodegradation can lead to the formation of DMP and its monoester  
29 (Cartwright et al. 2000). Interestingly and according to the available literature on  
30 soils (Table 11), similar contents for agricultural and urban soils have been  
31 observed. This homogeneity is quite surprising but could be explained by a global  
32 contamination through atmospheric deposits (Fig. 1). Nonetheless, a direct  
33 comparison of soil contamination is rather difficult and subtle, since various  
34 processes may be occurring. The differences or homogeneity observed can in fact  
35 reflect different inputs, environmental factors, including oxygen availability and  
36 nutrient amounts in soil, and sources or either different dynamic pollutants in the  
37 soils (Semple et al. 2001; Namkoong et al. 2002).

38  
39  
40 Compost particle sizes have been investigated by several studies (Amellal et al.  
41 2001; Delhomenie et al. 2002; Chang et al. 2009). Authors explained that the  
42 smaller the particle was, the higher the specific surface was and the lower the  
43 porosity was. This favored the microorganism settlement and therefore promoted  
44 microbial degradation activity. Finally, the use of straw compost in remediating  
45 contaminated soil by phthalates is therefore effective to solid wastes recycling.  
46 The variation in PAE contents among soils was examined using published data,  
47 which provided sufficient details for determining the statistical distribution of  
48 these contents. Figure 3 shows the data from Europe and China. Soils in China  
49 (3.09 mg/kg.dw) seem to be slightly more contaminated than European soils (0.17  
50 mg/kg.dw). This difference may be linked to several factors: i) greater use or  
51 consumption of phthalate-containing goods in China; ii) a higher contamination of  
52 air in China, and iii) an absence of pertinent regulations on sludge amendment.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

It is now obvious from the concentrations and contents measured in the different environmental compartments that phthalates are ubiquitous environmental contaminants. Their concentrations and trends in most environmental compartments however remain location-dependent. This review has highlighted some geographical disparities, especially between Europe, North America and China. In Europe, historical trends were also highlighted. In pursuit of the WFD implementation, more specific data for most congeners are needed in upstream compartments to enable source elucidation and to elaborate potential source control action. It is obviously important to measure all industrially-significant phthalates across all samples. It is also important to monitor chemicals used to replace phthalates after the implementations of restrictive regulations worldwide, such as Di-iso-nonyl phthalate (DiNP) and Di-iso-decyl phthalate (DiDP). Finally, phthalate metabolites have to be investigated, which are supposed to be the active molecule of the estrogenic effect (Rais-Bahrami et al. 2004).

As mentioned above, DEHP is always present in all environmental compartments. It is moreover the abundant phthalate in all matrices, particularly in solid matrices, such as sludge and suspended solids (Fig. 4). The result is that what is left over from many industrial and commercial products of this compound leads to the contamination of the wastewater of urban areas.

In Europe, regulations banning products containing certain phthalates (DEHP, DnBP and BBP) have been implemented since 2000. It is therefore relevant to evaluate the effectiveness of these regulations by means of reliable and accurate measurements of the PAE contamination levels for sediments, soils and surface water as a function of time. Indeed, each day without consistent restrictions means that phthalates are produced in huge quantities and it can subsequently result on a widespread use in a lot of products leading to uncontrolled environmental discharges and dissemination. Recent observations in Europe have however shown that PAE concentrations have not been increasing. Moreover, a decreasing trend has actually been observed just over the last few years. Nevertheless, it is important to track these changes closely. As previously noted however, sediments and atmosphere behave like major sinks for PAE in the environment. The role of atmosphere has to be better understood since atmosphere seems to play a key role in PAE widespread. Recent measurements in China, whatever the media, have indicated increasing phthalate contents and concentrations. In addition, the environmental data exhibit a large spatial variability, reflecting differences in phthalate contaminations in various areas of China, which might be caused by uneven development of heavy industry, the imbalance of enforcement or the specific geographical location. Finally, even if trends show decreases across industrialized countries, especially in Europe and North America, the levels being recovered are still significant (up to  $100 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ ) and still contribute to build the background level. A similar trend has been observed for alkylphenols (Bergé et al. 2012a). In addition, and based on the data collected, there are a few samples with very high levels as compared to the average (see the high outliers in all environmental media). A closer attention needs to be paid to these data – why are

1 these few samples so highly contaminated? Is it related to industrial activities or  
2 to unknown diffuse sources? Understanding these outliers may contribute to  
3 elucidate the mechanisms by which these samples have become contaminated.

4 The mechanisms involving these contaminants between the environmental  
5 compartments cannot be easily distinguished; possibilities include:  
6 biodegradation, volatilization, sorption to biomass, and particle sedimentation.  
7 The three latter mechanisms may be important in explaining the distribution  
8 changes throughout the whole water cycle from wastewater to the natural  
9 environment. This change is featured by an increase of DnBP and a decrease of  
10 DEHP and DEP (Fig. 4). Research on these mechanisms, particularly the  
11 processes acting in WWTP, is required in order to improve better understanding  
12 and to quantify what proportion is being volatilized into the atmospheric  
13 compartment and how much is adsorbed to biomass. The latest research on  
14 WWTP has shown high efficiency of the processes acting on sewage treatment  
15 plants as well as an insignificant contribution from discharges (Dagnat et al.  
16 2009; Bergé et al. 2012b; Martin-Ruel et al. 2010). Additionally, latest research  
17 showed that DEHP removal was dependent on various parameters, but that the  
18 type of biomass could significantly enhance removal (Oliver et al. 2007, 2005).  
19 The removal of phthalates from wastewater can, however, be improved by adding  
20 tertiary treatment such as nanofiltration, reverse osmosis, ozone oxidation, UV  
21 irradiation or activated carbon filters to existing processes, in spite of the high cost  
22 of these processes (Bodzek et al. 2004; Agenson et al. 2003; Verliefde et al.  
23 2007; Oh et al. 2006).

24 A serious element dictating the fate of micro-pollutants in urban areas is their  
25 release into the environment during storms. During wet-weather periods, the  
26 operations of wastewater treatment plants are modified and may influence the  
27 quality of effluent discharged into the environment (Bergé et al. 2012a; Gilbert et  
28 al. 2011; Bergé et al. 2012b). Additionally, combined sewer overflow discharges  
29 can happen (Zgheib et al. 2012; Gasperi et al. 2008). During wet-weather events,  
30 the re-suspension of in-sewer deposits provides for additional pollution at the  
31 WWTP. This additional contamination can then increase the load of phthalates  
32 and disturb usual plant operations and, in return, efficiency. Finally, nowadays, no  
33 information is available to evaluate the runoff contribution to wastewater.

- 1 Abad E, Martinez K, Planas C, Palacios O, Caixach J, Rivera J (2005) Priority  
2 organic pollutant assessment of sludges for agricultural purposes.  
3 *Chemosphere* 61 (9):1358-1369
- 4 Abb M, Heinrich T, Sorkau E, Lorenz W (2009) Phthalates in house dust.  
5 *Environment International* 35 (6):965-970
- 6 Agenson KO, Oh JI, Urase T (2003) Retention of a wide variety of organic  
7 pollutants by different nanofiltration/reverse osmosis membrane:  
8 Controlling parameters of process. *Journal of Membrane Science* 225 (1-  
9 2):91-103
- 10 Amellal N, Portal JM, Vogel T, Berthelin J (2001) Distribution and location of  
11 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and PAH-degrading bacteria  
12 within polluted soil aggregates. *Biodegradation* 12:49-57
- 13 Aparicio I, Santos JL, Alonso E (2009) Limitation of the concentration of organic  
14 pollutants in sewage sludge for agricultural purposes: A case study in  
15 South Spain. *Waste Management* 29 (5):1747-1753
- 16 Atlas E, Giam CS (1988) Ambient concentrations and precipitation scavenging of  
17 atmospheric organic pollutants. *Water Air and Soil Pollutions* 38:19-36
- 18 Barnabé S, Beauchesne I, Cooper DG, Nicell JA (2008) Plasticizers and their  
19 degradation products in the process streams of a large urban  
20 physicochemical sewage treatment plant. *Water Research* 42 (1-2):153-  
21 162
- 22 Belfroid CA, Van der Horst A, Vethaak AD, Schafer AJ, Rijs GBJ, Wegener J  
23 (1999) Analysis and occurrence of estrogenic hormones and their  
24 glucuronides in surface water and waste water in the Netherlands. *Science  
25 of The Total Environment* 225:101-108
- 26 Bendz D, Paxéus NA, Ginn TR, Loge FJ (2005) Occurrence and fate of  
27 pharmaceutically active compounds in the environment, a case study: Haje  
28 River in Sweden. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 122 (3):195-204
- 29 Bergé A, Cladière M, Gasperi J, Coursimault A, Tassin B, Moilleron R (2012a)  
30 Meta-analysis of environmental contamination by alkylphenols.  
31 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*:1-22. doi:10.1007/s11356-  
32 012-1094-7
- 33 Bergé A, Gasperi J, Rocher V, Coursimault A, Moilleron R Phthalate and  
34 alkylphenol removal within wastewater treatment plants using  
35 physicochemical lamellar clarification and biofiltration. In: Institute W  
36 (ed) *Water Pollution XI*, New Forest, United Kingdom, 2012b. Weissex  
37 Institute,
- 38 Bergé A, Gasperi J, Rocher V, Coursimault A, Moilleron R (2012c) Occurrence  
39 and fate of phthalate in urban area: case of Parisian sewer network and  
40 wastewater treatment plant (in French). *Technics, Sciences and methods*  
41 4:21-29
- 42 Bizkarguenaga E, Ros O, Iparraguirre A, Navarro P, Vallejo A, Usobiaga A,  
43 Zuloaga O (2012) Solid-phase extraction combined with large volume  
44 injection-programmable temperature vaporization-gas chromatography-  
45 mass spectrometry for the multiresidue determination of priority and  
46 emerging organic pollutants in wastewater. *Journal of Chromatography A*  
47 1247 (0):104-117
- 48 Björklund K, Cousins AP, Strömvall A-M, Malmqvist P-A (2009) Phthalates and  
49 nonylphenols in urban runoff: Occurrence, distribution and area emission  
50 factors. *Science of The Total Environment* 407 (16):4665-4672



