An Experimental Study on the Secondary Deformation of Boom Clay
Yongfeng Deng, Yu-Jun Cui, Anh Minh Tang, Xiang-Ling Li, Xavier Sillen

To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-00693412
https://hal-enpc.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00693412
Submitted on 2 May 2012
An Experimental Study on the Secondary Deformation of Boom Clay

Y.F. Deng\textsuperscript{1, 2}, Y.J. Cui\textsuperscript{2}, A.M. Tang\textsuperscript{2}, X.L. Li\textsuperscript{3}, X. Sillen\textsuperscript{4}

1. Southeast University, Institute of Geotechnical Engineering, Transportation College, Nanjing, China (noden@163.com)
2. Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, Navier/CERMES, Marne-la-Vallée, France (yujun.cui@enpc.fr)
3. Euridice Group, c/o SCK/CEN, Mol, Belgium (xli@sckcen.be)
4. ONDRAF/NIRAS, Belgium (xavier.sillen@sckcen.be)

Corresponding author
Prof. Yu-Jun Cui
Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, UMR Navier/CERMES
6-8 av. Blaise Pascal, Cité Descartes, Champs-sur-Marne
F-77455 MARNE-LA-VALLEE CEDEX 2
France
E-mail: yujun.cui@enpc.fr
Tel: +33 1 64 15 35 50
Fax: +33 1 64 15 35 62
**Abstract**

Boom clay formation, a deposit of slightly over-consolidated marine clay that belongs to the Oligocene series in the north east of Belgium, has been selected as a possible host material of nuclear waste disposal. In this context, the long-term deformation behaviour of Boom clay is of crucial importance in the performance assessment of the whole storage system. In this study, low and high pressure oedometer tests are carried out; the $e$-$\log \sigma'_v$ (void ratio – logarithm of vertical effective stress) and $e$-$\log t$ (void ratio – logarithm of time) curves obtained are used to determine the compression index $C_c^*$, swelling index $C_s^*$ and secondary deformation coefficient $C_\alpha$ during both loading and unloading. The relationship between $C_\alpha$ and the effective stress ratio ($\sigma'_v/\sigma'_c$, vertical effective stress to pre-consolidation stress) is analysed, and it is observed that $C_\alpha$ increases linearly with $\log \sigma'_v/\sigma'_c$. Examination of the ratio of $C_\alpha/C_c^*$ for various soils shows that the secondary deformation behaviour of Boom clay is similar to that of shake and mudstone. The relation between $C_\alpha$ and $C_c^*$ is linear; but the relation between $C_\alpha$ and $C_s^*$ is bi-linear. The bi-linearity observed is related to two different mechanisms: the mechanically dominated rebounding and the physico-chemically dominated swelling.
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1. Introduction

Boom clay formation, a thick deposit of slightly over-consolidated marine clay has been selected as a possible host material of nuclear waste disposal in Belgium. In this context, its volume change behaviour, especially its secondary deformation behaviour is essential for the safety of the whole storage system, and therefore needs to be investigated in depth.

The consolidation of fine-grained soils has been commonly described by the primary consolidation and the secondary consolidation. The former refers to the soil volume change due to water pressure dissipation whereas the latter refers to the soil volume change due to the evolution of soil fabric and soil-water interaction. In the past decades, many studies were conducted to correlate the secondary deformation coefficient ($C_\alpha$) during loading with other soil characteristics. Walker (1969) showed that $C_\alpha$ varied with the ratio of vertical effective stress ($\sigma'_v$) to the pre-consolidation pressure ($\sigma'_c$), with the largest $C_\alpha$ at a stress slightly higher than $\sigma'_c$. This was confirmed by other studies on various soils (Brook and Mark, 2000; Yilmaz and Saglam, 2004; You, 1999; Zhu et al., 2005; Shirako et al., 2006; Suneel et al., 2008; Costa and Ioannis, 2009). Walker and Raymond (1968) found that the secondary deformation coefficient ($C_\alpha$) during loading has a linear relationship with the compression index ($C_c$) over the full range of stress applied. This $C_\alpha$-$C_c$ relation was further investigated by many other researchers (Mesri and Castro, 1987; Mesri et al., 1997; Abdullah et al., 1997; Al-Shamrani, 1998; Brook and Mark, 2000; You, 1999; Feng et al., 2001; Tan, 2002; Mesri, 2004; Zhang et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2005; Costa and Ioannis, 2009; Feng and Zhu, 2009; Mesri and Vardhanabhuti, 2009) on various soils (intact clays, remoulded clays, clays treated with lime or cement, and sands); the results confirmed the observation by Walker and Raymond (1968). Mesri et al. (1994) defined four groups of soils according to the value of the ratio $C_\alpha$/$C_c$ (Table 1). Some other correlations were also attempted between $C_\alpha$/$C_c$ (or $C_\alpha$) and soil physical properties such as the liquid limit $w_L$, plastic limit $w_P$ and plasticity index $I_p$ (You, 1999; Suneel et al., 2008).