- 1 Bodzek M, Dudziak M, Luks-Betlej K (2004) Application of membrane  
2 techniques to water purification. Removal of phthalates. *Desalination* 162  
3 (121-128)
- 4 Boutrup S, Plesner T (2001) Anthropogenic substances in Arhus County 1998-  
5 2001. Wastewater, runoff from impervious surfaces and atmospheric  
6 deposition. Arhus Amt, Natur-og Miljokontoret, Hojbjerg, Denmark
- 7 Cadogan DF, Papez M, Poppe AC, Pugh DM, Scheubel J An assessment of the  
8 release, occurrence and possible effects of plasticizers in the environment.  
9 In: PVC 93: The Future, Brighton, UK, 1993.
- 10 Cai Q-Y, Mo C-H, Li YH (2005) The study of PAEs in soils from typical  
11 vegetable fields in areas of Guanzhou and Shenzhen, South China. *Acta*  
12 *ecologica sinica* 25 (2):283-288
- 13 Cai Q-Y, Mo C-H, Wu Q-T, Zeng Q-Y, Katsoyiannis A (2007) Occurrence of  
14 organic contaminants in sewage sludges from eleven wastewater treatment  
15 plants, China. *Chemosphere* 68 (9):1751-1762
- 16 Cartwright CD, Owen SA, Thompson IP, Burns RG (2000) Biodegradation of  
17 diethyl phthalate in soil by a novel pathway. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*  
18 186 (1):27-34
- 19 Cespedes R, Petrovic M, Raldua D, Saura U, Pina B, Lacorte S, Viana P, Barcelo  
20 D (2004) Integrated procedure for determination of endocrine-disrupting  
21 activity in surface waters and sediments by use of the biological technique  
22 recombinant yeast assay and chemical analysis by LC-ESI-MS. *Analytical*  
23 *and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 378:697-708
- 24 Chang BV, Chang CM, Cheng CH, Yuan SY (2004) Biodegradation of phthalate  
25 esters by two bacteria strains. *Chemosphere* 55:533-538
- 26 Chang BV, Lu YS, Yuan SY, Tsao TM, Wang MK (2009) Biodegradation of  
27 phthalate esters in compost-amended soil. *Chemosphere* 74:873-877
- 28 Chee KK, Wong MK, Lee HK (1996) Microwave extraction of phthalate esters  
29 from marine sediment and soil. *Chromatographia* 42:378-384
- 30 Chen L, Zhao Y, Li L, Chen B, Zhang Y (2012) Exposure assessment of  
31 phthalates in non-occupational populations in China. *Science of The Total*  
32 *Environment* 427-428:60-69
- 33 Cheng HF, Chen SY, Lin JG (2001) Hazardous organic matters in municipal  
34 sewage sludge in Taiwan. *Water Science and Technology* 44 (10):65-70
- 35 Clara M, Windhofer G, Hartl W, Braun K, Simon M, Gans O, Scheffknecht C,  
36 Chovanec A (2010) Occurrence of phthalates in surface runoff, untreated  
37 and treated wastewater and fate during wastewater treatment.  
38 *Chemosphere* 78 (9):1078-1084
- 39 Clara M, Windhofer G, Weilgony P, Gans O, Denner M, Chovanec A, Zessner M  
40 (2012) Identification of relevant micropollutants in Austrian municipal  
41 wastewater and their behaviour during wastewater treatment.  
42 *Chemosphere* 87 (11):1265-1272
- 43 Clark K, Cousins I, MacKay D (2003) Assessment of critical exposure pathways.  
44 In: Staples CA (ed) *The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry*. Springer,  
45 New York,
- 46 Cortazar E, Bartolomé L, Delgado A, Etxebarria N, Fernandez LA, Usobiaga A,  
47 Zuloaga O (2005) Optimisation of microwave-assisted extraction for the  
48 determination of nonylphenols and phthalate esters in sediment samples  
49 and comparison with pressurised solvent extraction. *Analytica Chimica*  
50 *Acta* 534 (2):247-254