Although the secondary consolidation behaviour of soils has been widely investigated, there have been few studies on the stiff Boom clay, especially on the unloading path that represents the situation of the soil in vicinity of excavated galleries. In the present work, consolidation tests are performed in both low and high pressure oedometers on Boom clay taken from the sites of Essen and Mol, Belgium. Loading and unloading are run in steps and the secondary deformation coefficient $C_\alpha$ is determined for each step. Furthermore, the relations between $C_\alpha$, the effective stress ratio ($\sigma'_v$/\$\sigma'_c$), compression and swelling indexes ($C_c$* and $C_s$*) are analyzed. The main objective is to study the variations of $C_\alpha$ during unloading and the mechanisms involved in these variations. Note that the use of high pressure oedometer in this study allows studying the variation of $C_\alpha$ at large stress ratio $\sigma'_v$/\$\sigma'_c$, indispensable for deeply located soil as Boom clay (223 m deep in Mol and about 240 m in Essen). Moreover, the introduction of parameter $C_c$* and $C_s$* allows analysing the soil compression behaviour with a non-linear loading-unloading curve. Note also that, to the authors’ knowledge, there have been no studies before focusing on the variations of $C_\alpha$ during unloading.
2. Soil studied

The soil studied was taken by coring at the sites of Essen and Mol, Belgium. The location of the two sites is shown in Figure 1 (De Craen et al., 2006). The Essen site is situated in the north east of Belgium, about 60 km far from the underground research laboratory (URL) at the Mol site. After being taken from the borehole, the cores were sealed in plastic tubes having ends closed and transported to the laboratory. Five soil cores of 1-m length and 100-mm in diameter from Essen and one soil core of 0.5-m length and 100-mm in diameter from Mol were studied. The details of these cores are shown in Table 2, with the corresponding depth, member, unit mass of solids ($\rho_s$), liquid limit ($w_L$), plastic limit ($w_P$), plasticity index ($I_p$), water content ($w_0$) and void ratio ($e_0$). There are three cores taken from the Putte member (Mol, Ess75 and Ess83) and three cores from the Terhagen members (Ess96, Ess104 and Ess112). The geotechnical identification parameters of the cores from Essen are similar: $\rho_s = 2.64 - 2.68$; $w_L = 62 - 78\%$; $w_P = 25 - 33\%$; $I_p = 36 - 45$. The values are also close for both water content and void ratio: $w_0 = 27.2 - 29.7$, $e_0 = 0.700 - 0.785$. For the core from Mol, the values of $\rho_s$, $w_L$, $w_P$ and $I_p$ are similar to that of the cores from Essen, but the water content and void ratio are lower than the cores from Essen, showing that Boom clay from Mol is denser.

3. Experimental techniques

Both low pressure (0.05 - 3.2 MPa) and high pressure (0.125 - 32MPa) oedometer tests were carried out following the French standards (AFNOR 1995, 2005) on the six Boom clay cores. The tests in low pressure oedometer aim at studying the loading-unloading behavior of the soil near the excavation gallery, whereas the tests in high pressure oedometer aim at studying the compression behavior in large stress level (far from the excavation gallery). The soil samples were prepared by trimming and had 50-mm in diameter and 20-mm in height. In the following, high pressure oedometer test is named Oedo1 while low pressure test is named Oedo2. Note that the high pressure oedometer has the same principle as the standard low pressure oedometer; the main difference is that in high pressure oedometer two amplification levels were used with a ratio of 1:10 for the first level and 1:5 for the second level (see Figure 2). In other words, the frame of high pressure oedometer allows multiplying the applied weight by 50, which leads to a maximum force of 12 tons. In the experiments, the minimum and maximum applied weights are 5 N and 1280 N, leading to a minimum and maximum vertical pressure of 0.125 MPa and 32 MPa respectively for a sample of 50 mm diameter.