- 1 CPSI (2008) Public Law 110-314-AUG. 14, 2008: establishing consumer product  
2 safety standards and other safety requirements for children's products. The  
3 Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America,  
4 Dargnat C (2008) Sources, transfer and fate of phthalate esters in the Seine river  
5 basin. Characterization of hazards to the environment and ecosystems.  
6 Paris VI - Pierre and Marie Curie, Paris  
7 Dargnat C, Teil M-J, Chevreuril M, Blanchard M (2009) Phthalate removal  
8 throughout wastewater treatment plant: Case study of Marne Aval station  
9 (France). *Science of The Total Environment* 407 (4):1235-1244  
10 de los Rios A, Juanes JA, Ortiz-Zarragoitia M, Lopez de Alda M, Barcelo D,  
11 Cajarville MP (2012) Assessment of the effects of a marine urban outfall  
12 discharge on caged mussels using chemical and biomarker analysis.  
13 *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 64 (3):563-573  
14 Deblonde T, Cossu-Leguille C, Hartemann P (2011) Emerging pollutants in  
15 wastewater: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Hygiene  
16 and Environmental Health* 214:442-448  
17 DeLeon I, Byrne CJ, Peuler EA, Antoine SR, Schaeffer J, Murphy RC (1986)  
18 Trace organic and heavy metal pollutants in the Mississippi River.  
19 *Chemosphere* 15:795-805  
20 Delhomenie M-C, Bibeau L, Heitz M (2002) A study of the impact of particle size  
21 and adsorption phenomena in a compost-based biological filter. *Chemical  
22 Engineering Science* 57 (24):4999-5010  
23 DiGennaro P, Collina E, Franzetti A, Lasagni M, Luridiana A, Pitea D, Bestetti G  
24 (2005) Bioremediation of diethylhexyl phthalate contaminated soil: a  
25 feasibility study in slurry- and soild-phase reactors. *Environmental  
26 Science & Technology* 39 (1):325-330  
27 Dolgen D, Necdet Alpaslan M, Delen N (2007) Agricultural recycling of  
28 treatment-plant sludge: A case study for a vegetable-processing factory.  
29 *Journal of Environmental Management* 84 (3):274-281  
30 Eisenreich SJ, Looney BB, David JB (1981) Airborne organic contaminants in the  
31 Great Lakes Ecosystem. *Environmental Science & Technology* 15:30-38  
32 EPA (2009) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Toxics Release Inventory  
33 (TRI) Program: Get TRI Data and Tools. 2007 Public Data Release,  
34 Released March 14, 2009. <http://www.epa.gov/tri/tridata/index.htm#pdr>.  
35 (assessed Dec 9, 2009).  
36 Ernst W, Boon JP, Weber K (1988) Occurrence and fate of oranic micropollutants  
37 in the North Sea. In: Salomons W, Baynes, B.L., Duursma, E.K., Förstner,  
38 U. (ed) *Pollution of the North Sea: an assessment*. Springer, Berlin, pp  
39 284-299  
40 EU (2004) Directive 2004/93/CEE restricting the use of phthalate. Official  
41 Journal of the European Union, Luxembourg  
42 EU (2005) Directive 2005/84/CEE limiting the use of phthalates in toys. Official  
43 Journal of the European Union, Luxembourg  
44 EU (2007) Directive 2007/19/CEE restricting the use of phthalate in plstics for  
45 food packaging. Official Journal of the European Union, Luxembourg  
46 EU (2008) Summary risk assessment report for DEHP, Final Report, European  
47 Commission, EUR 23384EN. Office for Official Publications of the  
48 European Communities, Luxembourg  
49 Fatoki OS, Mathabatha S (2001) An assessment of heavy metal pollution in the  
50 East London and Port Elizabeth harbours. *Water South Africa* 27 (2):233-  
51 240  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1 Fatoki OS, Vernon F (1990) Phthalate esters in rivers of the greater Manchester  
2 area, U.K. *Science of The Total Environment* 95 (0):227-232
- 3 Fauser P, Vikelsoe J, Sørensen PB, Carlsen L (2003) Phthalates, nonylphenols  
4 and LAS in an alternately operated wastewater treatment plant-fate  
5 modelling based on measured concentrations in wastewater and sludge.  
6 *Water Research* 37 (6):1288-1295
- 7 Fragermann HJ (2003) Contamination of sewage sludge with organic pollutants -  
8 Results of an investigation program in NRW (in german). Paper presented  
9 at the Uses of waste in/on floors, Duisburg, June
- 10 Fromme H, Kuchler T, Otto T, Pilz K, Müller J, Wenzel A (2002) Occurrence of  
11 phthalates and bisphenol A and F in the environment. *Water Research* 36  
12 (6):1429-1438
- 13 Gangl M, Sattelberger R, Kreuzinger N (2001) Endocrine Disrupting Compounds  
14 in sewage sludge (in austrian). Federal Environmental Agency office of  
15 Austria. Vienna
- 16 Gasperi J, Garnaud S, Rocher V, Moilleron R (2008) Priority pollutants in  
17 wastewater and combined sewer overflow. *Science of The Total  
18 Environment* 407 (1):263-272
- 19 Gasperi J, Garnaud S, Rocher V, Moilleron R (2009) Priority pollutants in surface  
20 waters and settleable particles within a densely urbanised area: Case study  
21 of Paris (France). *Science of The Total Environment* 407 (8):2900-2908
- 22 Gasperi J, Rocher V, Gilbert S, Azimi S, Chebbo G (2010) Occurrence and  
23 removal of priority pollutants by lamella clarification and biofiltration.  
24 *Water Research* 44:3065-3076
- 25 Gavala HN, Yenal U, Ahring BK (2004) Thermal and enzymatic pre-treatment of  
26 sludge containing phthalate esters prior to mesophilic anaerobic digestion.  
27 *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 85 (5):561-567
- 28 Giam CS, Atlas E, Powers MA, Leonard JE (1984) Phthalic acid esters, vol 3. The  
29 Handbook of Environmental Chemistry, vol part C. Springer-Verlag,  
30 Berlin
- 31 Gibson R, Wang M-J, Padgett E, Beck AJ (2005) Analysis of 4-nonylphenols,  
32 phthalates, and polychlorinated biphenyls in soils and biosolids.  
33 *Chemosphere* 61 (9):1336-1344
- 34 Gilbert S, Gasperi J, Rocher V, Lorgeoux C, Chebbo G (2011) Removal of  
35 alkylphenols and polybromodiphenylethers by a biofiltration treatment  
36 plant during dry and wet-weather periods. *Water Science and  
37 Technology*:8
- 38 Gounaris V, Andersen PR, Holson TM (1993) Characteristics and environmental  
39 significance of colloids in landfill leachate. *Environmental Science &  
40 Technology* 27:1381-1387
- 41 Guidotti M, Giovinazzo R, Cedrone O, Vitali M (2000) Determination of organic  
42 micropollutants in rain water for laboratory screening of air quality in  
43 urban environment. *Environment International* 26:23-28
- 44 Hadjmohammadi MR, Fatemi MH, Taneh T (2011) Coacervative extraction of  
45 phthalates from water and their determination by high performance liquid  
46 chromatography. *Journal of Iranian Chemistry and Society* 8 (1):100-106
- 47 Harrison EZ, Oakes SR, Hysell M, Hay A (2006) Organic chemicals in sewage  
48 sludges. *Science of The Total Environment* 367:481-497
- 49 He H, Hu G-J, Sun C, Chen SL, Yang MN, Li J (2011) Trace analysis of  
50 persistent toxic substances in the main stream of Jiangsu section of the  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1 Yangtze River, China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 18  
2 (4):638-648
- 3 Hu XY, Wen B, Shan XQ (2003) Survey of phthalate pollution in arable soils in  
4 China. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 5:649-653
- 5 Huang PC, Hsieh CY, Tsai YT, Peng KC, Lee CC The distribution of di-(2-ethyl-  
6 hexyl) phthalate (DEHP) in major rivers in Taiwan. In: Accreditation  
7 NIOE (ed) *The Annual Conference of Environmental Analytical*  
8 *Chemistry*, Zhongli City, Taiwan, R.O.C., 2005.
- 9 Huang PC, Tien CJ, Sun YM, Hsieh CY, Lee CC (2008) Occurrence of phthalates  
10 in sediment and biota: Relationship to aquatic factors and the biots-  
11 sediment accumulation factor. *Chemosphere* 73:539-544
- 12 INERIS (2007) Regional action of search and reduction discharges of dangerous  
13 substances in water by the classified installations and the urban wastewater  
14 treatment plants (in French). INERIS. INERIS, Verneuil-en-Halatte, Oise,  
15 France
- 16 IPCS (2003) International Chemical Safety Card - Diethyl phthalate. World  
17 Health Organization, International Program on Chemical Safety (ISCS  
18 0258), Geneva
- 19 Jackson J, Sutton R (2008) Sources of endocrine-disrupting chemicals in urban  
20 wastewater, Oakland, CA. *Science of The Total Environment* 405 (1-  
21 3):153-160
- 22 Jaganyi D (2007) Methodology and survey of organic pollutants in South African  
23 sewage sludges, volume 1. Report to the Water Research Commission on the  
24 project "Survey and Methodology for Analysing Organic Pollutants in  
25 South African Sewage Sludges".
- 26 Juneson C, Ward OP, Singh A (2001) Biodegradation of bis(2-  
27 ethylhexyl)phthalate in a soil slurry-sequencing batch reactor. *Process*  
28 *Biochemistry* 37 (3):305-313
- 29 Keil R, Saleme K, Forrest B, Neibauer J, Logsdon M (2011) Differential  
30 presence of anthropogenic compounds dissolved in the marine waters of  
31 Puget Sound, WA and Barkley Sound, BC. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 62  
32 (11):2404-2411
- 33 Kinney CA, Furlong ET, Kolpin DW, Burkhardt MR, Zaugg SD, Werner SL,  
34 Bossio JP, Benotti MJ (2008) Bioaccumulation of pharmaceuticals and  
35 other anthropogenic waste indicators in Earthworms from agricultural soil  
36 amended with biosolid or swine manure. *Environmental Science &*  
37 *Technology* 42 (6):1863-1870
- 38 Kinney CA, Furlong ET, Zaugg SD, Burkhardt MR, Werner SL, Cahill JD,  
39 Jorgensen GR (2006) Survey of Organic Wastewater Contaminants in  
40 Biosolids Destinated for Land Application. *Environmental Science &*  
41 *Technology* 40:7207-7215
- 42 Kjotholt J, Poll C, Kofoed JF (1997) Anthropogenic substances in runoff from  
43 impervious surfaces. Literature review and measurements. vol 355. The  
44 Danish EPA, Kobenhavn, Denmark
- 45 Laternus F, Gron C (2007) Organic waste products in agriculture - Monitoring the  
46 waste constituents phthalate esters in soil-crop system by gas  
47 chromatography and ion trap tandem spectrometry. *Journal of*  
48 *Environmental Engineering and Landscape Management* 15:252-260
- 49 Law RJ, Fileman TW, Matthiessen P (1991) Phthalate esters and other industrial  
50 organic chemicals in the North and Irish Seas. *Water Science and*  
51 *Technology* 14:127-134