The soil specimen was installed in the cell with dry porous stones. Prior to circulation of the synthetic water which has the same chemistry as the in-situ pore water (Cui et al., 2009) in the drainage system, a confining pressure equal to the estimated in situ stress was applied. This prevents the soil swelling during re-saturation which may modify the soil microstructure and as a result the soil mechanical properties (Delage et al., 2007).

The in situ stress of the soil was estimated using Eq. 1:

$$\sigma'_{v0} = \gamma h - u_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\sigma'_{v0}$ is the in situ effective vertical stress; $\gamma$ is the mean unit weight of the soil above the depth considered, taken equal to 20 kN/m$^3$ following the data of De Craen et al. (2006); $h$ is
the depth of the soil core (see Table 2); \( u_0 \) is the in situ pore pressure estimated from the
ground water level that is assumed to be at the ground surface. The \( \sigma'_{v0} \) values determined
for Ess75, Ess83, Ess96, Ess104, Ess112 and Mol are 2.20, 2.27, 2.40, 2.48, 2.56 and
2.23 MPa, respectively. For a reason of convenience, \( \sigma'_{v0} \) in both low pressure and high
pressure oedometers was set at 2.40 MPa for all tests.

4. Experimental results

4.1. Compressibility behavior

Figure 3 presents the loading-unloading-reloading stages and the corresponding changes in
vertical displacement in test Ess75Oedo1. Before the re-saturation phase, a loading from
0.125 to 2.4 MPa was applied to reach the in situ stress state. The soil sample was then
re-saturated using synthetic water. The subsequent unloading-reloading stages were as follows:
unloading from point A (2.4 MPa) to B (0.125 MPa); loading to C (16 MPa); unloading to D
(0.125 MPa); loading to E (32 MPa) and unloading to F (0.125 MPa). Common results were
obtained in terms of vertical displacements, i.e. compression upon loading and rebounding
upon unloading. Note that the French standards – AFNOR (1995, 2005) were applied as
regards the deformation stabilization for all oedometer tests: stabilization is achieved when the
displacement rate is lower than 0.01 mm/h.

Figure 4 presents the compression curve (void ratio versus log \( \sigma'_{v} \)) of test Ess75Oedo1,
together with the compression curve of test Ess75Oedo2 in low pressure oedometer. In test
Ess75Oedo2, after the re-saturation using synthetic water under 2.4 MPa stress, unloading
was performed from point I (2.4 MPa) to point II (0.05 MPa), then loading to point III
(3.2 MPa) and finally unloading to point IV (0.05 MPa).

The low pressure oedometer test Ess75Oedo2 shows a quasi elastic behavior with narrow
unloading-loading loops. A deeper examination shows, however, that the reloading curve from
II to III is not linear in the plane e-log \( \sigma'_{v} \). This non-linearity can also be observed on the
curve of test Ess75Oedo1 on the reloading paths from B to C and from D to E. Note that the
results from the tests on other cores are similar to that shown in Figure 4. Obviously, it is
difficult to determine the pre-yield stress \( \sigma'_{y} \) using the Casagrande method on these curves. In
addition, this pre-yield stress, if any, does not correspond to the pre-consolidation pressure \( \sigma'_{c} \)
(\( \sigma'_{y} \) is much lower than \( \sigma'_{c} \)): \( \sigma'_{c} \) is equal to 2.4 MPa at point A, but when reloading from B to
C, \( \sigma'_{y} \) seems to be much lower, about 1 MPa. For this reason, in the following analysis only
\( \sigma'_{c} \) is used and its determination is based on the stress history: \( \sigma'_{c} \) is the maximum stress
applied in the oedometer tests. For instance, \( \sigma'_{c} = 16 \) MPa for the paths C->D and D->E; \( \sigma'_{c} =
32 \) MPa for the path E->F; \( \sigma'_{c} = 3.2 \) MPa for the path III->IV is 3.2 MPa.

Since the e-log \( \sigma'_{v} \) curves of Boom clay are not linear during unloading and unloading,
especially for the low pressure oedometer tests, it is difficult to use an unique compression
index \( (C_c) \) and swell index \( (C_s) \) to describe the compression and swelling behavior. Hence the
above two indexes are determined stage by stage, and renamed \( C_c^* \) and \( C_s^* \), respectively. It
should be pointed out that if the e-log \( \sigma'_{v} \) curves for the three stages (i.e. before pre-yielding,
after pre-yielding and unloading) are linear, the \( C_c^* \) becomes the same as \( C_c \) and \( C_s^* \) becomes
the same as \( C_s \).