- 1 Lepri L, Del Bubba M, Masi F, Udisti R, Cini R (2000) Particle size distribution  
2 of organic compounds in aqueous aerosols collected from above sewage  
3 aeration tanks. *Aerosol Science and Technology* 32 (5)  
4 Li XH, Ma LL, Liu XF, Fu S, Cheng HX, Xu XB (2006) Phthalate ester pollution  
5 in urban oil of Beijing, People's Republic of China. *Bulletin of*  
6 *Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 77:252-259  
7 Ligocki MP, Leuenberger C, Pankow JF (1985) Trace organic compounds in rain  
8 - II. Gas scavenging of neutral organic compounds. *Atmospheric*  
9 *Environment* (1967) 19 (10):1609-1617  
10 Lin C, Lee C-J, Mao W-M, Nadim F (2009) Identifying the potential sources of  
11 di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate contamination in the sediment of the Houjing  
12 River in southern Taiwan. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 161 (1):270-275  
13 Liu H, Liang H, Liang Y, Zhang D, Wang C, Cai H, Shvartsev SL (2010)  
14 Distribution of phthalate esters in alluvial sediment: A case study at  
15 JiangHan Plain, Central China. *Chemosphere* 78 (4):382-388  
16 Long JLA, House WA, Parker A, Rae JE (1998) Micro-organic compounds  
17 associated with sediments in the Humber rivers. *Science of The Total*  
18 *Environment* 210-211:229-253  
19 Lützhof HCH, Eriksson E, Donner E, Wickman T, Lecloux A, Ledin A (2008)  
20 Database presenting basic information about EU WFD Priority  
21 Substances. ScorePP project deliverable D3.1. Available at  
22 <http://www.scorepp.eu>.  
23 Ma LL, Chu SG, Xu XB (2003) Phthalate residues in greenhouse soil from  
24 Beijing suburbs, People's Republic of China. *Bulletin of Environmental*  
25 *Contamination and Toxicology* 71:394-399  
26 Makepeace DK, Smith DW, Stanley SJ (1995) Urban stormwater quality:  
27 Summary of contaminant data. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science*  
28 *and Technology* 25 (2):93-139  
29 Martin-Ruel S, Esperanza M, Choubert JM, Valor I, Budzinski H, Coquery M  
30 (2010) On-site evaluation of the efficiency of conventional and advanced  
31 secondary processes for the removal of 60 organic micropollutants. *Water*  
32 *Science and Technology* 62 (12):2970-2978  
33 Marttinen SK, Kettunen RH, Rintala JA (2003) Occurrence and removal of  
34 organic pollutants in sewages and landfill leachates. *Science of The Total*  
35 *Environment* 301 (1-3):1-12  
36 Michael PR, Adams WJ, Werner AF, Hicks O (1984) Surveillance of phthalate  
37 esters in surface waters and sediments in the US. *Environmental*  
38 *Toxicology and Chemistry* 3:377-389  
39 Mitsunobu S, Takahashi Y (2006) Study of the water solubility and sorption on  
40 particulate matters of phthalate in the presence of humic acid using <sup>14</sup>C  
41 labeled di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate. *Water Air and Soil Pollutions* 175:99-  
42 115  
43 Müller AK, Nielsen E, Ladefoged O (2003) Human exposure to selected  
44 phthalates in Denmark by the Danish Veterinary and Food administration.  
45 Available: <http://www.food.dtu.dk/Default.aspx?ID22630> [accessed 12  
46 May 2010].  
47 Namkoong W, Hwang E-Y, Park J-S, Choi J-Y (2002) Bioremediation of diesel-  
48 contaminated soil with composting. *Environmental Pollution* 119 (1):23-  
49 31  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1 Oh S, Oh BS, Jung YJ, Oh YJ, Yoo YS, Kang JW (2006) Application of ozone,  
2 UV and ozone/UV processes to reduce diethyl phthalate and its estrogenic  
3 activity. *Science of The Total Environment* 367 (2-3):681-693
- 4 Oliver R, May E, Williams J (2005) The occurrence and removal of phthalates in  
5 a trickle filter STW. *Water Research* 39 (18):4436-4444
- 6 Oliver R, May E, Williams J (2007) Microcosm investigations of phthalate  
7 behaviour in sewage treatment biofilms. *Science of The Total*  
8 *Environment* 372:605-614
- 9 Paulsrud B, Wien A, Nedland T (2000) A survey of toxic organics in Norwegian  
10 sewage sludge, compost and manure. Norwegian Water Technology  
11 Centre ASOSLO. Aquateam,
- 12 Peijnenburg WJGM, Struijs J (2006) Occurrence of phthalate esters in the  
13 environment of the Netherlands. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*  
14 63 (2):204-215
- 15 Penalver A, Pocurull E, Borrull F, Marcé RM (2001) Comparison of different  
16 fibers for the solid-phase microextraction of phthalate esters from water.  
17 *Journal of Chromatography A* 922 (1-2):377-384
- 18 Petrovic M, Barcelo D (2000) Determination of anionic and nonionic surfactants,  
19 their degradation products, and endocrine-disrupting compounds in  
20 sewage sludge by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Analytical*  
21 *Chemistry* 72:45-60
- 22 Pitt R, Clark S, Field R (1999) Groundwater contamination potential from  
23 stormwater infiltration practices. *Urban Water* 1 (3):217-236
- 24 Preston MR, Al-Omran LA (1989) Phthalate esters speciation in estuarine water,  
25 suspended particulates and sediments. *Environmental Pollution* 62:183-  
26 193
- 27 Prieto A, Telleria O, Etxebarria N, Fernandez LA, Usobiaga A, Zuloaga O (2008)  
28 Simultaneous preconcentration of a wide variety of organic pollutants in  
29 water samples: Comparison of stir bar sorptive extraction and membrane-  
30 assisted solvent extraction. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1214 (1-2):1-10
- 31 Prieto A, Zuloaga O, Usobiaga A, Etxebarria N, Fernandez LA (2007)  
32 Development of a stir bar sorptive extraction and thermal desorption-gas  
33 chromatography-mass spectrometry method for the simultaneous  
34 determination of several persistent organic pollutants in water samples.  
35 *Journal of Chromatography A* 1174 (1-2):40-49
- 36 Quenea K, Derenne S, Gonzalez-Vila FJ, Mariotti A, Rouzaud JN, Largeau C  
37 (2005) Study of the composition of the macromolecular refractory fraction  
38 from an acidic sandy forest soil (Landes de Gascogne, France) using  
39 chemical degradation and electron microscopy. *Organic Geochemistry* 36  
40 (8):1151-1162
- 41 Rais-Bahrami K, Nunez S, Revenis ME, Luban NLC, Short BL (2004) Follow-up  
42 study of adolescents exposed to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) as  
43 neonates on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support.  
44 *Environmental Health Perspectives* 112 (13):1339-1340
- 45 Ranke J (2005) Classification and Risk Assessment of Chemicals: The Case of  
46 DEHP in the light of REACH. *The Journal of Transdisciplinary*  
47 *Environmental Studies* 4 (3):15 pp.
- 48 Ray LE, Murray HE, Giam CS (1983) Organic pollutants in marine samples from  
49 Portland, Maine. *Chemosphere* 12 (7-8):1031-1038
- 50 Regueiro J, Llompant M, Garcia-Jares C, Garcia-Montegudo JC, Cela R (2008)  
51 Ultrasound-assisted emulsification-microextraction of emergent  
52

- contaminants and pesticides in environmental waters. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1190 (1-2):27-38
- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Reyes-Contreras C, Matamoros V, Ruiz I, Soto M, Bayona JM (2011) Evaluation of PPCPs removal in a combined anaerobic digester-constructed wetland pilot plant treating urban wastewater. *Chemosphere* 84 (9):1200-1207
- Rogers HR (1996) Sources, behaviour and fate of organic contaminants during sewage treatment and in sewage sludges. *Science of The Total Environment* 185 (1-3):3-26
- Rule KL, Comber SDW, Ross D, Thornton A, Makropoulos CK, Rautiu R (2006) Sources of priority substances entering an urban wastewater catchment-trace organic chemicals. *Chemosphere* 63 (4):581-591
- Russel DJ, Mc Duffie B (1986) Chemodynamic properties of phthalate esters: partitioning and soil migration. *Chemosphere* 15:1003-1021
- Salapasidou M, Samara C, Voutsas D (2011) Endocrine disrupting compounds in the atmosphere of the urban area of Thessaloniki, Greece. *Atmospheric Environment* 45 (22):3720-3729
- Sanchez-Avila J, Bonet J, Velasco G, Lacorte S (2009) Determination and occurrence of phthalates, alkylphenols, bisphenol A, PBDEs, PCBs and PAHs in an industrial sewage grid discharging to a Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant. *Science of The Total Environment* 407 (13):4157-4167
- Sanchez-Avila J, Tauler R, Lacorte S (2012) Organic micropollutants in coastal waters from NW Mediterranean Sea: Sources distribution and potential risk. *Environment International* 46:50-62
- Schaecke B, Kape E (2003) Discussion of new pollution limits for sewage sludge recycling to agricultural (in german). Paper presented at the WABKA, Rostock, October
- Schnaak W, Kuchler T, Kujawa M, Henschel KP, Subenbach D, Donau R (1997) Organic contaminants in sewage sludge and their ecotoxicological significance in the agricultural utilization of sewage sludge. *Chemosphere* 35 (1-2):5-11
- Semple KT, Reid BJ, Fermor TR (2001) Impact of composting strategies on the treatment of soils contaminated with organic pollutants. *Environmental Pollution* 112 (2):269-283
- Seriki K, Gasperi J, Castillo L, Scholes L, Eriksson E, Revitt M, Meinhold J, Atanasova N (2008) Priority pollutants behaviour in end of pipe wastewater treatment plants. *ScorePP*,
- Sha Y, Xia X, Yang Z, Huang GH (2007) Distribution of PAE in the middle and lower reaches of the Yellow River, China. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 124:277-287
- Sheldon LS, Hites RA (1979) Environmental occurrence and mass spectral identification of ethylene glycol derivatives. *Science of The Total Environment* 11 (3):279-286
- Shi W, Zhang F-X, Hu G-J, Hao Y-Q, Zhang X-W, Liu H-L, Wei S, Wang X-R, Giesy JP, Yu H-X (2012) Thyroid hormone disrupting activities associated with phthalate esters in water sources from Yangtze River Delta. *Environment International* 42:117-123
- Solis ME, Liu CC, Nam P, Niyogi DK, Bandeff JM, Huang YW (2007) Occurrence of organic chemicals in two rivers inhabited by Ozark Hellbenders (*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi*). *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 53:426-434

- 1 Srivastava A, Sharma VP, Tripathi R, Kumar R, Patel DK, Mathur PK (2009)  
2 Occurrence of phthalic acid esters in Gomti River Sediment, India.  
3 Environmental Monitoring and Assessment:10. doi:10.1007/s10661-009-  
4 1182-4
- 5 Staples CA, Peterson DR, Parterton TF, Adams WJ (1997) The environmental  
6 fate of phthalate esters: a literature review. *Chemosphere* 25:667-749
- 7 Suzuki Y, Yamaguchi Y, Suzuki S, Hirata S, Aihara M, Hiraki K (2001)  
8 Characteristics of aquatic humic substances in natural waters by  
9 synchronous and derivative synchronous fluorescence spectrum.  
10 *Analytical Science* 17:1605-1607
- 11 Sweetman AJ (1994) Development and application of a multi-residue analytical  
12 method for the determination of n-alkanes, linear alkylbenzenes,  
13 polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons and 4-nonylphenol in digested sewage  
14 sludges. *Water Research* 28:343-353
- 15 Tan BLL, Hawker DW, Müller JF, Leusch FDL, Tremblay LA, Chapman HF  
16 (2007) Modelling of the fate of selected endocrine disruptors in a  
17 municipal wastewater treatment plant in South East Queensland, Australia.  
18 *Chemosphere* 69 (4):644-654
- 19 Tan GH (1995) Residue levels of phthalate esters in water and sediment samples  
20 from the Klang River Basin. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and  
21 Toxicology* 54:171-176
- 22 Teil MJ, Blanchard M, Chevreuil M (2006) Atmospheric fate of phthalate esters  
23 in an urban area (Paris-France). *Science of The Total Environment* 354 (2-  
24 3):212-223
- 25 Thüren A (1986) Determination of phthalates in aquatic environments. *Bulletin of  
26 Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 36:33-40
- 27 Thüren A, Larsson P (1990) Phthalate esters in the Swedish atmosphere.  
28 *Environmental Science and Technology* 24:554-559
- 29 Tlili K, Labadie P, Blanchard M, Teil MJ, Desportes A, Bourges C, Alliot F,  
30 Chevreuil M (2010) Presence of phthalates and PBDE in the air, the  
31 atmospheric deposits and sludge from Parisian conurbation (in French).  
32 Paper presented at the PIREN-SEINE, Paris,
- 33 Torslov J, Samsoe-Petersen L, Rasmussen JO, Kristensen P (1997) Use of waste  
34 products in agriculture. contamination level, environmental risk  
35 assessment and recommendations for quality criteria. *Environmental  
36 Project No. 366. Danish Environmental Protection Agency,*
- 37 Verliefde A, Cornelissen E, Amy G, Van der Bruggen B, Van Dijk H (2007)  
38 Priority organic micropollutants in water sources in Flanders and the  
39 Netherlands and assessment to removal possibilities with nanofiltration.  
40 *Environmental Pollution* 146
- 41 Vethaak A, Lahr J, Kuiper RV, Grinwis GCM, Rankouhi TR, Giesy JP, Gerritsen  
42 A (2002) Estrogenic effects in fish in The Netherlands: some preliminary  
43 results. *Toxicology* 181-182:147-150
- 44 Vethaak AD, Lahr J, Schrap SM, Belfroid AIC, Rijs GBJ, Gerritsen A, de Boer J,  
45 Bulder AS, Grinwis GCM, Kuiper RV, Legler J, Murk TAJ, Peijnenburg  
46 W, Verhaar HJM, de Voogt P (2005) An integrated assessment of  
47 estrogenic contamination and biological effects in the aquatic environment  
48 of The Netherlands. *Chemosphere* 59 (4):511-524
- 49 Vikelsoe J, Thomasen M, Johansen E (1998) Sources of phthalates and  
50 nonylphenols in municipal wastewater. A study in a local environment.  
51 NERI Technical report nr. 225.
- 52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



- 1 Vikelsoe J, Thomsen M, Carlsen L (2002) Phthalates and nonylphenols in profiles  
2 of differently dressed soils. *Science of The Total Environment* 296 (1-  
3 3):105-116
- 4 Vitali M, Guidotti M, Macilenti G, Cremisini C (1997) Phthalate esters in  
5 freshwaters as markers of contamination sources—A site study in Italy.  
6 *Environment International* 23 (3):337-347
- 7 Vogelsang C, Grung M, Jantsch TG, Tollefsen KE, Liltved H (2006) Occurrence  
8 and removal of selected organic micropollutants at mechanical, chemical  
9 and advanced wastewater treatment plants in Norway. *Water Research* 40  
10 (19):3559-3570
- 11 Wang GH, Huang LM, Gao SX, Gao ST, Wang LS (2002) Measurements of  
12 PM10 and PM2.5 in urban area of Nanjing, China and the assessment of  
13 pulmonary deposition of particle mass. *Chemosphere* 48:689-695
- 14 Wang P, Wang SL, Fan CQ (2008) Atmospheric distribution of particulate- and  
15 gas-phase phthalic esters (PAEs) in a Metropolitan City, Nanjing, East  
16 China. *Chemosphere* 72 (10):1567-1572
- 17 Wang Y, Fan Y, Gu JD (2003) Microbial degradation of the endocrine-disrupting  
18 chemicals phthalic acid and dimethyl phthalate ester under aerobic  
19 conditions. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*  
20 71:810-818
- 21 Webber MD, Lesage S (1989) Organic contaminants in canadian municipal  
22 sludges. *Waste Management & Research* 7 (1):63-82
- 23 Webber MD, Nichols JA (1995) Organic and metal contaminants in Canadian  
24 Municipal sludges and a sludge compost. Wastewater Technology Centre,  
25 Burlington, ON
- 26 Williams Occurrence of microconstituents in biosolids. In: *Water Environment*  
27 *Federation Annual Conference and Technical Exposition, San Diego, CA,*  
28 *USA, 2007.*
- 29 Xie Z, Ebinghaus R, Temme C, Caba A, Ruck W (2005) Atmospheric  
30 concentrations and air-sea exchanges of phthalates in the North Sea  
31 (German Bight). *Atmospheric Environment* 39 (18):3209-3219
- 32 Xie Z, Ebinghaus R, Temme C, Lohmann R, Caba A, Ruck W (2007) Occurrence  
33 and Air-Sea exchange of phthalates in the Arctic. *Environmental Science*  
34 *& Technology* 41 (13):4555-4560
- 35 Xu G, Li FS, Wang QH (2008) Occurrence and degrade-action characteristics of  
36 dibutyl phthalate (DBP) and di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) in typical  
37 agricultural soils of China. *Science of The Total Environment* 393:333-  
38 340
- 39 Yan H, Ye C, Yin C (1995) Kinetics of phthalate ester biodegradation by  
40 *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 14  
41 (6):931-938
- 42 Yuan SY, Liu C, Liao CS, Chang BV (2002) Occurrence and microbial  
43 degradation of phthalate esters in Taiwan river sediments. *Chemosphere*  
44 49 (10):1295-1299
- 45 Yuwatani E, Hata N, Taguchi S (2006) Behavior of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate  
46 discharged from domestic waste water into aquatic environment. *Journal*  
47 *of Environmental Monitoring* 8:191-196
- 48 Zeng F, Cui K, Xie Z, Wu L, Liu M, Sun G, Lin Y, Luo D, Zeng Z (2008)  
49 Phthalate esters (PAEs): Emerging organic contaminants in agricultural  
50 soils in peri-urban areas around Guangzhou, China. *Environmental*  
51 *Pollution* 156 (2):425-434

- 1 Zeng F, Cui K, Xie Z, Wu L, Luo D, Chen L, Lin Y, Liu M, Sun G (2009)  
2 Distribution of phthalate esters in urban soils of subtropical city,  
3 Guangzhou, China. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 164:1171-1178  
4 Zgheib S, Moilleron R, Chebbo G (2012) Priority pollutants in urban  
5 stormwater: Part 1 - Case of separate storm sewers. *Water Research*.  
6 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2011.12.012  
7 Zhang L, Dong L, Ren I, Shi S, Zhou L, Zhang T, Huang Y (2012) Concentration  
8 and source identification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and phthalic  
9 acid esters in the surface water of the Yangtze River Delta, China. *Journal*  
10 *of Environmental Sciences* 24 (2):335-342  
11 Zhang YH, Chen BH (2003) Determination of phthalates in environmental  
12 samples. *Journal of Environmental Health* 20 (5):283-286  
13 Zhou I, Liu YS (2010) Study on soil organic pollution in agro-ecosystem of  
14 Zhenjiang city. *Sci Tech Inf* 17:1008-1009  
15 Zhu XJ, Qiu YY (2011) Measuring the Phthalates of Xiangjiang River using  
16 liquid liquid extraction gas chromatography. *Advanced Materials Research*  
17 301-303:752-755  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65




Figure 1: Interactions between the various environmental compartments – Cited from Bergé et al. (2012a)




Figure 2: Total PAE (DMP + DEP + DnBP + BBP + DEHP + DnOP) concentrations (in  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ ) in liquid matrices (WWTP: Wastewater Treatment Plant - global scale)




Figure 3: Distributions of total PAE (DMP + DEP + DnBP + BBP + DEHP + DnOP) concentrations ( $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ ) and contents ( $\text{mg/kg.dw}$ ) in WWTP influent and effluent, surface water, sediments, sludge and soils from various locations (WFD: Water Framework Directive; North Am: North America)




Figure 4: Evolution in the distribution of DMP, DEP, DnBP, BBP, DEHP and DnOP through the environmental cycle (WWTP: Wastewater Treatment Plant)




Figure 5: Total PAE (DMP + DEP + DnBP + BBP + DEHP + DnOP) contents (in mg/kg.dw) in solids matrices - global scale




Figure 6: Historical trend lines for the median total PAE (DMP + DEP + DnBP + BBP + DEHP + DnOP) concentrations (in  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ ) in European surface water. The dots represent samples collected before implementation of the Water Framework Directive, whereas the squares depict samples collected after its implementation