For the determination of secondary deformation coefficient \( C_{d\alpha} \), standard method is used
based on the $e - \Delta \log t$ plot. The determination of $C_c^\ast$, $C_s^\ast$ and $C_\alpha$ is illustrated in Figure 5. Note that $C_\alpha = \Delta e/\Delta \log t$ is negative when loading and positive when unloading.

4.2. Relation between $C_\alpha$ and $\sigma'/\sigma_c^\ast$

Figure 6 shows the variation of $C_\alpha$ versus the stress ratio $\sigma'/\sigma_c^\ast$ for all the six cores, identified by both low pressure and high pressure oedometer tests. It appears that during loading stage $C_\alpha$ ranges mostly from 0 to 0.01 especially when the vertical effective stress is lower than the pre-consolidation stress. Some points beyond 0.01 can be observed when the stress ratio is greater than 2. For core Ess112, $C_\alpha$ is very small and close to zero when the stress ratio is less than 1. For the unloading stages, $C_\alpha$ ranges mostly from 0 to -0.01 for all tests when the stress ratio is greater than 0.1. On the contrary, when the stress ratio is less than 0.1, $C_\alpha$ is less than -0.01. These observations lead to conclude that more significant secondary consolidation takes place at higher stress ratios ($\sigma'/\sigma_c^\ast > 1$) upon loading and more significant secondary swelling takes place at lower stress ratios ($\sigma'/\sigma_c^\ast < 0.1$).

Except the results of core Ess112 during loading, all other results show that $C_\alpha$ increases almost linearly with the stress ratio in the semi-logarithmic plane, for both loading and unloading stages. This is different from the results reported by other authors (Walker, 1969; Brook and Mark, 2000; Yilmaz and Saglam, 2007; You, 1999; Zhu et al., 2005; Shriako et al., 2006; Sunee et al., 2008; Costa and Ioannis, 2009) who observed that the relation between $C_\alpha$ and $\log \sigma'/\sigma_c^\ast$ during loading is rather convex.

4.3. Relation between $C_c^\ast$ or $C_s^\ast$ and $C_\alpha$

Figure 7 shows the variations of $C_\alpha$ with $C_c^\ast$ and $C_s^\ast$ for all the cores. It appears that $C_\alpha$ increases linearly with $C_c^\ast$, with a slope ranging from 0.019 to 0.029. Moreover, this linear relation is independent of the state of consolidation: for a given core, all the points below and beyond $\sigma_c^\ast$ are on the same line.

Mesri et al. (1994) analyzed the secondary consolidation behaviour of many soils, and gave the correlation between the secondary consolidation coefficient ($C_\alpha$) and the compression index $C_c$ as shown in Table 1. From the results obtained on Boom clay, it appears that the ratio $C_\alpha/C_c^\ast$ falls in a narrow range from 0.019 to 0.029. In order to have a mean value, all the results during loading are gathered in Figure 8, in terms of variations of $C_\alpha$ versus $C_c^\ast$. A value of 0.024 is identified for the ratio $C_\alpha/C_c^\ast$. Based on the classification criterion in Table 1, one can conclude that Boom clay falls in the zone of shake and mudstone whose $C_\alpha/C_c^\ast$ value ranges from 0.02 to 0.04.

Figure 7 also shows that during unloading, a bi-linear relation between $C_\alpha$ and $C_s^\ast$ can be observed: the turning point at a $C_s^\ast$ value around 0.1. This turning point can be considered as an indicator of changes from mechanical dominance to physical-chemical dominance in terms of volume changes: when $C_s^\ast$ is less than the value at the turning point, the clay shows a mechanically dominated rebounding; by contrast, when $C_s^\ast$ is larger than the value at the turning point, the clay shows a physico-chemically dominated swelling. This particular behaviour during unloading was also observed in other works: Delage et al. (2007) and Le et al. (2011) conducted compression tests on unsaturated Boom clay with suction monitoring, and observed that during unloading the soil suction increased slowly in the beginning and then rapidly when the vertical stress decreased down to a threshold value; Cui et al. (2002)
observed that the microstructure of a compacted bentonite/sand mixture started to change much more drastically when the suction was lower than 1 MPa; Cui et al. (2008) and Ye et al. (2009) observed that the unsaturated hydraulic behaviour of compacted bentonite-based materials under confined conditions changed drastically when the suction was lower than a threshold value.

All the data of $C_{\alpha}$ versus $C_{s}^*$ are gathered in Figure 9. In spite of the significant scatter, a bilinear relation can be still identified, with -0.024 and -0.26 as slopes. It is interesting to note that the absolute value of the slope of the first part (where the volume change behavior is supposed to be governed by the mechanical effect) is equal to the value of $C_{\alpha}/C_{c}^*$ during loading (0.024). This observation confirms that the first part of unloading ($C_{s}^* < 0.1$) gives rise to a mechanically dominated rebounding, because the volume change behavior during loading can be regarded as governed by the mechanical effects. The larger slope of the second part (0.26, when $C_{s}^* > 0.1$) indicates a significant secondary swelling behavior compared to the mechanical secondary consolidation behavior.

5. Conclusion

Both low pressure and high pressure oedometer tests were carried out with loading and unloading on Boom clay samples taken by coring from Essen and Mol sites. The $e$-$\log \sigma'_v$ and $e$-$\log t$ curves were plotted to determine the compression index $C_{c}^*$, swell index $C_{s}^*$ and secondary deformation coefficient $C_{\alpha}$. Note that $C_{\alpha}$ was determined for either loading stages (secondary consolidation) or unloading stages (secondary swelling). Different relations such as $C_{\alpha} - \sigma'_v/\sigma'_c$, $C_{\alpha} - C_{c}^*$, and $C_{\alpha} - C_{s}^*$ were analyzed. The following conclusions can be drawn:

(i) $C_{\alpha}$ increases almost linearly with the stress ratio $\sigma'_v/\sigma'_c$ in the semi-logarithmic plane, for both loading and unloading stages. This linear relation during loading was different from that observed by other researchers who concluded rather a convex relation for other soils.

(ii) $C_{\alpha}$ increases linearly with $C_{c}^*$, with a slope of 0.024. In addition, this linear relation is independent of the state of consolidation. During unloading, a bi-linear relation between $C_{\alpha}$ and $C_{s}^*$ was identified, with the turning point at a $C_{s}^*$ value around 0.1 and the values of slopes of -0.024 and -0.26, respectively.

(iii) The two slopes of the $C_{\alpha} - C_{s}^*$ curve relate to two different mechanisms: the first part ($C_{s}^* < 0.1$) relates to a mechanically dominated rebounding whilst the second part ($C_{s}^* > 0.1$) relates to a physico-chemically dominated swelling. This observation was confirmed by the equality of the slopes for the first unloading part and the loading part (0.024), because the volume change behavior during loading can be regarded as governed by the mechanical effects.

(iv) According to the classification criterion defined by Mesri et al. (1994), Boom clay falls in the zone of shake or mudstone whose $C_{\alpha}/C_{c}^*$ value ranges from 0.02 to 0.04.
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Table 1. Soil classification according to the values $C_d/C_c$ (Mesri *et al.*, 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$C_d/C_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granular soils including rockfill</td>
<td>$0.02 \pm 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shake and mudstone</td>
<td>$0.03 \pm 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic clays and silts</td>
<td>$0.04 \pm 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic clays and silts</td>
<td>$0.05 \pm 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peat and muskeg</td>
<td>$0.06 \pm 0.01$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Geotechnical properties of the soil cores studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>$\rho_s$ (Mg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>$w_L$ (%)</th>
<th>$w_p$ (%)</th>
<th>$I_p$ (%)</th>
<th>$w_0$ (%)</th>
<th>$e_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ess75</td>
<td>218.91-219.91</td>
<td>Putte</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ess83</td>
<td>226.65-227.65</td>
<td>Putte</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ess96</td>
<td>239.62-240.62</td>
<td>Terhagen</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ess104</td>
<td>247.90-248.91</td>
<td>Terhagen</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ess112</td>
<td>255.92-256.93</td>
<td>Terhagen</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>0.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mol</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>Putte</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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C_α = 0.029 C_c^*
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$C_\alpha = -0.024 C_s^*$

$C_\alpha = 0.26 C_s^* - 0.024$