Table 1: Physicochemical properties of PAE

Compound	Formula	MW (g/mol)	Water solubility at 20 °C (mg/l)	Log K <sub>ow</sub>	Log K <sub>oc</sub>	Log K <sub>d</sub>	H (Atm.m <sup>3</sup> /mol)
DMP	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	194.2 <sup>c</sup>	4,20 <sup>c</sup>	1.61 <sup>c</sup>	1.74 <sup>c</sup> 1.90- 2.56 <sup>b</sup>		1.22 E <sup>-07c</sup>
DEP	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	222.2 <sup>c</sup>	1,10 <sup>c</sup>	2.42 <sup>c</sup> 2.47- 2.51 <sup>b</sup>	1.84 <sup>c</sup> 2.85- 3.24 <sup>b</sup>		2.66 E <sup>-07c</sup> 7.80 E <sup>-07b</sup>
DnBP	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	278.4 <sup>c</sup>	11.2 <sup>c</sup>	4.57 <sup>c</sup>	3.14 <sup>c</sup> 4.17 <sup>b</sup>		8.83 E <sup>-07c</sup>
BBP	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	312.4 <sup>c</sup>	2.70 <sup>c</sup>	4.84 <sup>c</sup>	4.23 <sup>c</sup> 3.95 <sup>b</sup>	3.55 <sup>c</sup>	7.61 E <sup>-07c</sup>
DEHP	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	390.6 <sup>c</sup>	0.003 <sup>c</sup>	7.50 <sup>c</sup>		4.12 <sup>c</sup> 4.18 <sup>a</sup>	1.71 E <sup>-05c</sup>
DnOP	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	390.6 <sup>c</sup>	0.0005 <sup>c</sup>	8.06 <sup>c</sup>	4.94 <sup>c</sup> 5.68 <sup>b</sup> 5.71 <sup>a</sup>	4.46 <sup>c</sup>	1.03 E <sup>-04c</sup>
a: (Lützhof et al. 2008); b: (IPCS 2003); c: (Staples et al. 1997)							

Table 2: Atmospheric contamination by PAE (in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)

Location	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
USA			0.60-5.00				(Atlas and Giam 1988)
Sweden			0.23-49.9		0.28-77.7		(Thüren and Larsson 1990)
China		56.0	32.0	1,910	550		(Wang et al. 2002)
China			224		56.0		(Wang et al. 2002)
USA					5.00-132		(Clark et al. 2003)
Denmark			1.50-2,480		5.30-3,640		(Müller et al. 2003)
France	0.10-21.2	1.70-24.6	2.90-59.3	0.50-12.2	3.40-25.7	<log-1.10	(Teil et al. 2006)
Netherlands			2.00-70.0		0.70-333		(Peijnenburg and Struijs 2006)
Norwegian Sea	0.01-0.22	0.18-0.90	0.16-0.43	0.02-0.07	0.08-0.46		(Xie et al. 2007)
France	1.11	3.53	1.09	0.21	1.66	0.08	(Tlili et al. 2010)
Greece			1.20-3.36	0.11-0.80	<log-6.50	<log-0.11	(Salapavidou et al. 2011)
Greece			0.43-2.40	0.04-0.98	4.63-45.0		(Salapavidou et al. 2011)
Min	0.01	0.18	0.16	0.02	0.08	0.08	n = 10
Max	21.2	56.0	2,480	1,910	3,640	1.10	
Med	2.93	8.70	2.50	0.48	19.7	0.24	

Table 3: Rain water concentrations of PAE (in  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ )

Location	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
USA		0.02-0.10	0.03-0.06	0.02-0.07	0.02-0.10		(Ligocki et al. 1985)
Sweden			<log-0.50		0.01-0.43		(Thüren and Larsson 1990)
Canada			0.50-11.0		7.00-39.0		(Makepeace et al. 1995)
Denmark			1.30		32.0		(Kjohlholt et al. 1997)
Denmark			<log-1.60		1.30-30.0		(Boutrup and Plesner 2001)
Netherlands	0.01-0.02	0.24-0.43	0.28-0.88	0.14-0.26	0.69-1.70	0.04-0.25	(Vethaak et al. 2005)
France	0.12	0.33	0.59	0.08	0.42	0.01	(Teil et al. 2006)
France	0.07-0.11	0.14-0.25	0.10-0.16	0.02-0.06	0.36-0.85	<log-0.03	(Dargnat 2008)
Norway					5.00		(Björklund et al. 2009)
Min	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	n = 9
Max	0.12	0.43	11.0	0.26	39.0	0.25	
Med	0.06	0.33	0.61	0.16	0.77	0.05	

Table 4: Industrial, man-made and residential wastewater concentrations (in  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ )

Location	Type	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
USA	Textile		3.20					(IPCS 2003)
France	Textile					282		(INERIS 2007)
France	Laundry					470		(INERIS 2007)
USA	Diaper service		<loq-1.00	12.0	0.20	0.63	<loq-0.15	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Pet wash		1.30	0.76	2.30	6.50	1.60	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Veterinary clinic		<loq-51.0	<loq-18.0	<loq-7.10	<loq-11.0	<loq-7.70	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Hospital		<loq-1.00	<loq-0.36	0.82	2.70	<loq-0.15	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Medical clinic		<loq-0.98	0.66	0.74	1.00	<loq-0.15	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
Spain	Industrial-Residential	0.28-4.94	27.5-192	<loq-33.6	<loq-5.70	9.88-287		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2009)
Netherlands	Residential	0.39-6.20	4.10-44.0	0.38-51.0	0.56-4.90	13.0-100	0.26-2.40	(Vethaak et al. 2005)
USA	Residential		4.00	<loq-0.36	0.76	9.10	0.60	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Residential		9.10	<loq-0.34	1.00	3.30	<loq-0.14	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
Spain	Residential	0.45-1.12	44.0-45.9		0.23-0.29	19.5-20.2		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2009)
France	Sewer network		5.23-17.7	0.60-3.31	0.46-3.91	39.48-161		(Bergé et al. 2012c)
USA	Industrial activities					0.01-4,400		(Clark et al. 2003)
USA	Manufactured factory		60.0					(IPCS 2003)
Netherlands	Industrial effluents	<loq-1.30	0.35-5.20	0.69-21.0	0.17-1.30	1.00-150	0.01-2.80	(Vethaak et al. 2005)
France	Paper pulp					61.0		(INERIS 2007)
France	Dyeing					41.0		(INERIS 2007)
France	Leather					68.0		(INERIS 2007)
France	Painting					1,300		(INERIS 2007)
France	Chemistry					280		(INERIS 2007)
USA	Plastic manufacturer		<loq-1.00	0.36	2.30	49.0	10.0	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Paper manufacturer		<loq-1.00	<loq-0.36	0.14	6.80	<loq-0.15	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Beverage manufacturer		<loq-20.0	<loq-7.1	<loq-2.70	<loq-4.10	<loq-2.90	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Adhesive manufacturer		<loq-100	120	39.0	47.0	<loq-15.0	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Industrial laundry		<loq-24.0	86.0	95.0	2,700		(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA	Residential laundry		16.0	<loq-3.6	<loq-1.40	66.0	13.0	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
Spain	Industrial effluents	0.15-7.05	22.2-53.6		<loq-10.0	8.00-36.4		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2009)
Man-made wastewater	Min	0.28	1.30	0.76	0.20	0.63	0.15	n = 4
	Max	4.94	192	33.6	5.70	470	7.70	
	Med	2.61	3.20	6.90	1.50	66.0	1.60	
Residential wastewater	Min	1.00	0.35	0.34	0.23	3.30	0.07	n = 4
	Max	1.12	45.0	51.0	4.90	161	2.40	
	Med	1.06	9.48	1.29	1.57	61.3	0.60	
Industrial	Min	0.15	0.35	0.36	0.14	0.01	0.01	n = 5
	Max	7.05	100	120	95.0	4,400	15.0	

wastewtaer	Med	1.96	10.0	2.20	1.83	34.6	0.80	
------------	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	--

Table 5: WWTP influent concentrations of PAE (in  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ )

Location	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
Finland	<log-1.00	6.00-11.0	6.00-8.00	3.00-5.00	28.0-66.0	<log-1.00	(Marttinen et al. 2003)
Finland	<log-1.00	5.00-74.0	3.00-9.00	<log-3.00	28.0-122	<log-2.00	(Marttinen et al. 2003)
Finland		<log-10.0	4.00-6.00	<log-2.00	83.0	<log-1.00	(Marttinen et al. 2003)
Denmark			<log-1.03	0.05-0.97	13.1-44.3	0.22-0.79	(Fauser et al. 2003)
Sweden		0.19	0.15		0.27		(Bendz et al. 2005)
Australia		0.39	0.14	0.06	20.3		(Tan et al. 2007)
France					55.0		(INERIS 2007)
Spain		0.68	0.62		1.90		(Regueiro et al. 2008)
USA		<log-10.0	<log-3.60	14.0	33.0	4.20	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA		<log-10.0	<log-3.60	1.90	9.20	<log-1.5	(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
Denmark					8.10-31.0		(Seriki et al. 2008)
Canada					70.0		(Barnabé et al. 2008)
Canada					41.0		(Barnabé et al. 2008)
France	0.82	7.71	1.10	1.12	22.5	0.10	(Dargnat et al. 2009)
Spain	0.60	50.7	46.8	0.67	47.9		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2009)
Austria	<log-2.40	0.77-9.20	<log-8.70	0.31-3.20	3.40-34.0	<log-1.10	(Clara et al. 2010)
Austria	0.26-0.41	1.20-2.00	<log-0.47	<log-0.11	4.40-8.80		(Clara et al. 2010)
Austria	0.43-0.81	2.20-2.70	0.15-0.41	0.11-0.26	4.10-13.0	<log-0.10	(Clara et al. 2010)
France					52.8		(Martin-Ruel et al. 2010)
Spain		1.05-2.59	0.20-0.55		4.23-4.65		(Reyes-Contreras et al. 2011)
Spain		1.90-3.98	0.12-0.20		7.50-9.91		(Reyes-Contreras et al. 2011)
Spain	<log-7.47	2.11-5.76	0.23-1.99	0.25-0.31		0.24-5.91	(Bizkarguenaga et al. 2012)
Spain	0.31-9.13	0.14-5.91	<log-0.76			0.27	(Bizkarguenaga et al. 2012)
Spain	0.36-93.3	0.12-4.34	0.59-2.24			0.22-0.25	(Bizkarguenaga et al. 2012)
Spain	3.94-10.6	<log-0.96	0.23-1.54			<log-3.54	(Bizkarguenaga et al. 2012)
France		7.00-36.0	1.86-6.01	0.97-2.29	32.4-71.9		(Bergé et al. 2012b)
Min	0.26	0.19	0.14	0.06	0.27	0.10	n = 16
Max	93.3	74.0	46.8	14.0	122	5.91	
Med	0.89	9.81	1.86	1.29	38.10	0.50	

Table 6: WWTP effluent concentrations of PAE (in  $\mu\text{g.l}^{-1}$ )

Location	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
Finland			2.00		2.00	<loq-1.00	(Martinen et al. 2003)
Finland	<loq-1.00	<loq-4.00	<loq-6.00	<loq-1.00	2.00-8.00		(Martinen et al. 2003)
Finland					4.00		(Martinen et al. 2003)
Denmark			0.18-2.50	0.06-0.27	0.11-2.65	0.01-0.03	(Fauser et al. 2003)
Netherlands	<loq-0.32	0.30-0.93	0.42-0.84	0.07-0.29	0.47-2.40	<loq-0.02	(Vethaak et al. 2005)
Sweden		0.02	0.03		0.02		(Bendz et al. 2005)
Norway		7.90-9.90			0.50		(Vogelsang et al. 2006)
Australia		0.02	0.05	0.02	0.36		(Tan et al. 2007)
France		0.43			0.55		(INERIS 2007)
Spain		<loq-1.0	<loq-0.36	0.84	2.90		(Regueiro et al. 2008)
USA		<loq-1.0	0.57	0.74	1.00		(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
USA		<loq-1.0	5.50	<loq-0.14	0.21		(Jackson and Sutton 2008)
Denmark					0.30-6.10		(Seriki et al. 2008)
Canada					54.0		(Barnabé et al. 2008)
France	0.08	0.78	0.15	0.30	5.42	0.02	(Dargnat et al. 2009)
Spain	0.13	49.8		0.01	9.43		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2009)
Austria	<loq-0.19	<loq-1.10	<loq-2.40	0.09-1.40	0.08-6.60	<loq-0.26	(Clara et al. 2010)
Austria		<loq-0.10			<loq-0.28		(Clara et al. 2010)
Austria					<loq-1.30		(Clara et al. 2010)
France					4.20		(Martin-Ruel et al. 2010)
Spain	<loq-7.18	0.23-0.40	0.22-7.92		0.39-0.41	0.21-30.8	(Bizkarguenaga et al. 2012)
Spain	6.25-11.7	0.20-1.02	<loq-10.9			0.22-0.27	(Bizkarguenaga et al. 2012)
Spain	2.07-11.2	0.36-1.06	0.21-1.34		0.31	0.23-0.29	(Bizkarguenaga et al. 2012)
Spain	7.97-13.4	0.15-0.51	0.20-1.90	1.14		3.26-9.06	(Bizkarguenaga et al. 2012)
Austria					<loq-6.60		(Clara et al. 2012)
Spain		15.7-40.9	3.33-58.9		4.17-69.0		(de los Rios et al. 2012)
Spain		0.03-3.21			1.72-9.22		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2012)
France		0.46-6.77	0.01-0.93	0.01-0.21	0.95-6.43		(Bergé et al. 2012b)
Min	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	n = 20
Max	13.4	49.8	58.9	1.40	69.0	30.8	
Med	0.34	0.80	0.57	0.18	2.44	0.24	

Table 7: PAE in WWTP sludge contents (in mg/kg.dw)

Location	Type	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
Canada	Final					3.00-176		(Webber and Lesage 1989)
Canada	Final					1.60-245		(Webber and Nichols 1995)
Germany	Final			9.70		8.00		(Petrovic and Barcelo 2000)
Austria	Final			<loq-0.69		<loq-47.0		(Gangl et al. 2001)
China	Final	0.02-2.00	0.01-11.0	0.04-3.70	0.02-35.0	<loq-108	<loq-6.60	(Cai et al. 2007)
Norway	Final					27.0-115		(Jaganyi 2007)
Sweden	Final					25.0-661		(Jaganyi 2007)
Denmark	Final					3.90-170		(Jaganyi 2007)
Denmark	Final					3.46-40.6		(Seriki et al. 2008)
Australia	Primary					134		(Tan et al. 2007)
Austria	Primary	0.07-0.09	0.04-0.09	0.27-0.85	0.14-0.38	20.0-27.0	0.13-0.26	(Clara et al. 2010)
USA	Secondary					3.46-31.7		(Kinney et al. 2006)
Sweden	Digested					25.0-661		(Sweetman 1994)
Finland	Digested					163		(Martinen et al. 2003)
USA	Digested					3.33		(Kinney et al. 2008)
USA	Amended					163-578		(Staples et al. 1997)
Denmark	Amended			0.02-260		3.00-170		(Torslov et al. 1997)
Germany	Amended					170		(Schnaak et al. 1997)
Germany	Amended					1.50-5.10		(Schaecke and Kape 2003)
UK	Amended	0.30	0.02	0.39	0.20	0.32-0.55	0.57	(Gibson et al. 2005)
Spain	Amended					2.00-3,514		(Abad et al. 2005)
Denmark	Amended		1.52	1.30	1.17		1.24	(Laturus and Gron 2007)
Denmark	Amended		0.91	0.56				(Laturus and Gron 2007)
Spain	Amended					13.0-345		(Aparicio et al. 2009)
Norway	Compost					1.00-140		(Paulsrud et al. 2000)
Taiwan	Aerobically					143		(Cheng et al. 2001)
Taiwan	Anaerobically					105-153		(Cheng et al. 2001)
Germany	Spin-dried			0.20-1.70		28.0-154		(Fromme et al. 2002)
France	Spin-dried					72.1		(Dargnat et al. 2009)
Austria	Excess	<loq-0.06	0.06-0.13	0.64-1.20	0.12-0.25	22.0-29.0	0.06-0.12	(Clara et al. 2010)
Canada	Press-filtered					80.0-90.0		(Barnabé et al. 2008)
USA						<loq-58.3		(Clark et al. 2003)
Germany						0.90-110		(Fragermann 2003)
USA						<loq-310		(EPA 2009)
	Min	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.32	0.08	n = 29
	Max	2.00	11.0	260	35.0	3,514	6.60	
	Med	0.19	0.45	0.53	0.23	60.3	0.20	



Table 8: Surface water concentrations of PAE (in  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$ )

Location	Type	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
USA	River					0.50-1.00		(Sheldon and Hites 1979)
USA	Estuary					0.21-0.77		(Ray et al. 1983)
USA	River					0.01-0.70		(DeLeon et al. 1986)
Sweden	River					0.30-1.80		(Thüren 1986)
Germany	River					0.03-0.04		(Ernst et al. 1988)
UK	River					0.40-1.90		(Fatoki and Vernon 1990)
UK	Bay					0.98-2.20		(Law et al. 1991)
Malaysia	Estuary					3.10-64.3		(Tan 1995)
Italy	River					0.30-31.2		(Vitali et al. 1997)
UK	River					0.74-18.0		(Long et al. 1998)
Netherlands	Estuary				<loq-0.50	0.04-1.90		(Belfroid et al. 1999)
Spain	River		0.60	0.40		1.10		(Penalver et al. 2001)
Spain	Fishing port	1.60	1.40	1.30	0.50	2.10		(Penalver et al. 2001)
Spain	Industrial port	2.10	1.80	1.90	1.10	3.20		(Penalver et al. 2001)
Japan	Bay	0.01-0.09	<loq-0.31	0.01-0.54	<loq-0.06			(Suzuki et al. 2001)
Taiwan	Bay		<loq-2.50	1.00-13.5		<loq-18.5		(Yuan et al. 2002)
Germany	River			0.50		0.08-10.0		(Fromme et al. 2002)
Netherlands	River				0.01-1.00			(Vethaak et al. 2002)
Netherlands	Sea				0.01-1.80			(Vethaak et al. 2002)
China	River			3.39-12.6		<loq-1.90		(Zhang and Chen 2003)
Netherlands	River	0.01-0.19	0.07-2.30	0.07-3.10	0.01-1.80	0.90-5.00	<loq-0.08	(Vethaak et al. 2005)
Sweden	River		0.01-0.03	0.02-0.06		0.01-0.04		(Bendz et al. 2005)
China	River	<loq-1.40	0.26-1.28	0.05-3.91			0.10-0.80	(Li et al. 2006)
Spain	Estuaries	0.01-0.18	0.31-1.31	0.25-0.58	0.01-0.03	0.32-0.46	0.08-0.09	(Prieto et al. 2007)
Spain	Sea	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.01	0.06		(Prieto et al. 2007)
China	River	0.10-0.25	0.16-0.44	4.28-21.0		0.34-24.0	<loq-0.79	(Sha et al. 2007)
China	Tributaries	<loq-0.58	0.01-1.09	9.24-26.0		3.91-31.8	<loq-7.10	(Sha et al. 2007)
USA	River			0.14-4.14	0.04-0.35			(Solis et al. 2007)
USA	River			0.16-1.36	0.07-0.14			(Solis et al. 2007)
Spain	Estuary	0.21-0.28	0.07	1.25-1.26	0.05	0.22	0.03	(Prieto et al. 2008)
Spain	Sea	0.22-0.25	0.04	0.25-0.40	0.06	0.17	0.03	(Prieto et al. 2008)
China	River	<loq-0.09	0.02-0.32	0.94-3.60				(Zeng et al. 2008)
France	River		0.07-0.18	0.07-0.32		0.16-0.31		(Dargnat et al. 2009)
China	River					0.62-15.2	0.04-0.21	(Zhu and Qiu 2011)
Iran	River	0.87	0.67					(Hadjmohammadi et al. 2011)
Iran	Sea	0.49	0.52					(Hadjmohammadi et al. 2011)
Canada	Sea			0.18-3.00		0.01-0.95		(Keil et al. 2011)
USA	Sea					0.06-0.64		(Keil et al. 2011)
China	River			0.11-0.29		<loq-0.84		(He et al. 2011)
Spain	Sea	<loq-0.14	0.02-0.48		<loq-0.10	0.03-0.62		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2012)

Spain	Port	<loq-0.01	0.02-0.87		<loq-0.80	0.06-5.97		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2012)
Spain	River-sea		0.07-0.16		<loq-0.08	0.02-0.21		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2012)
Spain	River		0.05-0.28		<loq-0.02	0.12-4.98		(Sanchez-Avila et al. 2012)
China	River	0.03-1.45	0.03-0.71	0.02-1.35	0.01-0.86	0.02-5.58	0.04-0.12	(Shi et al. 2012)
China	River	0.02-0.13	0.01-0.09	0.06-7.19	0.02-0.07	0.23-28.4	0.01-0.34	(Zhang et al. 2012)
Min		0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	n = 33
Max		2.10	2.50	26.0	1.80	64.3	7.10	
Med		0.05	0.11	0.37	0.04	1.00	0.04	

Table 9: PAE contents in suspended solids (in mg/kg.dw)

Location	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
Netherlands	<loq-16.0	<loq-2.69	<loq-4.10	<loq-3.00	<loq-19.0		(Vethaak et al. 2005)
Netherlands					0.79-11.4		(Peijnenburg and Struijs 2006)
Netherlands					0.70-14.6		(Peijnenburg and Struijs 2006)
Netherlands					0.97-19.3		(Peijnenburg and Struijs 2006)
China	0.49-3.01	<loq-0.13	17.6-57.8		5.40-630		(Sha et al. 2007)
France					0.91-25.1		(Gasperi et al. 2008)
Min					0.70		n = 4
Max	16.0	2.69	57.8	3.00	630		
Med	0.22	0.04	0.09	0.02	4.23		

Table 10: PAE contents in sediments (in mg/kg.dw)

Location	Type	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
USA	River					0.04-16.0		(Ray et al. 1983)
UK	River					1.20		(Preston and Al-Omran 1989)
Malaysia	River			0.25		0.49-15.0		(Tan 1995)
Singapore	Bay					0.89-2.79		(Chee et al. 1996)
Italy	River			<loq-0.03		0.06-0.49		(Vitali et al. 1997)
UK	River					0.84-115		(Long et al. 1998)
Germany	River			0.45		0.21-8.44		(Fromme et al. 2002)
Taiwan	River		0.10-1.10	0.30-30.3	<loq-1.80	0.50-23.9		(Yuan et al. 2002)
China	River			0.02-0.05		0.03-0.05		(Zhang and Chen 2003)
Netherlands	River	<loq-2.50	0.07-1.20	0.03-1.00	<loq-0.06	0.10-7.60	<loq-0.55	(Vethaak et al. 2005)
Spain	River		<loq-0.24	0.02-0.79	0.50-0.95	10.1-16.8		(Cortazar et al. 2005)
France	River					0.55		(Quenea et al. 2005)
Taiwan	River					<loq-8.25		(Huang et al. 2005)
Japan	River					1.00-2.00		(Yuwatini et al. 2006)
Netherlands	River			0.09		4.30		(Peijnenburg and Struijs 2006)
China	River	0.14-0.42		18.1-34.1		9.29-50.7		(Sha et al. 2007)
China	Tributaries	<loq-1.04	<loq-0.01	3.63-72.2		5.35-259		(Sha et al. 2007)
China	Urban lake	0.04	0.13	0.28	0.03	1.30	0.02	(Zeng et al. 2008)
Taiwan	River		0.60	0.40		<loq-46.5		(Huang et al. 2008)
Taiwan	River			0.04-1.88				(Huang et al. 2008)
China	River	0.01-0.41	0.55-6.81	0.50-155		0.40-324	0.01-1.19	(Wang et al. 2008)
India	Urban River	<loq-0.02		<loq-0.02		<loq-0.02		(Srivastava et al. 2009)
India	Rural River	<loq-0.01	<loq-0.01	<loq-0.02		<loq-0.01		(Srivastava et al. 2009)
India	Urban River	<loq-0.05	<loq-0.04	<loq-0.04		<loq-0.32	<loq-0.05	(Srivastava et al. 2009)
Taiwan	River					0.10-20.2		(Lin et al. 2009)
France	River					0.91-26.6		(Gasperi et al. 2009)
China	River	0.02	0.18	0.10	0.02	0.39		(Liu et al. 2010)
China	River	0.03	0.26	0.04	0.01	0.22		(Liu et al. 2010)
	Min	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	n = 23
	Max	2.50	1.20	72.2	1.80	115	0.55	
	Med	0.02	0.20	7.45	0.02	10.2	0.04	

Table 11: PAE contents in soils (in mg/kg.dw)

Location	Type	DMP	DEP	DnBP	BBP	DEHP	DnOP	Reference
USA	Urban					nd-1.20		(Russel and Mc Duffie 1986)
Denmark	Amended					0.03-0.04		(Vikelsøe et al. 2002)
China	Urban	<loq-0.20	<loq-2.61	<loq-1.66		0.20-7.11		(Hu et al. 2003)
China	Urban	<loq-0.02	<loq-0.05	0.34-1.66		0.22-0.74	0.09	(Ma et al. 2003)
UK	Rural			0.01		0.02-0.08	0.01	(Gibson et al. 2005)
UK	Amended			0.01		0.32-0.55		(Gibson et al. 2005)
China	Vegetable	<loq-0.07	<loq-1.77	<loq-20.6	<loq-1.48	2.82-25.1	<loq-0.92	(Cai et al. 2005)
Netherlands				0.01		0.03		(Peijnenburg and Struijs 2006)
China	Urban	<loq-0.07	<loq-0.25	0.28-3.82	<loq-0.06	0.17-6.49	<loq-0.17	(Li et al. 2006)
Denmark	Agricultural		0.01	0.07		0.18		(Laternus and Gron 2007)
Denmark	Compost		0.46	0.29		12.2	1.24	(Laternus and Gron 2007)
China	Agricultural			2.75-29.4		0.49-7.99		(Xu et al. 2008)
China	Agricultural	<loq-0.16	<loq-0.18	<loq-2.74	<loq-1.58	0.11-29.4	<loq-0.08	(Zeng et al. 2008)
China	Roadsides	0.02-0.35	0.01-0.20	0.29-30.1	<loq-1.58	1.41-264	<loq-2.31	(Zeng et al. 2009)
China	Resident	0.01-0.13	0.01-0.10	0.21-7.49	<loq-0.16	1.40-97.2	<loq-0.08	(Zeng et al. 2009)
China	Parks	0.02-0.10	0.01-0.07	0.21-7.49	<loq-0.16	0.89-154	<loq-0.03	(Zeng et al. 2009)
China	Urban					0.04		(Zhou and Liu 2010)
	Min	0.01	0.01	0.01		0.02	0.01	n = 13
	Max	0.35	2.61	30.1	1.58	264	2.31	
	Med	0.08	0.09	0.96	0.05	3.33	0.09	